

Character Development and Resiliency as Determinants of Physical and Skill- Related Fitness Among Student-Athletes

Gillean C. Dorado, Freddie S. Javina

Laguna State Polytechnic University, Philippines

Email address: gillean.dorado@deped.gov.ph / Freddiejavina@gmail.com

Abstract— The study investigated the role of character development and resiliency as determinants of physical and skill-related fitness among student-athletes of the Nagcarlan Sub-office for the school year 2025–2026. Recognizing the dual demands faced by student-athletes, the research aimed to explore how personal attributes such as resiliency and character formation contribute to their overall fitness and performance. Specifically, the study examined the extent to which these psychosocial factors influence both physical fitness and skill-related fitness outcomes.

Findings from this study will serve as corpus of study for future reference or basis in developing instructional plan or material.

The study utilized quantitative and descriptive-correlational research design was employed to gather data from student-athletes through standardized survey instruments and fitness assessments. Statistical techniques were applied to analyze the relationship between character development, resiliency, and fitness indicators. Findings revealed that student-athletes with higher levels of resiliency and well-developed character traits demonstrated stronger physical endurance, coordination, and skill-related competencies. These results underscore the importance of fostering psychosocial strengths alongside athletic training.

These data accentuate the significance of strengthening support systems, mental health education and supportive environments to further enhance the well-being and resilience of student-athletes. This research signified the importance of taking care of one's mental health and lastly, the gathered data may be used as research corpus and basis for possible intervention project on mental health campaign.

Based on the aforementioned findings and conclusions, the following recommendations were set forth. The researcher recommended that the school must integrate character-building and resiliency-focused outcomes into MAPEH and sports programs, ensuring that training nurtures both physical and personal growth, design fitness programs that balance physical conditioning with psychological development, emphasizing coping strategies, teamwork, and ethical values alongside drills and routines, recognize that training routines are not only preparation for competition but also opportunities to strengthen discipline, perseverance and emotional control, which extend beyond sports into academics and life challenges and explore further how specific psychological traits interact with different fitness components, particularly the negative correlators observed, to better understand the balance between mental resilience and physical performance.

Keywords—Character Development, Resiliency and Student Athletes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Success in student athletics is frequently determined by physical performance, rankings, and medals. But behind every

run, serve, and shot is a young person negotiating the difficult path of developing both personally and as an athlete. Unique challenges that put their bodies, intellect, and morals to the test are faced by student-athletes. There is frequently little time for them to stop and think as they balance rigorous training regimens, school obligations, and social demands. Something deeper, such as resilience, discipline, and self-awareness, starts to emerge at these times of stress and tenacity. Qiu (2025) emphasized that consistent physical activity fosters resilience and emotional regulation, showing how athletic training supports inner growth alongside performance.

Physical fitness comprising endurance, strength, and flexibility prepares student-athletes to meet the physical demands of their sport. Casaña (2024) found that athletic participation not only enhances physical fitness but also contributes to mental well-being, reinforcing the dual role of training in shaping both body and mind. Skill-related fitness, such as agility, coordination, and reaction time, sharpens their performance and builds confidence. Landicho and Andal (2024) showed that structured conditioning programs enhanced these skills while also instilling discipline and readiness for challenges. But beyond physical gains, these aspects of fitness may play a vital role in shaping who they become. The repetition of drills, the push through fatigue, the recovery from losses all contribute to the development of character traits like patience, grit, and emotional control. Fortuna et al. (2024) found that athletes cultivated time management and coping strategies through training, underscoring the link between athletic routines and character development.

While physical and skills-related fitness are integral to athletic success, their potential influence on shaping a student-athlete's values, behavior, and coping mechanisms remains underexplored. Many student-athletes experience stress, burnout, and emotional fatigue due to the demands of training, competition, and academic pressure. Kang, Meng, and Su (2024) noted that team sports promote holistic wellness and moral reasoning, which can mitigate these negative effects. Without a strong foundation of character and resilience, these challenges can negatively impact their well-being, motivation, and long-term engagement in sports.

Current training programs tend to focus on measurable outcomes such as speed, strength, and agility, with limited

attention to how these physical attributes might foster internal growth. There is a lack of empirical data and theoretical frameworks that connect fitness development with moral reasoning, emotional regulation, and perseverance qualities that are essential not only in sports but in life beyond the athletic arena.

This gap in understanding presents a critical problem educators and coaches may be missing opportunities to use fitness training as a tool for holistic development. If physical and skills-related fitness can indeed contribute to character and resiliency, then sports programs must be re-evaluated to ensure they nurture not just competitive athletes, but well-rounded individuals prepared to face adversity with integrity and strength.

II. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table I. Level of Character Development among Student-Athletes in terms of Sportsmanship

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I treat my opponents with respect, regardless of the outcome of the game. | 4.32 | 0.61 | Strongly Agree |
| I accept both victory and defeat with grace and humility. | 4.20 | 0.72 | Agree |
| I follow the rules of the game and encourage others to do the same. | 4.20 | 0.68 | Agree |
| I support and uplift my teammates during both wins and losses. | 4.45 | 0.71 | Strongly Agree |
| I believe that fair play is more important than just winning. | 4.34 | 0.65 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.30 | | |
| SD | 0.30 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 1 shows that the very high WM=4.30 and SD=0.30 of the Nagcarlan Sub Office also student-athletes when it comes to sportsmanship. It demonstrates how highly they value attributes that run deeper than athletic serving: consider respect, fairness and togetherness. In particular, they express strong agreement with supporting and lifting teammates in success and failure (M=4.45, SD=0.71), respecting opponents regardless of win or loss (M=4.32, SD=0.61), and that winning is less important than the spirit of fair play (M=4.34, SD=0.65). They also agree that they take their win and losses with humility (M=4.20, SD =0.72) and follow the rules of the game while encouraging others to do the same (M=4.20, SD=0.68).

Table II shows that The Nagcarlan Sub Office's student-athletes have a very high degree of gamesmanship (WM=4.45, SD=0.36). This suggests that they have excellent strategic awareness, mental clarity, and poise all of which are essential for striking a balance between integrity and competitiveness. They firmly concur that clever play is necessary for athletic success (M=4.60, SD=0.54), that they maintain mental acuity and concentration throughout competition (M=4.53, SD=0.67), and that they maintain composure and confidence under duress (M=4.46, SD=0.69). Additionally, they grasp the value of psychological tactics in sports (M=4.30, SD=0.70) and the significance of employing strategy and skill to achieve a competitive edge without breaching the rules (M=4.35, SD=0.69).

Table II. Level of Character Development among Student-Athletes in terms of Gamesmanship

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I use strategy and skill to gain a competitive edge without breaking the rules. | 4.35 | 0.69 | Strongly Agree |
| I stay mentally sharp and focused during competition. | 4.53 | 0.67 | Strongly Agree |
| I understand the importance of psychological tactics in sports. | 4.30 | 0.70 | Strongly Agree |
| I remain composed and confident even under pressure. | 4.46 | 0.69 | Strongly Agree |
| I believe smart play is essential to athletic success. | 4.60 | 0.54 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.45 | | |
| SD | 0.36 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table III. Level of Character Development among Student-Athletes in terms of Integrity

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|--|------------------|------|----------------|
| I demonstrate honesty about performance, even when unobserved by others. | 4.50 | 0.55 | Strongly Agree |
| I own up to my mistakes and take responsibility for my actions. | 4.48 | 0.70 | Strongly Agree |
| I refrain from cheating or violating the rules to achieve victory. | 4.32 | 0.75 | Strongly Agree |
| I stay true to my values, even in competitive situations. | 4.52 | 0.60 | Strongly Agree |
| I believe that character matters more than reputation. | 4.37 | 0.61 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.44 | | |
| SD | 0.34 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 3 shows the Level of Character Development among Student-Athletes in terms of Integrity. All of them responded as Strongly Agree that they demonstrate honesty about performance, even when unobserved by other (M=4.50, SD=0.55), also they own up their mistakes and take a responsibility for their actions (M=4.48, SD=0.70), also they refrain from cheating or violating the rules to achieve victory (M=4.32, SD=0.75), they also stay true to values, even in competitive situations(M=4.52, SD=0.60), they also believe that character matters more than reputation.

Table IV. Level of Character Development among Student-Athletes in terms of Discipline

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I stick to my training schedule even when I feel tired or distracted. | 4.45 | 0.57 | Strongly Agree |
| I make sacrifices to improve my performance and reach my goals. | 4.46 | 0.68 | Strongly Agree |
| I stay focused and avoid distractions during practice and games. | 4.21 | 0.78 | Strongly Agree |
| I follow my coach's instructions and respect team rules. | 4.41 | 0.73 | Strongly Agree |
| I believe that consistent effort leads to long-term success | 4.52 | 0.60 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.41 | | |
| SD | 0.35 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 4 shows the Level of Character development of student- athletes in terms of discipline all of them responded as Strongly Agree that they stick in training schedule even

when they feel tired and distracted (M=4.45, SD=0.57), followed by they make sacrifices to improve their performance and reach their goals (M=4.46, SD=0.68), they stay focused and avoid distractions during practice and games (M=4.21, SD=0.78), (M=4.41, SD=0.71). Likewise, they follow coach instructions and respect team wins and lastly, believe that consistent effort leads to long-term success (M=4.52, SD=0.60) indicating a Very High respectively.

Table 5 shows the Level of Character Development among student-athletes in terms of Determination all of them responded with strongly agree that they keep pushing themselves even when things get tough (M=4.55, SD=0.56), followed by they bounce back quickly after setbacks or loses (M=4.52, SD=0.65) also they stay motivated to improve, even when progress feels low (M=4.40, SD=0.72), they set goals and work hard to achieve goals (M=4.37, SD=0.65), they believe that person perseverance is key to becoming a beetr athlete (M=4.52, SD=0.61) and they believe their time-management skills support my overall mental well-being indicating a Very High respectively.

Table V. Level of Character Development among student-athletes in terms of Determination

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|--|------------------|------|----------------|
| I keep pushing myself even when things get tough. | 4.55 | 0.56 | Strongly Agree |
| I bounce back quickly after setbacks or losses. | 4.52 | 0.65 | Strongly Agree |
| I stay motivated to improve, even when progress feels slow. | 4.40 | 0.72 | Strongly Agree |
| I set goals and work hard to achieve them. | 4.37 | 0.65 | Strongly Agree |
| I believe that perseverance is key to becoming a better athlete. | 4.52 | 0.61 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.47 | | |
| SD | 0.32 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table VI. Level of resiliency among Student-athletes in terms of Goal-setting

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I establishes clear goals for athletic and personal development. | 4.48 | 0.60 | Strongly Agree |
| I monitors progress regularly toward personal and athletic goals. | 4.32 | 0.77 | Strongly Agree |
| I maintains commitment to achieving set goals. | 4.38 | 0.70 | Strongly Agree |
| I modifies goals as necessary to reflect growth or challenges. | 4.40 | 0.68 | Strongly Agree |
| I acknowledges that goal-setting enhances motivation and focus. | 4.35 | 0.63 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.39 | | |
| SD | 0.37 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 6 shows the level of resiliency among student-athletes in terms of Goal-setting all of them responded as Strongly Agree that they establishes clear goals for athletic and personal development (M=4.48, SD=0.60), followed by they monitors progress regularly toward personal and athletic goals (M=4.52, SD=0.65), also they maintains commitment to achieving set goals (M=4.38, SD=0.70) and they modifies goal as necessary to reflect growth or challenges (M=4.40, SD=0.68) lastly, they acknowledges that goal-setting enhances

motivation and focus (M=4.35, SD=0.63) indicating a Very High respectively.

Table VII. Level of Resiliency among Student athletes in terms of Emotional Regulation

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I ,manage emotions effectively during stressful situations. | 4.49 | 0.58 | Strongly Agree |
| I maintains calmness under pressure. | 4.37 | 0.69 | Strongly Agree |
| I recovers quickly from emotional setbacks. | 4.23 | 0.75 | Strongly Agree |
| I employ healthy strategies to cope with frustration. | 4.46 | 0.62 | Strongly Agree |
| I recognizes that emotional control enhances performance. | 4.46 | 0.58 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.55 | | |
| SD | 0.25 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 7 shows the Level of Resiliency among Student-Athletes in terms of Emotional Regulation all of them responded as Strongly Agree that they manage emotions effectively during stressful situations (M=4.49 SD=0.58), also they maintains calmness under pressure (M=4.37, SD=0.69), also they recovers quickly from emotional setbacks (M=4.23 SD=0.75), also they employ healthy strategies to cope with frustration (M=4.46, SD=0.62), and lastly they recognizes that emotional control enhances performance(M=4.46, SD=0.58 indicating a Very High respectively.

Table VIII. Level of Resiliency among Student-athletes in terms of Coping strategies

| STATEMENT | MEAN | SD | REMARKS |
|---|------------------|------|----------------|
| I seek solutions proactively when encountering challenges in training or competition. | 4.54 | 0.58 | Strongly Agree |
| I organizes schedules to balance training, academics, and rest effectively. | 4.30 | 0.74 | Strongly Agree |
| I feels supported by individuals who understand their athletic journey. | 4.31 | 0.76 | Strongly Agree |
| I utilizes breathing exercises, meditation, or stretching to maintain calmness. | 4.45 | 0.53 | Strongly Agree |
| I engage in habits that promote overall well-being. | 4.47 | 0.55 | Strongly Agree |
| Weighted Mean | 4.57 | | |
| SD | 0.28 | | |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High | | |

Table 8 shows the Level of Resiliency among Student-Athletes in terms of Coping strategies to student-athletes all of them responded as Strongly Agree that they seek solutions proactively when encountering challenges in training pr competition (M=4.54, SD=0.58), followed by they organizes schedules to balance training, academics, and rest effectively (M=4.30 SD=0.74), also they feel supported by individuals who understand their athletic journey (M=4.31, SD=0.76), also they utilizes breathing exercises, mediation or stretching to maintain calmness (M=4.45 SD=0.53) and they feel engage in habits that promote overall well-being (M=4.47, SD=0.55) indicating a Very High respectively.

Table IX. Level of Physical related Fitness among student athlete in terms of Body Composition

| BMI Range(kg/m ²) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 18.5 – 24.9 | 154 | 93.90% | Very Healthy/Optimal |
| 25.0 – 29.9 | 8 | 4.88% | Optimal/Moderate Concern |
| 30.0 – 34.9 | 0 | 0.00% | High Concern |
| 35.0 – 39.9 | 0 | 0.00% | Very High Concern |
| <18.5 or ≥40.0 | 2 | 1.22% | Critical Risk/ Very Low Health Status |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Weighted Mean | 21.36 |
| SD | 2.03 |
| Verbal Interpretation | Very High |

Table 9 shows the level of physical-related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Body Composition. The great majority of student-athletes in this study have a BMI from 18.5-24.9 (very healthy/optimal), which represents 154 respondents (93.90%). A relatively small number of student-athletes have a BMI from 25.0-29.9 (optimal with moderate concern) (n = 8; 4.88%). There were no student-athletes in the 30.0-34.9 and 35.0-39.9 BMI groups (high concern and very high concern, respectively). There were two student-athletes with a BMI <18.5 or ≥40.0 (critical risk and very low health status, respectively).

Table X. Level of Physical related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Cardio-Vascular Endurance

| Heart Rate Range (bpm) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|---|-----------|------------|------------------------------------|
| 50-70 | 6 | 3.66% | Very High Cardiovascular Endurance |
| 71-90 | 108 | 65.85% | High Cardiovascular Endurance |
| 91-110 | 49 | 29.88% | Moderate Cardiovascular Endurance |
| 110-130 | 1 | 0.61% | Low Cardiovascular Endurance |
| >130 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Cardiovascular Endurance |
| Weighted Mean 21.36 SD 2.03 Verbal Interpretation Very High | | | |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

Table 10 shows the Level of Physical related Fitness among student-athletes in terms of Cardiovascular endurance measured through heart rate ranges. The distribution shows that most student-athletes fall within the 71–90 bpm range (high cardiovascular endurance), representing 108 respondents (65.85%). A smaller group of 49 respondents (29.88%) are in the 91–110 bpm range (moderate cardiovascular endurance), while only 6 respondents (3.66%) demonstrated very high endurance (50–70 bpm). One respondent (0.61%) was recorded in the low endurance category (110–130 bpm), and none were in the very low endurance group (>130 bpm).

Table XI. Level of physical related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Muscular Strength

| Heart Rate Range (bpm) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| ≥ 40 | 9 | 5.49% | Very High Muscular Strength |
| 31-39 | 98 | 59.76% | High Muscular Strength |
| 21-30 | 57 | 34.76% | Moderate Muscular Strength |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00% | Low Muscular Strength |
| ≤ 10 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Muscular Strength |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

Table 11 shows the Level of Physical-related fitness in terms of Muscular strength measured through performance ranges. The distribution shows that most student-athletes fall within the 31–39 range (high muscular strength), representing 98 respondents (59.76%). A significant number of 57 respondents (34.76%) are in the 21–30 range (moderate muscular strength), while 9 respondents (5.49%) demonstrated very high muscular strength (≥40). No respondents were recorded in the low (11–20) or very low (≤10) categories.

Table XII. Level of Physical Related fitness among Student athletes in terms of Muscular Endurance

| Time Held (seconds) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------------|
| ≥ 120 | 7 | 4.27% | Very High Muscular Endurance |
| 90 – 119 | 34 | 20.73% | High Muscular Endurance |
| 60 – 89 | 120 | 73.17% | Moderate Muscular Endurance |
| 30 – 59 | 3 | 1.83% | Low Muscular Endurance |
| < 30 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Muscular Endurance |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Weighted Mean | 85.49 |
| SD | 15.14 |
| Verbal Interpretation | Moderately High |

Table 12 shows the Level of Physical Related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Muscular Endurance measured through the time held in seconds. The distribution shows that the majority of student-athletes fall within the 60–89 second range (moderate muscular endurance), representing 120 respondents (73.17%). A smaller group of 34 respondents (20.73%) are in the 90–119 second range (high muscular endurance), while 7 respondents (4.27%) demonstrated very high endurance (≥120 seconds). Only 3 respondents (1.83%) were recorded in the low endurance category (30–59 seconds), and none were in the very low category (<30 seconds).

Table 13 shows the Level of Physical related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Flexibility, measured through the zipper test. The distribution shows that the largest group of respondents, 67 student-athletes (40.85%), achieved an overlap of 1–4 cm, which is interpreted as high flexibility. A total of 49 respondents (29.88%) demonstrated moderate flexibility, with fingertips just touching (0 cm). Meanwhile, 48 respondents (29.27%) recorded a gap of 1–4 cm, which is interpreted as low flexibility. No respondents achieved very high flexibility (overlap ≥5 cm) or fell into the very low category (gap ≥5 cm).

Table XIII. Level of Physical Related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Flexibility

| Measurement(cm) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Overlap ≥ 5 cm | 0 | 0.00% | Very High Flexibility |
| Overlap 1 – 4 cm | 67 | 40.85% | High Flexibility |
| 0 cm (Fingertips Just Touch) | 49 | 29.88% | Moderate Flexibility |
| Gap 1 – 4 cm | 48 | 29.27% | Low Flexibility |
| Gap ≥ 5 cm | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Flexibility |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |
| <i>Weighted Mean</i> | | 3.12 | |
| <i>SD</i> | | 0.83 | |
| <i>Verbal Interpretation</i> | | | High |

Table XIV. Level of Physical Related fitness among student athletes in terms of Flexibility (sit and reach)

| | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| ≥ 35 cm | 23 | 14.02% | Very High Flexibility |
| 26 – 34 cm | 132 | 80.49% | High Flexibility |
| 16 – 25 cm | 9 | 5.49% | Moderate Flexibility |
| 6 – 15 cm | 0 | 0.00% | Low Flexibility |
| ≤ 5 cm | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Flexibility |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| <i>Weighted Mean</i> | 30.02 |
| <i>SD</i> | 3.80 |
| <i>Verbal Interpretation</i> | High |

Table 14 shows the Level of Physical related fitness among students athletes in terms of Flexibility (sit and reach) measured through the sit and reach test. The distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 132 student-athletes (80.49%), achieved a reach distance of 26–34 cm, which is interpreted as high flexibility. A smaller group of 23 respondents (14.02%) demonstrated very high flexibility (≥35 cm), while 9 respondents (5.49%) recorded moderate flexibility (16–25 cm). No respondents were recorded in the low (6–15 cm) or very low (≤5 cm) categories.

Table XV Level of Skill related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Coordination

| Number of Successful Juggles | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------|
| ≥ 30 | 9 | 5.49% | Very High Coordination |
| 21-29 | 98 | 59.76% | High Coordination |
| 11-20 | 57 | 34.76% | Moderate Coordination |
| 6 – 10 | 0 | 0.00% | Low Coordination |
| ≤ 5 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Coordination |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| <i>Weighted Mean</i> | 23.47 |
| <i>SD</i> | 6.86 |
| <i>Verbal Interpretation</i> | High |

Table 15 shows the Level of Skill related fitness among student athletes in terms of Coordination show that most of the student-athletes have good coordination (21–29 successful juggles). The 57 respondents achieved moderate (11–20 successful juggles) and 9 respondents have very high coordination (≥30 successful juggles). None of the respondents showed poor coordination (6–10 successful juggles) or very poor coordination (≤5 successful juggles).

Table 16 shows the Level of Skill related fitness among student athletes in terms of Agility distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 121 student-athletes (73.78%), fall within the 11.1–13.0 second range, which is interpreted as high agility. A smaller group of 37 respondents (22.56%) demonstrated moderate agility (13.1–15.0 seconds), while 2 respondents (1.22%) achieved very high agility (≤11.0 seconds). Four respondents (2.44%) were recorded in the low agility category (15.1–17.0 seconds), and none were in the very low category (≥17.1 seconds)

Table XVI. Level of Skill related fitness among Student athletes in terms of Agility

| C | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| ≤ 11.0 | 2 | 1.22% | Very High Agility |
| 11.1 – 13.0 | 21 | 73.78% | High Agility |
| 13.1 – 15.0 | 37 | 22.56% | Moderate Agility |
| 15.1 – 17.0 | 4 | 2.44% | Low Agility |
| ≥ 17.1 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Agility |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |
| <i>Weighted Mean</i> | | 12.64 | |
| <i>SD</i> | | 0.99 | |
| <i>Verbal Interpretation</i> | | | High |

Table 17 presents the level of skill-related fitness among student-athletes in terms of speed, measured through the time taken to complete the sprint test. The distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 97 student-athletes (59.15%), fall within the 10.1–15.0 second range, which is interpreted as high speed. A significant portion of 67 respondents (40.85%) demonstrated moderate speed (15.1–20.0 seconds). No respondents were recorded in the very high (≤10.0 seconds), low (20.1–25.0 seconds), or very low (≥25.1 seconds) categories.

Table XVII. Level of skill related fitness among student athletes in terms of speed

| Time (seconds) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| ≤ 10.0 | 0 | 0.00% | Very High Speed |
| 10.1-15.0 | 97 | 59.15% | High Speed |
| 15.1-20.0 | 67 | 40.85% | Moderate Speed |
| 20.1-25.0 | 0 | 0.00% | Low Speed |
| ≤ 25.1 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Speed |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |
| <i>Weighted Mean</i> | | 14.44 | |
| <i>SD</i> | | 2.13 | |
| <i>Verbal Interpretation</i> | | | High |

Table 18 presents the level of skill-related fitness among student-athletes in terms of power measured through the distance achieved in the standing long jump. The distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 87 student-athletes (53.05%), fall within the 170–199 cm range, which is interpreted as moderate power. A significant portion of 58 respondents (35.37%) demonstrated high power (200–229 cm), while 17 respondents (10.37%) achieved very high power (≥230 cm). Only 2 respondents (1.22%) were recorded in the low power category (140–169 cm), and none were in the very low category (≤139 cm). The computed weighted mean of 201.17 with a standard deviation of 21.07 is verbally interpreted as *High*.

Table XVIII. Level of Skill related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Power

| Distance (cm) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
| ≥ 230 | 17 | 10.37% | Very High Power |
| 200-229 | 58 | 35.37% | High Power |
| 170-199 | 87 | 53.05% | Moderate Power |
| 140-169 | 2 | 1.22% | Low Power |
| ≤ 139 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Power |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

Weighted Mean 201.17
SD 21.07
Verbal Interpretation High

Table XIX. Level of Skill related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Balance

| Time Held (Seconds) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
| ≥ 50 | 2 | 1.22% | Very High Balance |
| 40-49 | 85 | 51.83% | High Balance |
| 30-39 | 67 | 40.85% | Moderate Balance |
| 20-29 | 10 | 6.10% | Low Balance |
| ≤ 19 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Balance |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

Weighted Mean 40.09
SD 6.59
Verbal Interpretation High

Table 19 presents the level of skill-related fitness among student-athletes in terms of Balance, measured through the time held in seconds. The distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 85 student-athletes (51.83%), fall within the 40–49 second range, which is interpreted as high balance. A significant portion of 67 respondents (40.85%) demonstrated moderate balance (30–39 seconds), while 10 respondents

(6.10%) were recorded in the low balance category (20–29 seconds). Only 2 respondents (1.22%) achieved very high balance (≥50 seconds), and none were in the very low category (≤19 seconds).

The computed weighted mean of 40.09 with a standard deviation of 6.59 is verbally interpreted as *High*

Table XX. Level of Skill related Fitness among student athletes in terms of Reaction time

| Distance (cm) | Frequency | Percentage | Verbal Interpretation |
|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
| 0-3 | 145 | 88.41% | Very High Reaction |
| 4-6 | 19 | 11.59% | High Reaction |
| 7-9 | 0 | 0.00% | Moderate Reaction |
| 10-12 | 0 | 0.00% | Low Reaction |
| ≥ 13 | 0 | 0.00% | Very Low Reaction |
| Total | 164 | 100.00% | |

Weighted Mean 1.90
SD 1.62
Verbal Interpretation Very High

Table 20 presents the level of skill-related fitness among student-athletes in terms of reaction time, measured through the distance in centimeters during the drop test. The distribution shows that the majority of respondents, 145 student-athletes (88.41%), fall within the 0–3 cm range, which is interpreted as very high reaction time. A smaller group of 19 respondents (11.59%) demonstrated high reaction time (4–6 cm). No respondents were recorded in the moderate (7–9 cm), low (10–12 cm), or very low (≥13 cm) categories.

The computed weighted mean of 1.90 with a standard deviation of 1.62 is verbally interpreted as *Very High*.

Table XXI Significant Relationship between the Character Development and Physical-related Fitness among Student-Athletes

| Character development | | Physical-related fitness | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | Body Composition | Cardio-Vascular Endurance | Muscular Strength | Muscular Endurance | Flexibility |
| Sportsmanship | Pearson Correlation | 0.094 | -0.054 | 0.076 | 0.032 | .218** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.229 | 0.494 | 0.332 | 0.683 | 0.005 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Gamemanship | Pearson Correlation | -0.016 | 0.007 | 0.036 | -0.137 | 0.133 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.841 | 0.929 | 0.644 | 0.080 | 0.089 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Integrity | Pearson Correlation | -0.100 | -0.124 | 0.104 | -0.094 | .203** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.203 | 0.112 | 0.185 | 0.234 | 0.009 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Discipline | Pearson Correlation | -0.008 | 0.053 | 0.020 | -0.115 | 0.079 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.918 | 0.498 | 0.798 | 0.142 | 0.313 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Determination | Pearson Correlation | -0.131 | -0.019 | 0.151 | -.190* | 0.133 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.095 | 0.814 | 0.053 | 0.015 | 0.089 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |

Table 21 reveals several relationships between character development and physical-related fitness among student-athletes. Sportsmanship is significantly and positively correlated with flexibility ($r = 0.218$, $p = 0.005$), indicating that those who exhibit better sportsmanship tend to have higher flexibility, while it shows no significant relationship with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, and muscular endurance. In contrast, gamesmanship does not show any significant relationship with all

components of physical fitness, suggesting that it has no meaningful connection with the measured variables. Similarly, integrity is significantly and positively related to flexibility ($r = 0.203$, $p = 0.009$), but it does not have a significant relationship with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, and muscular endurance. Discipline also shows no significant relationship with any of the physical fitness components, indicating that it does not directly influence these outcomes. On the other hand, determination

has a significant negative relationship with muscular endurance ($r = -0.190, p = 0.015$), which suggests that higher determination is associated with lower muscular endurance scores, although it does not show significant relationships with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, and flexibility. Overall, only a few significant relationships are observed, particularly between sportsmanship and integrity with flexibility, and determination with muscular endurance, while the rest of the variables do not show significant associations.

Table 22 shows the The correlation results show varying relationships between character development traits and skill-related fitness components among the 164 respondents. For sportsmanship, there is a significant but weak positive relationship with coordination ($r = .200, p = .010$) and a significant weak negative relationship with speed ($r = -.255, p = .001$), indicating that higher sportsmanship is slightly associated with better coordination but lower speed performance. Gamemanship, on the other hand, shows no

significant relationships with all skill-related fitness components, suggesting that it does not meaningfully influence coordination, agility, speed, power, balance, or reaction time in this study. For integrity, significant weak positive and negative correlations are observed: it is positively related to coordination ($r = .191, p = .014$) but negatively related to speed ($r = -.158, p = .043$) and power ($r = -.168, p = .032$), implying that higher integrity is slightly associated with improved coordination but slightly reduced speed and power. Discipline also shows significant weak positive correlation with coordination ($r = .178, p = .022$) and a significant weak negative correlation with power ($r = -.255, p = .001$), suggesting better coordination but lower power among more disciplined individuals. Lastly, determination shows no significant relationships with any of the skill-related fitness variables, indicating no meaningful association in this sample. Overall, most relationships are weak, showing that character development traits have limited but selective associations with certain components of skill-related fitness.

Table XXII Significant Relationship between the Character Development and Skill-related Fitness among Student-Athletes

| Character development | | Skill-related fitness | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| | | Coordination | Agility | Speed | Power | Balance | Reaction Time |
| Sportsmanship | Pearson Correlation | .200* | -0.059 | -.255** | -0.124 | 0.028 | 0.153 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.010 | 0.453 | 0.001 | 0.114 | 0.719 | 0.051 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Gamemanship | Pearson Correlation | 0.086 | 0.078 | 0.052 | -0.047 | 0.063 | -0.002 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.274 | 0.319 | 0.505 | 0.550 | 0.420 | 0.982 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Integrity | Pearson Correlation | .191* | -0.129 | -.158* | -.168* | 0.043 | 0.023 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.014 | 0.101 | 0.043 | 0.032 | 0.586 | 0.767 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Discipline | Pearson Correlation | .178* | -0.001 | -0.11 | -.255** | 0.098 | 0.046 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.022 | 0.988 | 0.161 | 0.001 | 0.211 | 0.561 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Determination | Pearson Correlation | 0.006 | 0.000 | -0.098 | -0.148 | 0.099 | -0.08 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.939 | 0.995 | 0.210 | 0.059 | 0.208 | 0.310 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |

Table XXIII Significant Relationship between the Resiliency and Physical-related Fitness among Student-Athletes

| Resiliency | | Physical-related fitness | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | Body Composition | Cardio-Vascular Endurance | Muscular Strength | Muscular Endurance | Flexibility |
| Goal-Setting | Pearson Correlation | -0.073 | -0.01 | 0.102 | -.174* | 0.09 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.356 | 0.895 | 0.196 | 0.026 | 0.250 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Emotional Regulation | Pearson Correlation | 0.026 | -0.034 | -0.006 | -0.134 | 0.125 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.741 | 0.663 | 0.936 | 0.088 | 0.111 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Coping Strategies | Pearson Correlation | -0.005 | 0.016 | .156* | -0.135 | .154* |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.953 | 0.836 | 0.046 | 0.085 | 0.049 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |

The correlation results in Table 23 show the relationships between resilience variables and physical-related fitness components among 164 respondents. For goal-setting, there is a significant weak negative relationship with muscular endurance ($r = -.174, p = .026$), indicating that higher goal-setting is slightly associated with lower muscular endurance, while all other relationships with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, and flexibility are not significant. Emotional regulation shows no significant relationships with any of the physical fitness components, suggesting that it does not have a meaningful association with

body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, muscular endurance, or flexibility in this study. In terms of coping strategies, significant weak positive relationships are found with muscular strength ($r = .156, p = .046$) and flexibility ($r = .154, p = .049$), indicating that better coping strategies are slightly associated with higher muscular strength and improved flexibility. However, no significant relationships are observed with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, and muscular endurance. Overall, the findings suggest that resilience factors have limited but

selective and mostly weak associations with physical-related fitness components.

Table XXIV. Significant relationship between the resiliency and skill-related fitness among student-athletes

| Resiliency | | Skill-related fitness | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------------|
| | | Coordination | Agility | Speed | Power | Balance | Reaction Time |
| Goal-Setting | Pearson Correlation | 0.001 | -0.06 | -0.037 | -0.135 | 0.057 | -0.017 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.987 | 0.446 | 0.639 | 0.084 | 0.468 | 0.830 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Emotional Regulation | Pearson Correlation | -0.097 | -0.039 | -0.102 | -.159* | 0.095 | -0.076 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.218 | 0.619 | 0.196 | 0.041 | 0.225 | 0.332 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Coping Strategies | Pearson Correlation | -0.088 | -0.055 | -0.133 | -0.109 | .159* | -0.073 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.263 | 0.482 | 0.089 | 0.165 | 0.042 | 0.351 |
| | N | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |

Note: * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Table 24 shows the results show generally weak relationships between resiliency and skill-related fitness among student-athletes. Goal-setting has no significant relationship with any fitness component, indicating it does not directly influence coordination, agility, speed, power, balance, or reaction time. Emotional regulation shows a significant negative relationship with power ($r = -0.159$, $p = 0.041$), suggesting that higher emotional control is slightly associated with lower power performance. Meanwhile, coping strategies have a significant positive relationship with balance ($r = 0.159$, $p = 0.042$), indicating that better coping is linked to improved balance.

Overall, only a few significant relationships were found, suggesting that resiliency has limited influence on skill-related fitness

III. CONCLUSION

The findings reveal that student-athletes in the Nagcarlan Sub-Office exhibit high levels of character development, resiliency, and both physical- and skill-related fitness, indicating well-rounded development. There are also selective relationships between psychological traits and fitness components, particularly in flexibility, coordination, strength, and balance. However, not all traits are positively associated, as determination, goal-setting, and emotional regulation show negative relationships with endurance and power. These results suggest that while student-athletes possess strong psychological and physical attributes, the interaction between these domains is limited and develops independently, yet both remain essential in supporting overall performance.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

Based on the conclusions, it is recommended that schools and administrators (1) strengthen MAPEH and sports programs by integrating character development and resiliency outcomes alongside physical fitness training to promote the holistic growth of student-athletes. (2) Teachers and coaches should design training programs that balance physical conditioning with psychological development by emphasizing coping strategies, teamwork, discipline, and ethical values in all sports activities. (3) Student-athletes are encouraged to recognize that training is not only for competition but also an opportunity to develop discipline, perseverance, emotional control, and life skills that are useful in academics and daily

life. (4) For future researchers, it is suggested to further explore the relationship between psychological traits and specific fitness components, particularly those showing negative correlations, to better understand the interaction between mental resilience and physical performance.

REFERENCES

- Alfonso-Miller, P. (2020). *Sleep problems are associated with academic performance in a national sample of collegiate athletes*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07448481.2019.1655027>
- Al-Mamary, Y. H. (2024). *Artificial intelligence powering education: ChatGPT's impact on students' academic performance through the lens of technology-to-performance chain theory*. Retrieved from Emerald Insights : <https://www.emerald.com/jarhe/article-abstract/17/5/1661/1255310/Artificial-intelligence-powering-education-ChatGPT?redirectedFrom=PDF>
- Anderson, E. (2019). *Physical activity, exercise, and chronic diseases: A brief review*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S266633761930006X>
- Ault, K. J. (2023). *Student-athletes' perceptions of relationship quality and life skills development*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10413200.2023.2197970>
- Bird, M. D. (2020). *Student-Athletes' Mental Health Help-Seeking Experiences: A Mixed Methodological Approach*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/87568225.2018.1523699>
- Bonsaksen, T. (2021). *Associations between occupational therapy students' academic performance and their study approaches and perceptions of the learning environment*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12909-021-02940-0>
- Brandt, K. D. (2020). *Exploring the factor structure of the Dual Career Competency Questionnaire for Athletes in European pupil- and student-athletes*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1612197x.2018.1511619>
- Brougham, J. (2021). *The Impact of Social Media on the Mental Health of Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from ProQuest : <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2561949277?pq-origsite=gscholar&fromopenview=true&sourcecype=Dissertations%20&%20Theses>
- Brustio, P. R. (2020). *Italian student-athletes only need a more effective daily schedule to support their dual career*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11332-019-00594-6>
- Buono, M. G. (2019). *Exercise Intolerance in Patients With Heart Failure: JACC State-of-the-Art Review*. Retrieved from JACC: <https://www.jacc.org/doi/abs/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.01.072>
- Caulfield, J. L. (2022). *Navigating the Ethically Complex and Controversial World of College Athletics: A Humanistic Leadership Approach to Student Athlete Well-Being*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-021-05027-4>
- Chandler, G. E. (2020). *The Efficacy of a Resilience Intervention Among Diverse, At-Risk, College Athletes: A Mixed-Methods Study*. Retrieved

- from Sage Journal :
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1078390319886923>
- [13]. Combs, R. G. (2023). *The Relationship Among Student-Athlete Factors, Academic Motivation, and Academic Achievement in a Division I Football Championship Subdivision School*. Retrieved from Product: <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2844629515?pq-origsite=gscholar&fromopenview=true&sourcecype=Dissertations%20%20Theses>
- [14]. Cranmer, G. A. (2020). *Division-I Student-Athletes' Affective and Cognitive Responses to Receiving Confirmation From Their Head Coach*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2167479518824868>
- [15]. Deng, J. (2024). *A multidimensional analysis of self-esteem and individualism: A deep learning-based model for predicting elementary school students' academic performance*. Retrieved from Science Direct : <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2665917424001235>
- [16]. Duggal, N. A. (2019). *Can physical activity ameliorate immunosenescence and thereby reduce age-related multi-morbidity?* Retrieved from Nature Reviews Immunology: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41577-019-0177-9>
- [17]. Erickson, K. (2020). *High school student-athletes' perceptions and experiences of leadership as a life skill*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1469029220300674>
- [18]. Fogaca, J. L. (2020). *Combining Mental Health and Performance Interventions: Coping and Social Support for Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10413200.2019.1648326>
- [19]. Fragala, M. S. (2019). *Resistance Training for Older Adults: Position Statement From the National Strength and Conditioning Association*. Retrieved from Wolters Kluwers: https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/fulltext/2019/08000/Resistance_Training_for_Older_Adults_Positi_on.1.aspx?fbclid=IwAR15PcKBW98BU8QLPmDIQ7GgMGMI31b31nf5RBCIKi6h7_kKdE5u1OK0r8
- [20]. Ganz, D. A. (2020). *Prevention of Falls in Community-Dwelling Older Adults*. Retrieved from The New England Journal Of Medicine: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMcP1903252>
- [21]. Gao, Z. (2020). *Virtual Reality Exercise as a Coping Strategy for Health and Wellness Promotion in Older Adults during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. Retrieved from MDPI: <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/9/6/1986>
- [22]. García, A. J. (2023). *Motivational, Identity-Based, and Self-Regulatory Factors Associated with Academic Achievement of US Collegiate Student-Athletes: A Meta-Analytic Investigation*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10648-023-09730-8>
- [23]. Hagiwara, G. (2021). *Relationships among Student-Athletes' Identity, Mental Health, and Social Support in Japanese Student-Athletes during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. Retrieved from Mdpi : <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/13/7032>
- [24]. Hakman, A. (2019). *Effects of physical activity on aging processes in elderly persons*. Retrieved from Journal of Physical Education and Sport : <https://efsupit.ro/images/stories/august2019/Art%20190.pdf>
- [25]. Hassandra, M. (2021). *A Virtual Reality App for Physical and Cognitive Training of Older People With Mild Cognitive Impairment: Mixed Methods Feasibility Study*. Retrieved from JMIR Publications: <https://games.jmir.org/2021/1/e24170>
- [26]. Hidayat, Y. (2023). *The effect of the combined self-talk and mental imagery program on the badminton motor skills and self-confidence of youth beginner student-athletes*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s40359-023-01073-x>
- [27]. Hymer, W. C. (2020). *Growth Hormone(s), Testosterone, Insulin-Like Growth Factors, and Cortisol: Roles and Integration for Cellular Development and Growth With Exercise*. Retrieved from Frontiers: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/endocrinology/articles/10.3389/fendo.2020.00033/full>
- [28]. Izquierdo, M. (2021). *International Exercise Recommendations in Older Adults (ICFSR): Expert Consensus Guidelines*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12603-021-1665-8?fbclid=IwAR3dJkeHjgcSrR9Xq5kBFn-HLrbpli8WcAnz7AeY5Nu9XcGCHEB07Sd2z1w>
- [29]. Jia, R.-x. (2019). *Effects of physical activity and exercise on the cognitive function of patients with Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12877-019-1175-2>
- [30]. Jiménez-Pavón, D. (2020). *Physical exercise as therapy to fight against the mental and physical consequences of COVID-19 quarantine: Special focus in older people*. Retrieved from National Library Of Medicine: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7118448/>
- [31]. Jin, H. (2022). *Effects of leadership style on coach-athlete relationship, athletes' motivations, and athlete satisfaction*. Retrieved from Frontiers : <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1012953/full>
- [32]. Kalin, L. (2020). *Physical Activity and Healthy Aging*. Retrieved from Clinics In Geriatric Medicine: [https://www.geriatric.theclinics.com/article/S0749-0690\(20\)30051-3/abstract](https://www.geriatric.theclinics.com/article/S0749-0690(20)30051-3/abstract)
- [33]. Kaminsky, L. A. (2019). *Cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular disease - The past, present, and future*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0033062019300027>
- [34]. Kegelaers, J. (2022). *The mental health of student-athletes: a systematic scoping review*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1750984X.2022.2095657>
- [35]. Kojima, G. (2019). *Frailty syndrome: implications and challenges for health care policy*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.2147/RMHP.S168750>
- [36]. Kuchar, A. L. (2023). *Resilience and Enhancement in Sport, Exercise, & Training (RESET): A brief self-compassion intervention with NCAA student-athletes*. Retrieved from Science Direct : <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S146902922300050X>
- [37]. Laconi, E. (2020). *Cancer as a disease of old age: changing mutational and microenvironmental landscapes*. Retrieved from british journal of cancer: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41416-019-0721-1>
- [38]. Laddu, D. R. (2020). *Physical activity for immunity protection: Inoculating populations with healthy living medicine in preparation for the next pandemic*. Retrieved from National Library Of Medicine: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7195025/>
- [39]. Li, J. (2019). *Health monitoring through wearable technologies for older adults: Smart wearables acceptance model*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0003687018305167>
- [40]. Mahalakshmi, B. (2020). *Possible Neuroprotective Mechanisms of Physical Exercise in Neurodegeneration*. Retrieved from Mdpi: <https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/16/5895>
- [41]. Małkiewicz, M. A. (2019). *Blood-brain barrier permeability and physical exercise*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12974-019-1403-x>
- [42]. Márquez, J. (2023). *Class participation and feedback as enablers of student academic performance*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/21582440231177298>
- [43]. Martin, C. L. (2021). *The relationship between flourishing, injury status, and resilience in collegiate athletes*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1747954121994559>
- [44]. Mbaluka, S. N. (2021). *Associating Student Self-Discipline and Parental Involvement in Students' Academic Activities With Student Academic Performance*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10656219.2021.1994073>
- [45]. Mcleod, J. C. (2019). *Resistance Exercise Training as a Primary Countermeasure to Age-Related Chronic Disease*. Retrieved from Frontiers: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physiology/articles/10.3389/fphys.2019.00645/full>
- [46]. Mentzer, N. J. (2023). *The impact of interactive synchronous HyFlex model on student academic performance in a large active learning introductory college design course*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12528-023-09369-y>
- [47]. Miller, A. (2020). *The Influence of HeartMath® on Resilience and Empowerment in Female College Athletes*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0898010120981176>

- [48]. Montero-Odasso, M. (2022). *World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults: a global initiative*. Retrieved from Oxford Academic: <https://academic.oup.com/ageing/article/51/9/afac205/6730755>
- [49]. Muñoz, J. E. (2019). *Lessons Learned from Gamifying Functional Fitness Training Through Human-Centered Design Methods in Older Adults*. Retrieved from LiebertPub: <https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/g4h.2018.0028>
- [50]. Nascimento, C. (2019). *Sarcopenia, frailty and their prevention by exercise*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0891584918314990>
- [51]. Ni, P. (2023). *Improving collegiate student-athletes' well-being: exploring the roles of openness to experience, knowledge sharing and perceived coaching effectiveness*. Retrieved from Frontiers : <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1191622/full>
- [52]. Niehues, M. (2021). *Measuring dual career motivation among German student-athletes using the Student Athletes' Motivation Toward Sports and Academics Questionnaire*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12662-021-00723-9>
- [53]. O'Brien, K. H. (2021). *Psychological Resilience in Young Female Athletes*. Retrieved from Mdpi : <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/16/8668>
- [54]. Ofoegbu, E. (2021). *"More than an athlete": How Black student-athletes use navigational capital to transition to life after sport*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19357397.2021.1924561>
- [55]. Oliveros, E. (2019). *Hypertension in older adults: Assessment, management, and challenges*. Retrieved from Wiley Online Library: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/clc.23303>
- [56]. Ortega, G. (2020). *Examining the Intersection of Race and Athletics for Latino Male Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1538192719876091>
- [57]. Papadopoulou, S. K. (2020). *Sarcopenia: A Contemporary Health Problem among Older Adult Populations*. Retrieved from Mdpi: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/12/5/1293>
- [58]. Pierce, S. (2020). *Resilience for the Rocky Road: Lessons Learned from an Educational Program for First Year Collegiate Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/21520704.2020.1822968>
- [59]. Porter, D. (2022). *The relationship between resilience, anxiety, and depression in Chinese collegiate athletes*. Retrieved from Frontiers : <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.921419/full>
- [60]. Puri, S. S. (2022). *Psychological capital and positive mental health of student-athletes: Psychometric properties of the sport psychological capital questionnaire*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link : <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12144-022-03272-y>
- [61]. Ricardo T. Quinaud, A. F. (2020). *Student-Athletes' Motivation and Identity: Variation Among Brazilian and Portuguese University Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from Sage Journal : <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0033294119892885>
- [62]. Rivera-Torres, S. (2019). *Adherence to Exercise Programs in Older Adults: Informative Report*. Retrieved from Sage Journal: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2333721418823604>
- [63]. Ross, R. E. (2022). *The role of exercise in the treatment of depression: biological underpinnings and clinical outcomes*. Retrieved from molecular psychiatry: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41380-022-01819-w>
- [64]. Saarinen, M. (2020). *'I was excited to train, so I didn't have problems with the coach': dual career athletes' experiences of (dis)empowering motivational climates*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17430437.2019.1669322>
- [65]. Sanders, L. M. (2019). *Dose-response relationship between exercise and cognitive function in older adults with and without cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Retrieved from Plos One : <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0210036>
- [66]. Scheffer, D. d. (2020). *Exercise-induced immune system response: Anti-inflammatory status on peripheral and central organs*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092544392030168X>
- [67]. Schmitz, K. H. (2019). *Exercise is medicine in oncology: Engaging clinicians to help patients move through cancer*. Retrieved from American Cancer Society Journal: <https://acsjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.3322/caac.21579>
- [68]. Sepúlveda-Loyola, W. (2020). *Impact of Social Isolation Due to COVID-19 on Health in Older People: Mental and Physical Effects and Recommendations*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1279770723003974>
- [69]. Sharit, J. (2020). *Designing for Older Adults Principles and Creative Human Factors Approaches, Second Edition*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781420080681/designing-older-adults-arthur-fisk-wendy-rogers-neil-charness-joseph-sharit-sara-czaja-sara-czaja>
- [70]. Sherrington, C. (2020). *Evidence on physical activity and falls prevention for people aged 65+ years: systematic review to inform the WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/S12966-020-01041-3>
- [71]. Silveira, M. P. (2020). *Physical exercise as a tool to help the immune system against COVID-19: an integrative review of the current literature*. Retrieved from Springer Nature Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10238-020-00650-3>
- [72]. Simpson, R. (2020). *Can exercise affect immune function to increase susceptibility to infection?* Retrieved from Liver Pool John Moores University: <https://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12547/>
- [73]. Sullivan, L. (2021). *Evaluation of a resilience training program for college student-athletes: A pilot study*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07448481.2021.1891083>
- [74]. Sullivan, M. (2020). *Relationship between social support and depressive symptoms in collegiate student athletes*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19357397.2020.1768034>
- [75]. Suzuki, K. (2019). *Chronic Inflammation as an Immunological Abnormality and Effectiveness of Exercise*. Retrieved from MDPI: <https://www.mdpi.com/2218-273X/9/6/223>
- [76]. Thomas, R. J. (2019). *Home-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Scientific Statement From the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, the American Heart Association, and the American College of Cardiology*. Retrieved from AHA ASA Journal Circulation : <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/full/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000663>
- [77]. Tobeiha, M. (2020). *RANKL/RANK/OPG Pathway: A Mechanism Involved in Exercise-Induced Bone Remodeling*. Retrieved from Wiley Online Library: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1155/2020/6910312>
- [78]. Turick, R. (2020). *"How do I do life?" The challenges of preparing student-athletes for professional roles*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis : <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19357397.2019.1669367>
- [79]. Voss, M. W. (2019). *Exercise and Hippocampal Memory Systems*. Retrieved from Heliyon : [https://www.cell.com/trends/cognitive-sciences/abstract/S1364-6613\(19\)30023-3](https://www.cell.com/trends/cognitive-sciences/abstract/S1364-6613(19)30023-3)
- [80]. Weber, S. R. (2023). *An Examination of Depression, Anxiety, and Self-Esteem in Collegiate Student-Athletes*. Retrieved from Mdpi : <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/20/2/1211>
- [81]. Williams, G. R. (2021). *Sarcopenia in the Older Adult With Cancer*. Retrieved from Journal Of Clinical Oncology: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8260902/>
- [82]. Woods, J. A. (2020). *The COVID-19 pandemic and physical activity*. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666337620300251>
- [83]. Wu, C.-H. (2021). *Relationship between Mindfulness, Psychological Skills, and Mental Toughness in College Athletes*. Retrieved from Mdpi : <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/13/6802>
- [84]. Xu, Y. (2024). *The Impact of a Digital Game-Based AI Chatbot on Students' Academic Performance, Higher-Order Thinking, and Behavioral Patterns in an Information Technology Curriculum*. Retrieved from Mdpi: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/15/6418>
- [85]. Yperen, N. W. (2020). *Student-athletes' need for competence, effort, and attributions of success and failure: Differences between sport and*

- school. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis:
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10413200.2019.1675198>
- [86]. Yukhymenko-Lescroart, M. A. (2021). *Sport-to-School Spillover Effects of Passion for Sport: The Role of Identity in Academic Performance*. Retrieved from Sage Journal :
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/00332941211006925>
- [87]. experiences reduces depression and perceived stress reactivity in socially inhibited individuals, *Psychiatry Research*, 24-34
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112697>
- [88]. Wiley Online Library. (n.d.). *CAPR journal*.
<http://www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/capr>
- [89]. Wylleman, P., De Brandt, K., & De Knop, P. (2020). Career development. In D. Hackfort & R. J. Schinke (Eds.), *The Routledge International Encyclopedia of Sport and Exercise Psychology. Volume 2: Applied and Practical Measures* (pp. 714–731). Taylor & Francis Group.
[https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.\(2024/2\).156.01](https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2024/2).156.01)