International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications
ISSN (Online): 2581-6187

An Integrated Assessment of Environmental Degradation and Public Health Risks from Unmanaged Solid Waste in Urban Slums of the Niger Delta, Nigeria

Abiola A. Sanuth, Alfred Ajokporise, Oyinlayefa Mezeh
Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, College of Science, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, P.M.B. 1221, Effurun, Delta State, Nigeria
Email address: sanuthabiola@gmail.com

Abstract— *Rapid, unplanned urbanization in developing nations has led to the proliferation of informal settlements, where the absence of municipal services creates severe environmental and public health crises. This study provides an integrated assessment of the impacts of unmanaged solid waste in three urban slum communities, Garki, Alaka, and Scrap Yard, within Uvwie Local Government Area (LGA), Delta State, Nigeria. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining a cross-sectional household survey (n=150) with a comprehensive environmental analysis of groundwater, soil, and air quality at nine distinct locations. The survey revealed that open dumping (46.7%) and open burning (40.0%) are the dominant waste disposal methods, driven by a near-total lack of formal collection services. Environmental sampling uncovered severe, multi-media contamination. Groundwater was found to be dangerously acidic (pH as low as 1.87), microbially contaminated with Faecal Coliforms, and contained iron concentrations up to 4.152 mg/L, grossly exceeding World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Soil analysis identified the Scrap Yard as a pollution hotspot, with extreme concentrations of heavy metals, including zinc (3719.68 mg/kg), lead (303.10 mg/kg), and copper (1420.25 mg/kg), indicative of unregulated informal industrial activity. Air quality was severely degraded, with particulate matter (PM10) levels reaching 999.9 µg/m³ and ammonia (NH3) concentrations peaking at 5463 ppm. These environmental exposures correlate strongly with self-reported health outcomes, including high incidences of typhoid (58.7%) and respiratory infections (48.0%). The findings demonstrate a clear causal link between systemic governance failure in waste management, profound environmental degradation, and a significant public health burden. This situation represents a critical case of environmental injustice, necessitating urgent, slum-inclusive policy interventions.*

Keywords— *Waste Management, Urban Slums, Heavy Metals, Air Pollution, Environmental Justice, Niger Delta.*

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: The Urban Waste Crisis in Sub-Saharan Africa

The exponential growth of urban populations in developing countries has profoundly strained municipal infrastructures, with solid waste management emerging as a critical challenge. As cities expand, often in an unplanned manner, informal settlements or slums become prevalent, characterised by high population densities, inadequate housing, and a near-total absence of basic services, including structured waste disposal systems. These settlements are frequently excluded from formal municipal waste management networks, creating distinct environmental and public health vulnerabilities. Improper disposal of solid waste is a primary driver of soil, water, and air contamination, posing direct threats to ecosystem integrity and human health. In slum environments, where residents often resort to open dumping, burning, or discharging waste into waterways, the risks of pollution and disease transmission are significantly magnified, contributing to a higher incidence of respiratory infections, vector-borne diseases, and gastrointestinal illnesses.

This global challenge is particularly acute in Nigeria, where rapid urbanization has fueled the proliferation of slums in major economic hubs. Uvwie Local Government Area (LGA), situated within the Warri metropolitan axis of the Niger Delta, exemplifies this trend. The region's population pressure has overwhelmed nascent waste collection systems, leaving a large proportion of household and commercial waste unmanaged. Pollutants such as particulate matter, heavy metals, and pathogenic microorganisms from improperly managed waste infiltrate the environment, causing long-term ecological damage and elevating public health risks.

1.2 Statement of the Problem and Research Gaps

Urban slums in Uvwie LGA face systemic challenges rooted in poor infrastructure and environmental degradation, with improper waste disposal being a persistent and escalating problem. Despite efforts by the Delta State Waste Management Board, many slum communities remain underserved, leading to the ubiquitous practice of dumping waste in open spaces, drainage channels, and unregulated landfills, often in close proximity to homes. These practices create breeding grounds for disease vectors, while the open burning of waste releases harmful pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO) and sulphur dioxide (SO2). Furthermore, leachate from waste heaps can infiltrate groundwater, contaminating the primary source of drinking water for many residents and exposing them to waterborne diseases like typhoid and cholera.

Despite the severity of this issue, there is a notable scarcity of integrated, micro-level studies that combine rigorous environmental sampling with socio-behavioural surveys within the Niger Delta's slum communities. Much of the existing research is either broad in scope, focusing on state-wide metrics, or fails to empirically connect quantified environmental contamination with the lived realities and health outcomes of slum dwellers. This leaves a critical gap in the evidence base required for targeted policy formulation and effective public health interventions. This study aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive, data-driven assessment of the links between waste management practices, environmental quality, and public health in Uvwie's urban slums.

1.3 Conceptual Framework and Study Objectives

This investigation is guided by two complementary theoretical perspectives. The Integrated Sustainable Waste Management (ISWM) framework provides a holistic model that acknowledges the complex interplay between stakeholders, waste streams, and socio-cultural, institutional, and environmental dimensions, which is particularly relevant in low-income settings where formal services are limited. Complementing this is Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behaviour, which posits that actions are influenced by attitudes, social norms, and perceived control, helping to explain the waste disposal behaviours observed in the communities.

The primary aim of this study is to assess current waste management practices in selected urban slums within Uvwie LGA and to evaluate their environmental and public health impacts. The specific objectives are:

1. To evaluate the dominant waste management practices adopted by residents.
2. To quantify the levels of environmental contamination in air, soil, and groundwater resulting from these practices.
3. To assess residents' awareness, perceptions, and self-reported health risks associated with waste mismanagement.
4. To recommend evidence-based, community-inclusive strategies for improving waste management and mitigating environmental health risks.

II. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in three purposively selected urban slum communities within Uvwie LGA, Delta State, Nigeria: Garki (Uti Road), Alaka, and Scrap Yard (Warri-Sapele Road). Warri metropolitan area, a heavily urbanized and industrialized region of the Niger Delta, has its heart in the Uvwie LGA. The area is characterized by a humid tropical climate with significant annual rainfall, which can accelerate the decomposition of organic waste and facilitate the transport of pollutants. The selected communities exhibit the defining characteristics of informal settlements as defined by UN-Habitat, including high population density, insecure housing tenure, and a profound lack of basic infrastructure such as paved roads, engineered drainage systems, and formal waste collection services. These conditions make them highly vulnerable to environmental degradation and justify their selection as critical sites for this investigation.

2.2 Research Design and Sampling Strategy

A cross-sectional, mixed-methods research design was employed to integrate quantitative environmental data with socio-behavioural survey data, providing a holistic assessment within a defined timeframe. A multi-stage sampling technique was utilized for participant and site selection.

- Stage 1: Uvwie LGA was purposively selected based on its well-documented waste management challenges and the presence of significant informal settlements. [1]
- Stage 2: The three communities—Garki, Alaka, and Scrap Yard—were selected as distinct clusters representing the broader slum landscape of the LGA.
- Stage 3: Within each community, systematic random sampling was used to select 50 households, resulting in a total sample size of 150 households for the survey. Every fifth household from a central landmark was approached to recruit one consenting adult respondent.

For the environmental assessment, nine sampling points were purposively selected across the three communities. These points were strategically located at the primary waste disposal source (0 m) and at distances of 30 m and 70 m away to analyze spatial contamination gradients and assess the dispersal of pollutants from the source.

2.3 Data Collection Instruments and Procedures

Data were collected using a structured household survey and a suite of environmental sampling instruments.

Household Survey: A structured questionnaire was administered via face-to-face interviews to collect data on respondents' socio-demographic characteristics, waste generation and disposal methods, access to services, perception of environmental pollution, and self-reported health symptoms experienced within the past year.

Environmental Sampling:

- Air Quality: Ambient concentrations of gaseous pollutants, including nitrogen dioxide (NO2), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO2), and ammonia (NH3), were measured using a hand-held Aeroqual Gas Monitor (Model 500 series). Particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) was measured using a Met One AEROCET 531 portable aerosol mass monitor.
- Groundwater: Water samples were collected from nine borehole locations using sterilized 1-litre HDPE bottles for physicochemical analysis and 250 ml amber glass bottles for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) analysis. Samples were preserved and transported to the laboratory under controlled conditions.
- Soil: Soil samples were collected from the top 0-15 cm layer at nine points using a soil auger and stored in airtight containers for laboratory analysis.

2.4 Laboratory and Statistical Analysis

Standard laboratory methods were used for the analysis of water and soil samples. Physicochemical parameters of water (pH, Total Dissolved Solids, Dissolved Oxygen) and microbiological parameters (Total Coliform Count, Faecal Coliform Count [FCC]) were determined. Soil samples were analyzed for pH, Total Organic Carbon (TOC), and nutrient levels. Heavy metal concentrations (e.g., Pb, Cd, Hg, Zn, Fe, Cu, Cr) in both water and soil were determined using wet acid digestion followed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

All survey data were coded and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages) were used to summarize socio-demographic data and waste practices. Inferential statistics, specifically the Pearson Chi-Square test, were employed to determine the statistical significance of associations between categorical variables, such as location and waste disposal method, and education level and recycling awareness. Environmental data were compared against national (SON, 2015) and international (WHO, 2017) standards to assess the degree of contamination

III. Results

3.1 Socio-Demographic Profile and Community Waste Practices

The household survey captured a sample of 150 residents, evenly distributed across the three communities of Scrap Yard, Garki, and Alaka. The demographic profile, summarized in Table 1, reveals a predominantly young population, with 46.7% of respondents aged between 18 and 30 years. The gender distribution was skewed towards males (68.0%). Educational attainment was varied, with the largest group having completed primary education (37.3%), while a significant portion (20.7%) had no formal education. The local economy is largely informal, with 71.3% of respondents identifying as self-employed. Most residents were long-term inhabitants, with 78.7% having lived in their respective communities for more than one year.

TABLE 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n=150)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Location	Scrap Yard	50	33.3
	Garki	50	33.3
	Alaka	50	33.3
Age Group	18–30 years	70	46.7
	31–45 years	39	26.0
	46–60 years	24	16.0
	Above 60 years	17	11.3
Gender	Male	102	68.0
	Female	48	32.0
Education Level	No Formal Education	31	20.7
	Primary	56	37.3
	Secondary	43	28.7
	Tertiary	20	13.3
Occupation	Self-Employed	107	71.3
	Student	20	13.3
	Employed	12	8.0
	Unemployed	7	4.7
	Retired	4	2.7
Length of Residence	1–5 years	61	40.7
	Above 6 years	57	38.0
	Less than 1 year	32	21.3
Source: Field Survey, 2025			

Waste management practices, as detailed in Table 2, are overwhelmingly informal and hazardous. Open dumping was the most common disposal method (46.7%), followed closely by open burning (40.0%). A staggering 69.3% of households reported having no access to any form of waste collection service, forcing them to rely on these unsafe alternatives. Awareness of sustainable practices like recycling was critically low, with 86.7% of respondents stating they were unaware of any such programs.

TABLE 2: Waste Disposal Practices, Service Access, and Recycling Awareness

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Waste Disposal Method	Open Dumping	70	46.7
	Burning	60	40.0
	Public Bin	13	8.7
	Private Collection	7	4.7
Waste Collection Service	No collection services	104	69.3
	Irregularly	36	24.0
	Weekly	6	4.0
	Monthly	4	2.7
Recycling Awareness	No	130	86.7
	Yes	20	13.3
Source: Field Survey, 2025			

3.2 Environmental Quality Assessment

3.2.1 Groundwater Contamination

The analysis of groundwater samples, presented in Table 3, revealed severe contamination across all nine locations. The pH values ranged from a highly acidic 1.87 to 4.69, all falling far below the WHO recommended range of 6.5–8.5 for potable water. Iron (Fe) concentrations were particularly high in several locations, peaking at 4.152 mg/L at site L5, which is more than 13 times the WHO guideline of 0.3 mg/L. Microbiological contamination was confirmed by the presence of Total Coliforms in all samples and, more critically, Faecal Coliforms at sites L3, L4, and L5, with counts up to 14 MPN/100 mL. This indicates direct contamination of the water source by human or animal waste. Other heavy metals like lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) were below detection limits, while cadmium (Cd) was detected at a single site (L4) at a concentration of 0.002 mg/L, which is close to the WHO limit of 0.003 mg/L.

3.2.2 Soil Contamination

Soil quality analysis (Table 4) revealed significant heavy metal contamination, particularly at the Scrap Yard site. While soil pH was mildly acidic to neutral across all sites (6.25–6.76), the concentrations of heavy metals at the Scrap Yard were exceptionally high. Zinc (Zn) levels reached an alarming 3719.68 mg/kg at site E (Scrap Yard 30 m), lead (Pb) peaked at 303.10 mg/kg at site D (Scrap Yard source), and copper (Cu) surged to 1420.25 mg/kg, also at site D. These concentrations far exceed typical background levels and international safety thresholds for residential soils, indicating intense, localized contamination from industrial or e-waste sources. In contrast, the Garki and Alaka sites showed moderate to low levels of heavy metal contamination, though some elevated zinc and copper levels were noted.

Abiola A. Sanuth, Alfred Ajokporise, Oyinlayefa Mezeh, “An Integrated Assessment of Environmental Degradation and Public Health Risks from Unmanaged Solid Waste in Urban Slums of the Niger Delta, Nigeria,” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 8, Issue 4, pp. 46-53, 2025.

TABLE 3: Physicochemical and Microbiological Parameters of Groundwater Samples

Parameter	Unit	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9
pH	-	4.08	3.78	3.97	4.02	4.69	4.28	4.16	2.91	1.87
TDS	mg/L	27.00	66.00	37.00	39.00	46.00	29.00	108.00	75.60	48.60
DO	mg/L	5.10	4.40	4.30	3.10	3.70	5.00	4.50	3.15	2.03
BOD	mg/L	0.90	1.50	1.90	2.40	2.60	1.10	1.40	0.98	0.63
Pb	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Hg	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Cd	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Zn	mg/L	<0.001	0.012	<0.001	0.091	0.016	0.027	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Fe	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	1.153	0.641	4.152	0.184	0.149	0.104	0.067
TCC	MPN/100 mL	20	70	110	170	210	20	70	49	32
FCC	MPN/100 mL	ND	ND	4	11	14	ND	ND	ND	ND
Source: Field Laboratory Analysis, 2025. ND = Not Detected.										

TABLE 4: Physicochemical Properties and Heavy Metal Concentrations in Soil Samples (mg/kg, except where noted)

Parameter	A (Garki 0m)	B (Garki 30m)	C (Garki 70m)	D (Scrap 0m)	E (Scrap 30m)	F (Scrap 70m)	G (Alaka 0m)	H (Alaka 30m)	I (Alaka 70m)
pH (-)	6.42	6.31	6.56	6.32	6.76	6.60	6.25	6.64	6.71
TOC (%)	2.43	0.40	0.96	1.72	1.60	1.56	0.84	0.54	0.47
T/N	2.81	2.37	5.25	17.06	21.13	13.02	8.13	5.67	4.02
Zn	<0.001	173.13	174.56	3019.18	3719.68	150.81	449.89	115.01	84.53
Cr	4.54	3.86	1.85	13.66	26.23	12.58	7.16	3.66	2.78
Pb	23.21	3.32	15.42	303.10	286.52	56.85	34.71	19.12	9.74
Cu	4.02	5.38	24.16	1420.25	518.36	69.19	24.16	6.73	11.02
Source: Field Laboratory Analysis, 2025.									

TABLE 5: Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Across Sampling Locations

Location	Sampling Point	PM2.5 (µg/m³)	PM10 (µg/m³)	NO2 (ppm)	VOC (ppm)	CO (ppm)	CH4 (ppm)	SO2 (ppm)	NH3 (ppm)
Garki	Main Source	42.7	999.9	0.263	1594	1.29	76	0	–
	30m Away	53.8	89.8	0.079	1804	2.47	57	0	–
	70m Away	33.8	63.2	0.060	2964	2.36	87	0	–
Alaka	Main Source	53.0	88.0	0.037	1804	2.35	36	0	–
	30m Away	77.8	126.6	0.242	1958	2.81	102	0	–
	70m Away	59.5	97.8	0.241	1187	4.68	38	0	–
Scrap Yard	Main Source	304.9	680.8	0.000	2012	1.89	78	1.2	1319
	30m Away	95.9	160.3	0.000	4274	2.04	1347	1.1	5463
	70m Away	58.1	104.2	0.000	2211	1.60	59	0.9	–
Source: Field Laboratory Analysis, 2025.									

3.2.3 Air Pollution

Air quality monitoring revealed hazardous levels of pollution across the study areas (Table 5). Particulate matter concentrations frequently exceeded WHO 24-hour guidelines. The Garki main source recorded an extreme PM10 level of 999.9 µg/m³, while the Scrap Yard main source showed a PM2.5 concentration of 304.9 µg/m³. Gaseous pollutant levels were also alarmingly high. VOC concentrations peaked at 4274 ppm at the Scrap Yard 30 m point. Ammonia (NH_3) was exclusively detected at the Scrap Yard, with an exceptionally high concentration of 5463 ppm also at the 30 m point, likely from decomposing organic and industrial waste. Sulphur dioxide (SO_2) was also detected only at the Scrap Yard, suggesting fossil fuel combustion or incineration activities.

3.3 Health Perceptions and Statistical Associations

Residents demonstrated a strong awareness of the health consequences of poor waste management. Table 6 shows that respiratory problems were the most frequently perceived health issue (63.3%). This perception aligns with the high prevalence of self-reported illnesses, with respiratory infections affecting 48.0% of respondents in the past year. Waterborne diseases were also highly prevalent, with malaria (82.0%), typhoid fever (58.7%), and gastrointestinal diseases (46.7%) being the most commonly reported ailments.

TABLE 6: Perceived and Experienced Health Issues (n=150)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Perceived Health Issues	Respiratory problems	95	63.3
	Gastrointestinal illness	38	25.3
	Skin infection	14	9.3
Experienced Health Issues (past year)	Malaria	123	82.0
	Typhoid	88	58.7
	Respiratory infections	72	48.0
	Gastrointestinal diseases	70	46.7
Source: Field Survey, 2025			

Statistical analysis revealed significant associations between key variables. A Chi-Square test showed a statistically significant relationship between location and the primary waste disposal method used ($\chi^2(6)=22.702, p=0.001$), indicating that disposal practices vary by community based on available infrastructure (or lack thereof). For instance, burning was most

Abiola A. Sanuth, Alfred Ajokporise, Oyinlayefa Mezeh, "An Integrated Assessment of Environmental Degradation and Public Health Risks from Unmanaged Solid Waste in Urban Slums of the Niger Delta, Nigeria," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 8, Issue 4, pp. 46-53, 2025.

common in Garki (52%), while public bins were confined to Alaka and Scrap Yard.

Furthermore, a strong and statistically significant association was found between respondents' level of education and their awareness of recycling ($\chi2(3)=37.431, p<0.001$). As shown in Table 8, awareness increased dramatically with higher educational attainment, from 0% among those with no formal education to 61.5% among those with tertiary education, highlighting the crucial role of education in environmental literacy.

TABLE 7: Crosstabulation of Waste Disposal Method by Location

		Burning	Open dumping	Public bin	Private collection	Total
Scrap Yard	Count	19	22	5	4	50
	%	38.0%	44.0%	10.0%	8.0%	100.0%
Alaka	Count	24	15	11	0	50
	%	48.0%	30.0%	22.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Garki	Count	26	24	0	0	50
	%	52.0%	48.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	69	61	16	4	150
Source: Field Survey, 2025						

TABLE 8: Crosstabulation of Recycling Awareness by Education Level

		Aware (Yes)	Not Aware (No)	Total
No Formal Education	Count	0	29	29
	%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Primary Education	Count	2	56	58
	%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%
Secondary Education	Count	10	40	50
	%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
Tertiary Education	Count	8	5	13
	%	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
Source: Field Survey, 2025				

IV. Discussion

4.1 The Nexus of Unsafe Waste Practices and Severe Environmental Contamination

The findings from this study establish an unambiguous link between the prevailing waste disposal behaviours and the severe, multi-media environmental contamination observed across the study sites. The household survey confirms that over 86% of residents are forced to rely on open dumping and burning in the absence of structured municipal services. This is not a matter of choice but necessity, and the environmental consequences are direct and predictable. The extreme levels of air, water, and soil pollution are not random occurrences but are the cumulative result of a systemic failure in urban waste governance.

A particularly stark finding is the emergence of the Scrap Yard as a distinct pollution hotspot. While all three communities suffer from the impacts of unmanaged domestic waste, the environmental signature at the Scrap Yard is qualitatively different. The soil is laden with exceptionally high concentrations of industrial heavy metals like zinc, lead, and copper. At the same time, the air contains sulphur dioxide and extremely high levels of ammonia—pollutants not detected or found in much lower concentrations at the other sites. This specific chemical profile is characteristic of unregulated industrial activities such as e-waste processing, informal metal smelting, and battery breaking. This indicates that the environmental burden in this community is compounded by co-located, hazardous informal industrial activities operating within a residential zone. This reframes the issue from purely municipal waste management to a more complex problem of unregulated industrial pollution, representing a profound environmental injustice that requires targeted regulatory enforcement beyond the simple provision of domestic waste bins.

4.2 Public Health Implications of Multi-Pathway Exposure

Integrating environmental data and self-reported health outcomes reveals a significant public health crisis driven by exposure to pollutants through multiple pathways. The high prevalence of respiratory infections (48.0%) among residents corresponds directly with the hazardous levels of airborne particulate matter, VOCs, and ammonia measured on-site. Similarly, the high incidence of waterborne diseases, including typhoid fever (58.7%) and gastrointestinal illnesses (46.7%), is plausibly linked to the documented faecal contamination of groundwater, which serves as a primary source of drinking water. This alignment between measured environmental risks and reported health conditions affirms that residents live in verifiable zones of toxic exposure.

The groundwater contamination presents a particularly complex and synergistic threat. The data reveal not one but three concurrent problems: microbiological contamination from faecal matter, chemical contamination from high iron levels, and extreme acidity with pH values falling below 2.0. These issues are interconnected. The extreme acidity, likely from industrial leachate, makes the water highly corrosive. This corrosivity can, in turn, accelerate the leaching of additional heavy metals from the surrounding soil and antiquated plumbing, increasing toxic exposure. Furthermore, high acidity can impair the effectiveness of simple disinfection methods like boiling, meaning residents may be exposed to pathogens even if they attempt to treat their water. This "triple threat" elevates the public health emergency from severe to critical, as residents are consuming a chemically aggressive liquid that poses multiple, interacting health risks.

4.3 Governance Failure, Social Barriers, and Community Potential

The study's findings expose a profound failure in environmental governance and service delivery. An overwhelming 80.0% of residents rated the effectiveness of local governance in waste management as "not effective," a perception substantiated by the fact that 69.3% have no access to formal collection services. This points to the systemic exclusion of these informal settlements from basic municipal infrastructure, a hallmark of environmental injustice where marginalised populations disproportionately bear the burden of pollution and infrastructural neglect.

However, the data reveal a crucial and hopeful dynamic amidst this systemic failure. A seeming contradiction exists between residents' awareness and their willingness to act. While 77.3% reported being "unaware" of the specific scientific health impacts of poor waste disposal, 84.7% expressed a high willingness to participate in community-led waste management initiatives. This suggests that their "unawareness" pertains to formal scientific terminology, not to the lived reality of the problem; they clearly perceive the pollution and experience the resulting illnesses. Despite receiving no services, their high willingness to act indicates a significant reservoir of latent social capital. Community apathy and lack of opportunity, infrastructure, and institutional support are the primary barriers to improved waste management. This is a critical point for policymakers: top-down awareness campaigns focused on abstract risks are likely less effective than bottom-up, participatory models that provide tangible tools (bins, collection points, recycling incentives) and thereby activate this existing community readiness for change. The strong statistical link between education and recycling awareness further underscores the need to embed accessible, targeted educational components within these practical, hands-on interventions.

V. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

The evidence gathered in this study unequivocally demonstrates that the absence of regulated waste management in the urban slums of Uvwie LGA has precipitated a severe and multifaceted environmental and public health crisis. The dominant disposal practices of open dumping and burning have critically compromised the integrity of soil, water, and air. The investigation identified pollution hotspots, such as the Scrap Yard, where concentrations of industrial-grade heavy metals and toxic gases far exceed safety thresholds, pointing to the dual burden of unmanaged domestic and informal industrial waste. The infiltration of leachate into groundwater has rendered it dangerously acidic and microbially contaminated, posing an immediate threat to residents who rely on it for drinking. The strong correlation between these quantified environmental exposures and the high prevalence of self-reported waterborne and respiratory diseases confirms the significant public health impact. Ultimately, this situation represents a systemic failure of environmental governance and a clear manifestation of environmental injustice, where marginalised communities are disproportionately exposed to ecological hazards and neglected by municipal service delivery frameworks.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the study's findings, a multi-tiered intervention framework is proposed to address this crisis, combining immediate risk mitigation with long-term systemic change.

Short-Term Interventions

1. Health Education and Community Clean-Up Campaigns: Launch community-wide sensitisation programs using accessible formats (e.g., radio, town criers) to explain the direct health risks of current waste practices. These should be paired with community-led clean-up initiatives to remove existing waste dumps and improve immediate environmental hygiene.
2. Provision of Communal Waste Infrastructure: Immediately distribute covered, durable waste bins at strategic, accessible points within each community. Prioritize high-risk areas such the Scrap Yard by creating temporary waste transfer sites and collaborating with state organizations or NGOs to provide interim waste evacuation services.

Long-Term Strategic Actions

1. Slum-Inclusive Waste Management Planning: The Uvwie LGA and the Delta State Waste Management Board must develop a formal waste management master plan that explicitly integrates informal settlements. This plan should establish regular and affordable collection services, formalise the role of informal waste collectors into a structured recycling chain, and create localised waste management infrastructure.
2. Strengthened Environmental Enforcement: Implement routine air, water, and soil quality monitoring. Enforce regulations compelling industries to manage waste and prosecute illegal dumping and hazardous industrial discharge, explicitly focusing on the activities identified in the Scrap Yard zone.
3. Investment in Basic Infrastructure and Slum Upgrading: Address the root causes of vulnerability by investing in essential infrastructure. This includes constructing safe, alternative water sources (e.g., deep boreholes in uncontaminated zones), building engineered drainage systems to manage runoff, and improving road access to facilitate consistent waste collection.
4. Community-Based Participatory Programs: Design and implement waste management solutions *with* the community, not for them. Leverage the high willingness of residents to participate by creating community-based waste committees, providing training on sorting and composting, and developing incentive structures for recycling. These programs should incorporate targeted environmental education to build on the established link between education and sustainable behaviour.

References

[1] Adesakin, T. A., Aladesanmi, O. T., & Olasehinde, E. F. (2021). Assessment of groundwater quality in selected Akure South Local Government Area communities, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Water and Environmental Sciences, 2*(1), 1-10.

[2] Afolabi, T. A., Adeyemi, A. A., & Olajire, A. A. (2020). Assessment of physicochemical parameters of groundwater in Ilesha, Osun State, Nigeria. *Applied Water Science, 10*(4), 1-10.

[3] Afon, A. O. (2012). A survey of operational characteristics, socioeconomic and health profiles of scavengers in municipal solid waste in Lagos, Nigeria. *Waste Management & Research, 30*(7), 694-701.

[4] Agbozu, I. E., Oghosanine, F. A., & Emeshili, U. A. (2015). Assessment of dumpsite leachates on groundwater quality in Ughelli, Delta State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science, 5*(11), 12-22.

[5] Ajayi, O. O., & Raimi, M. O. (2020). Environmental education and sustainable waste management in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Public Health, 4*(2), 112-125.

[6] Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179-211.

[7] Akinbile, C. O., & Yusoff, M. S. (2011). Environmental impact of landfill on groundwater quality and agricultural soils in Malaysia. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, 2*(2), 90-95.

[8] Asian Development Bank. (2016). *Philippines: Solid Waste Management Sector Project*.

[9] ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2022). *Toxicological Profile for Volatile Organic Compounds*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

[10] Ayedun, H., Raimi, M. O., & Odipe, O. E. (2022). Assessment of ambient air quality in selected industrial areas of Lagos, Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 194*(10), 1-15.

[11] Brady, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2010). *Elements of the Nature and Properties of Soils* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.

[12] BusinessDay. (2024). *Delta partners private firm on waste-to-energy project*.

[13] Caravanos, J., Varona, M., Dewan, P., Slavkovich, V., & Graziano, J. H. (2011). Lead and mercury exposures and associated health risks in a population of e-waste recyclers at the Agbogbloshie dump site in Ghana. *Journal of Health and Pollution, 1*(1), 1-12.

[14] Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage publications.

[15] Delta State Government. (2024). *DSWMB steps up efforts to keep Delta clean*.

[16] Dey, S., & Iulo, L. D. (2021). Dharavi: A case study of a circular economy. *Journal of Urban Management, 10*(3), 253-264.

[17] Dias, S. M. (2011). Waste pickers and public policies in Belo Horizonte, Brazil. *Women in Informal Employment: Globalizing and Organizing (WIEGO) Policy Brief (Urban Policies), 4*.

[18] Earth5R. (n.d.). *Dharavi Project*.

[19] Ezeah, C., & Roberts, C. L. (2012). Analysis of barriers and success factors affecting the adoption of sustainable management of municipal solid waste in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Management, 103*, 9-14.

[20] Ezeh, A., Oyebode, O., Satterthwaite, D., Chen, Y. F., Ndugwa, R., Sartori, J.,... & Lilford, R. J. (2017). The health of people who live in slums 4: a call to action. *The Lancet, 389*(10068), 547-558.

[21] Exnora International. (2023). *Exnora Green Pammal*.

[22] Farrell, C. (2013). *Youth-led initiatives in Kibera*. Carolina for Kibera.

[23] Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2009). *National Environmental (Sanitation and Waste Control) Regulations*.

[24] Ghosh, S., Saha, S., & Maiti, S. K. (2015). Assessment of soil quality in a coal mining area of India. *Environmental Earth Sciences, 73*(10), 6301-6312.

[25] Ibimilua, A. F., & Ibimilua, O. F. (2020). Urbanization and waste management challenges in Nigeria: The Warri metropolis experience. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning, 13*(2), 24-33.

[26] Kelepertzis, E. (2014). Heavy metal contamination in soils and sediments from the mining and smelting area of Lavrion, Greece. *Environmental Earth Sciences, 71*(1), 361-372.

[27] Kimani-Murage, E. W., & Ngindu, A. M. (2007). Quality of water the slum dwellers use: the case of a Kenyan slum. *Journal of Urban Health, 84*(6), 829-838.

[28] Kumar, R. (2011). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners* (3rd ed.). Sage.

[29] LAWMA. (2023). *Lagos Waste Management Authority Annual Report*.

[30] Manga, V. E., Forton, O. T., & Read, A. D. (2023). Waste management in informal settlements of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: a review of challenges and opportunities. *Environmental Management, 71*(1), 1-15.

[31] Nature. (2023). *Cronbach's alpha*.

[32] Nkwocha, E. E. (2022). Environmental sustainability and waste management in Nigerian cities: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 13*(3), 743-752.

[33] Nwachukwu, M. A., Ogbulie, J. N., & Nwaogu, L. A. (2016). Bacteriological quality of drinking water sources in rural communities of Imo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 9*(3), 201-210.

[34] Nwaka, G. I. (2020). The informal sector in urban waste management in Nigeria: A case study of Lagos. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 63*(1), 1-15.

[35] Nwosisi, M. C., Oguntoke, O., & Agbozu, I. E. (2021). Assessment of ambient air quality in selected locations in Warri metropolis, Nigeria. *Journal of Health and Pollution, 11*(31), 210904.

[36] Nwosisi, M. C., Oguntoke, O., & Agbozu, I. E. (2022). Spatio-temporal assessment of heavy metal pollution in soils of selected automobile workshops in Warri, Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 194*(2), 1-15.

[37] Oduwaye, L., & Lawanson, T. (2020). Informal settlements and health in Lagos, Nigeria. *Cities, 103*, 102761.

[38] Ogeleka, D. O., & Omoregie, E. (2022). Heavy metal concentrations in soils and plants around a municipal solid waste dumpsite in Effurun, Delta State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management, 26*(3), 445-452.

[39] Ogwueleka, T. C. (2009). Municipal solid waste characteristics and management in Nigeria. *Iran Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering, 6*(3), 173-180.

[40] Okeniyi, S. O., Okeniyi, E. T., & Raimi, M. O. (2023). Soil pollution and health risks near unmanaged dumpsites in Rumueme, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30*(5), 1-15.

[41] Olayiwola, S. A., Olorunfemi, F. B., & Adebayo, A. A. (2023). Determinants of household solid waste management practices in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2023*, 1-11.

[42] Omole, D. O., Longe, E. O., & Musa, A. A. (2016). Water quality and public health implications of a peri-urban community in Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2016*, 1-8.

[43] Orangi Pilot Project. (2023). *Annual Report*.

[44] OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration). (2023). *Permissible Exposure Limits – Ammonia*. U.S. Department of Labor.

[45] Patil, R. S., Kumar, R., & Mukherjee, A. (2013). Air pollution and health effects in the Dharavi slum of Mumbai. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 185*(12), 9883-9892.

[46] Pilario, D. F. (2014). *Back to the rough grounds of praxis: exploring theological method with Pierre Bourdieu*. Peeters Publishers.

[47] Scribbr. (2020). *Research Methodology*.

[48] Showers, K. B. (2024). *Freetown, Sierra Leone: A case study of urban environmental challenges*.

[49] SON (Standards Organisation of Nigeria). (2015). *Nigerian Industrial Standard: Drinking Water Specification*. NIS 554:2015.

[50] Stanley, H. O., & Amesi, J. (2021). Health implications of solid waste management in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2021*, 1-9.

[51] UNEP. (2007). *The Dandora Dumpsite: A health and environmental crisis*.

[52] UNEP. (2013). *Guidelines for national waste management strategies: Moving from challenges to opportunities*.

[53] UNEP. (2018). *Global Waste Management Outlook*.

[54] UN-Habitat. (2016). *Urbanization and Development: Emerging Futures*.

[55] UN-Habitat. (2022). *The State of African Cities 2022: The Future of Africa's Cities*. United Nations Human Settlements Programme.

[56] United Nations. (2019). *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*.

[57] USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). (2016). *Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) for Chemical Contaminants at Superfund Sites*.

[58] USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). *National Primary Drinking Water Regulations*.

[59] Vriaf. (2023). *Waste management in Lagos: Challenges and opportunities*.

[60] WHO (World Health Organization). (2011). *Waste and human health: Evidence and needs*.

[61] WHO (World Health Organization). (2017). *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality* (4th ed., incorporating the 1st addendum).

[62] WHO (World Health Organization). (2021). *WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide*.

[63] Wilson, D. C., Velis, C., & Cheeseman, C. (2006). Role of informal sector recycling in waste management in developing countries. *Habitat International, 30*(4), 799-808.

[64] Wilson, D. C., Rodic, L., Scheinberg, A., Velis, C. A., & Alabaster, G. (2012). Comparative analysis of solid waste management in 20 cities. *Waste Management & Research, 30*(3), 237-254.

[65] Ziraba, A. K., Haregu, T. N., & Mberu, B. (2016). A review and framework for understanding the potential impact of poor solid waste

management on health in developing countries. *Archives of Public Health, 74*(1), 55.

[66] Zolnikov, T. R., et al. (2021). Health risks among informal waste workers: A systematic review. *Environmental Research, 196*, 110392.