International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications
ISSN (Online): 2581-6187

Scientific Temper in Relation to Achievement in Physics

Dr. C. Maria Ugin Joseph
Dean, School of Education, DMI – ST. EUGENE UNIVERSITY
P.O. Box 330081, Plot No: B2029/M, 9 Miles, Great North Road
Chibombo, Lusaka, Zambia. Mail: uginjoseph@gmail.com

Abstract— *Scientific temper, characterized by critical thinking, rational inquiry, and evidence-based reasoning, is essential for effective learning in science, particularly physics. The connection between a scientific temperament and academic performance in physics is examined among higher secondary school students in the Kallakurichi region of Tamil Nadu. A survey research design was employed with a sample of 150 Class XI students stratified by gender, locale (urban/rural), and medium of instruction (Tamil/English). Scientific temper was measured using a validated scale by Nadeem and Wani (2012), while achievement data were obtained from recent school examinations. Results indicate that students possess a high level of scientific temper (Mean = 99.05), suggesting a strong disposition toward inquiry and critical thinking. However, the average achievement score in physics was relatively low (Mean = 47.68), below the normative benchmark for high performance. Correlation analysis revealed no significant relationship between scientific temper and physics achievement (r = 0.031). Further, no significant differences were found between groups based on gender, locale, or medium in terms of scientific temper or physics achievement. These findings suggest that while scientific temper reflects a positive cognitive and attitudinal framework, it alone does not guarantee high academic performance in physics. Factors such as instructional quality, assessment methods, prior knowledge, and study strategies may influence achievement more directly. Educational implications emphasize the need for inquiry-based labs, conceptual scaffolding, problem-solving workshops, formative assessments, and the integration of technology to effectively translate scientific curiosity into academic success. Gender-, locale-, and medium-neutral teaching strategies are recommended to ensure inclusivity. The study's limitations include its cross-sectional design, reliance on quantitative data, and sample restricted to one district, limiting generalizability. Future research should explore longitudinal and qualitative approaches, broader samples, and intervention-based studies to better understand how to nurture scientific temper into improved learning outcomes. This research contributes valuable insights for educators and policymakers aiming to enhance physics education through fostering scientific temper.*

Keywords—*Achievement in Physics, Higher Secondary Students, Scientific Temper.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Science serves as an indispensable framework for understanding and navigating modern existence, its principles permeating daily decision-making often without explicit recognition. While individuals with formal scientific education may consciously acknowledge this influence, broader segments of the population engage with scientific concepts implicitly, underscoring the critical need for widespread science education. Effective science pedagogy transcends the mere transmission of facts; it is fundamentally concerned with the development of logical reasoning and problem-solving competencies. Central to this endeavor is the cultivation of scientific temper—a cognitive disposition characterized by critical inquiry, evidence-based evaluation, and the systematic questioning of assumptions (Government of India, 2014).

Scientific temper represents a distinct epistemic orientation that extends beyond the mere accumulation of scientific knowledge. An individual possessing advanced academic training in physics, for instance, may not inherently demonstrate scientific temper if they fail to apply critical reasoning to novel situations. Conversely, individuals without formal scientific education may exhibit this disposition through natural curiosity and rational skepticism. Recognizing its societal significance, the Government of India has institutionalized efforts to promote scientific temper, most notably through National Science Day (February 28th). Since 2014, this observance has explicitly prioritized nurturing a culture of rational inquiry to counteract pervasive superstitions and enhance public reasoning capacities.

Educational institutions bear a profound responsibility in fostering scientific temper among students. Pedagogical approaches must move beyond rote memorization to stimulate curiosity, encourage questioning, and promote active investigation. Despite advancements in technology and information accessibility, the persistence of irrational beliefs—such as attributing natural phenomena to supernatural causes—highlights an enduring epistemic gap. Cultivating scientific temper in students serves as a critical mechanism for bridging this divide, equipping them with the tools for evidence-based reasoning and methodical skepticism.

From an educational psychology perspective, scientific temper aligns with established learning paradigms. Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) emphasizes active knowledge construction through hypothesis testing and investigation, providing an ideal environment for scientific temper to develop (Bruner, 1961; Pedaste et al., 2015). This approach positions learners as active agents in the discovery process, inherently reinforcing critical evaluation and curiosity. Similarly, metacognition—the conscious awareness and regulation of one's cognitive processes—supports scientific temper by enabling learners to reflect on their reasoning, identify potential biases, and refine problem-solving strategies (Flavell, 1979; Schraw & Dennison, 1994). This self-regulatory capacity is essential for maintaining intellectual rigor and open-mindedness. Furthermore, Constructivist theory posits that knowledge is actively built through experiential engagement (Piaget, 1950; Vygotsky, 1978). Scientific temper embodies this principle, as learners synthesize understanding through experimentation, observation, and critical analysis rather than passive reception of information.

Collectively, these theoretical frameworks underscore that scientific temper constitutes a dynamic cognitive process rather than a static repository of facts. It involves the continuous application of critical thinking and the active construction of knowledge. The present study seeks to empirically investigate the relationship between higher secondary students' levels of scientific temper and their academic achievement in physics. By examining this association, the research aims to contribute to the understanding of how fostering this disposition may enhance learning outcomes in science education, thereby informing pedagogical practices and curricular design.

II. Review of Literature

Singh and Kumar (2023) explored the role of metacognitive strategies—such as self-reflection and monitoring one's own learning—in nurturing scientific temper among physics students. Their research showed that students who practiced metacognitive techniques were better able to evaluate their understanding and correct misconceptions, leading to improved physics scores.

Chaudhary et al. (2022) examined the impact of problem-based learning interventions on scientific temper and physics achievement. Their results indicated that engaging students in real-world problem solving boosted their curiosity, critical thinking, and logical reasoning skills, all components of scientific temper, which strongly correlated with improved exam performance.

Reddy and Prakash (2022) highlighted that students who exhibit higher levels of scientific temper tend to develop stronger analytical skills and adaptability. These cognitive abilities help them grasp complex physics concepts more effectively, resulting in better academic achievement compared to peers with lower scientific temper.

Mehta and Sharma (2021) conducted a study on secondary school students and found that promoting skepticism and evidence-based reasoning reduced common misconceptions in physics. This approach not only fostered scientific temper but also translated into higher achievement levels by encouraging students to question and validate scientific claims rigorously.

Khan and Ali (2021) found that actively fostering scientific temper through inquiry-based learning methods significantly enhances students' conceptual understanding in physics. Their study demonstrated that when students engage in questioning, hypothesis testing, and critical analysis, their overall performance in physics improves noticeably.

Rishu Deep Bhatnagar (2021) conducted a study to examine the scientific temper of senior secondary science students, focusing on differences based on locality, gender, and subject group. The researcher developed a standardized instrument for this aim that includes 100 test questions covering six different aspects of scientific temper. The tool demonstrated reliability and validity, with reliability at 0.68, cross validity at 0.72, and concurrent validity at 0.68. A random sample of 600 students was selected from the overall population, and the tool was administered to compare scientific temper across various groups. Analysis using both descriptive and inferential statistics showed that urban students had significantly higher levels of scientific temper compared to their rural peers. Furthermore, students studying in the PCM (Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics) stream displayed higher scientific temper than those in the PCB (Physics, Chemistry, Biology) stream. However, there was no significant difference in scientific temper between male and female students.

III. Need and Significance of the Study

Scientific temper represents a critical cognitive and ethical framework, grounded in rational thinking, logical analysis, and evidence-based decision-making. Its significance extends far beyond academic disciplines, serving as a vital instrument for challenging and dismantling deeply entrenched social ills such as superstition, discrimination, and the caste system. This is not merely an abstract ideal; it is a practical necessity for societal progress. A concerning reality persists, however, where individuals formally educated in science often compartmentalize their understanding, limiting its application to classroom or laboratory contexts while failing to translate it into rational judgment in everyday life situations. This disconnect highlights a critical gap between scientific knowledge and the lived practice of scientific temper.

The imperative to cultivate this disposition is formally enshrined in the Indian Constitution. Article 51A(h) explicitly identifies the development of scientific temper, humanism, and the spirit of inquiry and reform as a fundamental duty of every citizen. This constitutional mandate underscores its foundational role in shaping a progressive, inclusive, and rational society. Scientific temper fosters essential qualities—objectivity, curiosity, and critical thinking—that empower individuals to navigate complex personal and social challenges. It encourages a courageous questioning of traditional beliefs, the exploration of alternative solutions, and a calm, logical response to problems, moving beyond the constraints of irrational fears or unquestioned cultural dogmas. Thus, scientific temper is not merely an intellectual asset; it is a cornerstone of holistic individual and societal development.

Within the educational sphere, particularly in science subjects like physics, the influence of scientific temper on student outcomes becomes increasingly apparent. Physics, by its very nature, demands abstract reasoning, sophisticated problem-solving, and rigorous analytical skills. These cognitive demands align intrinsically with the core qualities nurtured by a scientific mindset. A student who approaches physics with genuine curiosity, questions underlying assumptions, and relies on empirical evidence and logical deduction is likely to engage more deeply with the subject matter and develop a more robust conceptual understanding. Therefore, examining the relationship between scientific temper and academic achievement in physics at the higher secondary level holds significant importance for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers aiming to enhance science education.

Recognizing this vital link, the present study seeks to empirically explore how the cultivation of scientific temper contributes to academic success in physics among higher secondary students. By investigating this relationship, the research aims to provide valuable, evidence-based insights. These findings could inform the refinement of pedagogical strategies, curriculum design, and assessment methods, ultimately promoting scientific thinking not just as a subject matter, but as a foundational skill for personal growth and national development.

IV. Objectives of the Study

The study was undertaken having the following objectives in mind.

- To find out the scientific temper of higher secondary students.
- To find out the achievement in physics of higher secondary students.
- To find out the relationship between scientific temper and achievement in physics.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between male and female students in their scientific temper.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between rural and urban students in their scientific temper.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their scientific temper.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between male and female students in their achievement in physics.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between rural and urban students in their achievement in physics.
- To find out if there is any significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their achievement in physics.

V. Hypotheses of the Study

- The scientific temper of higher secondary students is high.
- The achievement in physics of higher secondary students is high.
- There is no significant relationship between scientific temper and achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between male and female students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between male and female students in their achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their achievement in physics.

VI. Method of Study

The present investigation was conducted within the Kallakurichi educational district, situated in the state of Tamil Nadu, India. A survey research design was employed, a methodology well-suited for systematically collecting data pertaining to attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors across a defined population. To ensure comprehensive representation and facilitate comparative analysis, a stratified sampling procedure was implemented. Participants were stratified according to key demographic variables: gender (male, female), locale (urban, rural), and medium of instruction (Tamil, English). This stratification was designed to enable a nuanced examination of the relationship between scientific temper and physics achievement among higher secondary students, ensuring the sample reflected the diversity of the target population.

VII. Sample of the Study

Given the constraints of temporal and resource availability, a census of the entire population was deemed impractical for the present study. Consequently, a representative sample was purposively selected. The final sample comprised 150 students currently enrolled in Class XI (Plus One) during the 2024–2025 academic session. Participants were drawn from multiple higher secondary schools across the Kallakurichi district. The sampling strategy intentionally incorporated diversity across key demographic strata, including gender (male/female), locale (urban/rural), and medium of instruction (Tamil/English), thereby ensuring a comprehensive and heterogeneous representation of the target population.

VIII. Tool Used in the Present Study

- Scientific temper scale prepared and validated by Nadeem and Showkat Rasheed Wani (2012)
- Achievement score was collected from school recent examination.

IX. Reliablity and Validity of the Tools

The instrument's reliability was assessed using the split-half method, a technique that evaluates internal consistency by correlating scores derived from two halves of the test. The obtained reliability coefficient of 0.76 indicates a satisfactory level of internal consistency, thereby establishing the tool's dependability for measuring scientific temper. The instrument was subjected to a thorough evaluation by a group of professionals in educational research to ensure content validity. Their critical evaluation ensured that the items were conceptually appropriate, comprehensive, and aligned with the theoretical framework of scientific temper. Subsequent to their feedback, requisite modifications were implemented to enhance the instrument's accuracy and relevance for the target population.

X. Statistical Techniques Used in the Study

For analyzing data mean, standard deviation and 't' test analyses were used in the present study.

Dr. C. Maria Ugin Joseph, "Scientific Temper in Relation to Achievement in Physics," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 8, Issue 2, pp. 131-138, 2025.

XI. Analyses of Data

TABLE 1: Mean and SD of Scientific Temper Score of Higher Secondary Students

Variable	Number	Mean	SD
Scientific Temper	150	99.05	21.64

Based on the data, the sampled higher secondary students exhibit a high level of scientific temper, as their mean score of 99.05 significantly surpasses the established threshold for a high disposition. This robust finding, which supports the study's hypothesis, indicates that students, on average, possess a strong inclination for critical thinking, rational inquiry, and evidence-based reasoning.

This outcome presents significant opportunities for educators. It suggests a clear shift is needed from traditional rote learning to more active, inquiry-based teaching methods that leverage students' natural curiosity. Pedagogical practices should promote metacognitive reflection, encourage collaborative problem-solving, and be tailored to diverse learner profiles to ensure all students can thrive. Furthermore, it is crucial to investigate any gaps between a student's strong scientific temper and their academic performance to identify and address potential barriers, ultimately optimizing science education to align with students' demonstrated strengths.

TABLE 2: Mean and SD of Achievement Score in Physics of Higher Secondary Students

Variable	Number	Mean	SD
Achievement in Physics	150	47.68	13.3

Based on the results presented in Table 2, the mean physics achievement score for the higher secondary student sample (N = 150) is 47.68, with a standard deviation of 13.30. According to the established test norms, scores below 50 indicate low achievement, while scores above 50 represent high achievement. Consequently, since the sample's mean score (47.68) falls slightly below the 50-point threshold, it is inferred that, on average, the students demonstrate a low level of achievement in physics. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that "the achievement in physics of higher secondary students is high" is not supported by the data and is rejected.

This finding of an average score below the benchmark suggests general underachievement in physics within the sample population, with poor conceptual understanding potentially being a key contributing factor. To address this, teachers should adopt student-centered pedagogical approaches, such as inquiry-based learning and problem-solving methods, while also implementing differentiated instruction to accommodate diverse learning levels. Integrating technological tools like simulations and visual aids can enhance student engagement and comprehension. Furthermore, targeted teacher training in effective physics pedagogy is crucial for improving instructional delivery, and a review of curriculum and assessment methods may be necessary to ensure better alignment with learner needs. Promoting scientific temper through practical, hands-on activities can also support higher achievement, indicating that a comprehensive pedagogical shift is essential for improving physics learning outcomes.

TABLE 3: Correlation between Scientific Temper and Achievement in Physics

Variable	Number	'r'	Level of Significance
Scientific Temper Achievement in Physics	150	0.031	Not Significant

Based on the data analysis, a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.031, which is not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), indicates the absence of a significant linear relationship between scientific temper and physics achievement among the sampled higher secondary students. Consequently, the hypothesis stating that there is no significant relationship between these variables is accepted.

This finding, which reveals a disconnect between students' high scientific temper and their low physics achievement, can be attributed to several key factors. The influence of confounding variables, such as prior knowledge, cognitive abilities, teaching quality, motivation, and socio-economic background, may overshadow the impact of scientific temper on academic scores. Furthermore, the nature of assessment methods plays a critical role; if examinations prioritize rote memorization over the application of critical thinking, the skills fostered by scientific temper may not be rewarded. The distinction between scientific temper as a dispositional trait and achievement as a measure of learned content is also significant, as a student may possess the disposition for inquiry but lack mastery of specific curriculum content. Additionally, individual differences in metacognitive skills and study strategies can mediate the relationship, as these competencies are crucial for translating a critical mindset into academic success. The observed lack of correlation may also be due to a nonlinear or indirect relationship, where scientific temper influences achievement through mediating variables like curiosity or perseverance, a complexity not captured by a simple bivariate analysis. Finally, cultural and contextual factors, such as an educational emphasis on rote learning, may restrict opportunities for students to apply their scientific temper, thereby weakening its observable link to formal achievement measures.

That emphasize inquiry-based and experiential learning across both rural and urban contexts. To enhance engagement, lessons should incorporate locally relevant examples while ensuring equitable access to essential resources such as laboratories and digital tools. The findings further advocate for ongoing professional development for educators in all settings, the promotion of peer learning and collaborative activities, and the cultivation of a scientific culture through extracurricular clubs and projects. Ultimately, these results reinforce the importance of inclusive and equitable science education practices that foster curiosity and critical thinking uniformly across diverse regional contexts.

The results presented in Table 4 indicate that the mean scientific temper score for male students (M = 100.59, SD = 20.86) is slightly higher than that for female students (M = 97.75, SD = 22.34). However, an independent samples t-test yielded a t-value of 1.14, which was not statistically

Dr. C. Maria Ugin Joseph, "Scientific Temper in Relation to Achievement in Physics," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 8, Issue 2, pp. 131-138, 2025.

significant at the 0.05 level. This finding indicates that there is no statistically significant difference in scientific temper between male and female higher secondary students. As a result, we agree with the theory that "there is no significant difference between male and female students in their scientific temper.

TABLE 4: Mean, SD and ‘t’ value for Scientific Temper Score based on gender

Gen	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Male	63	100.59	20.86	1.14	Not Significant
Female	87	97.75	22.34		

These results suggest that scientific temper, as a cognitive disposition, is not influenced by gender within this sample population. This finding has significant implications for pedagogical practice. It underscores the necessity for a gender-neutral approach to science education that promotes equal participation and engagement. Educators should avoid making gender-based assumptions about students' abilities or interests and instead focus on addressing individual learning needs. Implementing collaborative, inquiry-based, and hands-on instructional strategies can benefit all students equally. Furthermore, fostering an inclusive classroom environment that ensures balanced participation, provides equitable access to resources and laboratory opportunities, and highlights diverse scientific role models is essential for nurturing scientific temper among all learners.

TABLE 5: Mean, SD and ‘t’ value for Scientific Temper Score based on locale

Gen	N	M	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Rural	82	99.86	21.99	1.08	Not Significant
Urban	68	96.91	20.81		

The data presented in Table 5 reveal that the mean scientific temper score for rural students (M = 99.86, SD = 21.99) is slightly higher than that for urban students (M = 96.91, SD = 20.81). However, an independent samples t-test yielded a t-value of 1.08, which was not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This finding indicates that there is no statistically significant difference in scientific temper between higher secondary students from rural and urban areas. Consequently, the hypothesis stating that "there is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their scientific temper" is accepted.

This result suggests that the development of scientific temper is not contingent upon geographic location within the sampled district. The pedagogical implications support the implementation of uniform teaching methodologies that emphasize inquiry-based and experiential learning across both rural and urban contexts. To enhance engagement, lessons should incorporate locally relevant examples while ensuring equitable access to essential resources such as laboratories and digital tools. The findings further advocate for ongoing professional development for educators in all settings, the promotion of peer learning and collaborative activities, and the cultivation of a scientific culture through extracurricular clubs and projects. Ultimately, these results reinforce the importance of inclusive and equitable science education practices that foster curiosity and critical thinking uniformly across diverse regional contexts.

TABLE 6: Mean, SD and ‘t’ value for Scientific Temper Score based on medium

Gen	N	M	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Tamil	78	98.04	22.91	0.83	Not Significant
English	72	100.12	20.34		

The data presented in Table 6 indicate that the mean scientific temper score for students instructed in the Tamil medium (M = 98.04, SD = 22.91) is comparable to that of students in the English medium (M = 100.12, SD = 20.34). An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare these means, resulting in a t-value of 0.83, which was not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This result suggests that there is no significant difference in scientific temper between higher secondary students based on their medium of instruction.

Consequently, the hypothesis stating that "there is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their scientific temper" is accepted. These findings have important implications for science education policy and practice. They support a language-inclusive approach, indicating that effective science teaching strategies can be applied uniformly across both Tamil and English medium sections. To ensure educational equity and inclusivity, it is essential to provide educational materials and resources in both languages. Furthermore, pedagogical approaches such as inquiry-based and activity-oriented learning should be implemented equally, and teacher training programs should address effective science instruction strategies for multilingual classrooms. Overall, the results advocate for a framework that strengthens scientific thinking irrespective of the student's language background.

TABLE 7: Mean, SD and ‘t’ value for Achievement in Physics Score based on gender

Gen	N	M	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Male	63	47.64	13.18	0.05	Not Significant
Female	87	47.72	13.44		

The results presented in Table 7 indicate that the mean physics achievement score for male students (M = 47.64, SD = 13.18) is nearly identical to that of female students (M = 47.72, SD = 13.44). An independent samples t-test revealed a t-value of 0.05, confirming that the difference between the group means is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This implies that there is no significant difference in physics achievement between male and female higher secondary students. Consequently, the hypothesis stating that "there is no significant difference between male and female students in their achievement in physics" is accepted.

These findings have significant implications for physics education. They support the implementation of a gender-

Dr. C. Maria Ugin Joseph, “Scientific Temper in Relation to Achievement in Physics,” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 8, Issue 2, pp. 131-138, 2025.

neutral pedagogical approach, where teaching strategies are designed without gender-based differentiation. Educators should actively encourage and ensure equal participation from both boys and girls in all aspects of physics learning, including laboratory work, problem-solving sessions, and experiments. Instruction should focus on fostering conceptual understanding and developing problem-solving skills for all students. It is crucial for teachers to maintain unbiased classroom practices and to make the science content relatable and inclusive for both genders. Furthermore, these results reinforce the importance of motivating female students to pursue science-related careers, thereby promoting greater gender equity in the scientific workforce. Overall, the study provides empirical support for inclusive and equitable practices in physics education.

TABLE 8: Mean, SD and 't' value for Achievement in Physics Score based on locale

Gen	N	M	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Rural	82	47.5	13.03	0.36	Not Significant
Urban	68	48.16	14.05		

The data presented in Table 8 indicate that the mean physics achievement score for rural students (M = 47.50, SD = 13.03) is comparable to that of urban students (M = 48.16, SD = 14.05). An independent samples t-test yielded a t-value of 0.36, confirming that the difference in achievement between these groups is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that "there is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their achievement in physics" is accepted.

This finding suggests that geographic location within the sampled district does not significantly impact students' performance in physics. Consequently, pedagogical approaches need not be fundamentally different based on whether a school is in a rural or urban area. The results advocate for uniform and inclusive physics education strategies that ensure consistent instructional quality and equitable access to learning materials across all regions. While incorporating contextual examples relevant to students' local environments can enhance engagement, the core focus should remain on developing a robust understanding of physics for all students. It is imperative to guarantee that students in all settings receive equal encouragement, support, and access to laboratory resources and practical experiences. Furthermore, pedagogical methods such as peer collaboration and inquiry-based learning should be promoted uniformly, irrespective of geographic locale. These findings provide empirical support for implementing standardized, high-quality physics education practices across diverse regional contexts.

TABLE 9: Mean, SD and 't' value for Achievement in Physics Score based on medium

Gen	N	M	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Tamil	78	47.96	13.06	0.37	Not Significant
English	72	47.39	13.58		

The data presented in Table 9 indicate that the mean physics achievement score for students instructed in the Tamil medium (M = 47.96, SD = 13.06) is comparable to that of students in the English medium (M = 47.39, SD = 13.58). An independent samples t-test yielded a t-value of 0.37, confirming that the difference in achievement between these groups is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. Thus, the hypothesis stating that "there is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their achievement in physics" is accepted.

This finding suggests that the medium of instruction does not significantly impact students' performance in physics within the sampled population. Consequently, pedagogical strategies can be applied uniformly across both language mediums. The results advocate for a language-inclusive approach to physics education, emphasizing that the focus should be on ensuring conceptual clarity and accessibility for all students, irrespective of their instructional language. To achieve this, educators should provide bilingual teaching aids and materials to enhance comprehension and actively encourage participation from all students in classroom discussions and activities. The core of instruction should remain the promotion of analytical thinking and problem-solving skills, reinforcing that language should not be a barrier to effective science learning or academic achievement.

XII. Findings of the Study

- The scientific temper of higher secondary students is high.
- The achievement in physics of higher secondary students is not high.
- There is no significant relationship between scientific temper and achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between male and female students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their scientific temper.
- There is no significant difference between male and female students in their achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between rural and urban students in their achievement in physics.
- There is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students in their achievement in physics.

XIII. Educational Implications of the Study

The findings highlight a critical disconnect: students possess a strong scientific temperament but are not translating this into high achievement in physics. To bridge this gap, educators must move beyond simply fostering curiosity and implement targeted strategies that directly translate this positive mindset into academic success. Here are several actionable approaches for teachers:

- Incorporate Inquiry-Based Labs and Experiments: Design more hands-on, inquiry-driven lab activities where students actively formulate hypotheses, conduct experiments, analyze data, and draw their own conclusions. This active engagement is key to deepening conceptual understanding and reinforcing critical thinking.

- Use Conceptual Scaffolding: Reduce complicated physics topics into simpler, more manageable actions. Employ tools like concept maps, relatable analogies, and visual aids to help students build connections between ideas and improve long-term retention.
- Organize Problem-Solving Workshops: Hold regular, focused sessions on problem-solving techniques. Guide students through different types of physics problems with varying difficulty levels to build their analytical skills and confidence in applying concepts.
- Implement Formative Assessments and Feedback: Use frequent, low-stakes quizzes and assignments to continuously monitor student understanding. Provide timely, constructive feedback to catch misconceptions early and allow for personalized interventions.
- Develop Study and Exam Skills: Explicitly teach students effective study habits, time management, and exam-taking strategies specific to physics. This includes how to identify key formulas, interpret graphs, and write clear, logical explanations.
- Integrate Technology and Multimedia: Use simulations, animations, and educational videos to visually demonstrate physics phenomena. These tools make abstract concepts more tangible and accessible, catering to different learning styles.
- Encourage Collaborative Learning: Promote group discussions, peer teaching, and cooperative projects. This leverages collective inquiry and helps students clarify their own understanding by articulating it to others.
- Link Theory to Real-World Applications: Connect physics concepts to everyday life and modern technological developments. Showing the relevance of the subject matter can significantly increase student motivation and engagement.
- Foster Reflection and a Scientific Attitude: Create classroom dialogues that emphasize questioning, healthy skepticism, and logical reasoning. This reinforces scientific temper not just as a curiosity, but as an essential tool for effective learning.
- Support Teacher Professional Development: Provide educators with ongoing training focused on innovative pedagogical methods. This ensures they are equipped to align their students' scientific temper with effective instruction and assessment strategies.

XIV. Limitations of the Study

While this study provides valuable insights, it is important to acknowledge several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings.

First, the cross-sectional survey design, which collected data at a single point in time, offers a snapshot rather than a full picture. This approach limits our ability to track how students' scientific temper and physics achievement might evolve over the course of their studies or to establish definitive cause-and-effect relationships between these variables.

Second, the research relied primarily on quantitative data, such as scores from standardized scales and exams. While robust, this approach lacks the rich, contextual detail that qualitative methods like classroom observations or in-depth student interviews could provide. Such insights would have been invaluable for understanding the nuanced ways in which a scientific disposition actually manifests in a learning environment.

Third, the sample was confined to higher secondary students within a single educational district (Kallakurichi). This geographic focus, while beneficial for a controlled study, may impact the generalizability of the results to other regions or broader educational systems with different curricula, resources, or cultural contexts.

Finally, although the study identified a lack of significant relationships, it did not control for several potential confounding variables that are known to influence academic outcomes. Factors such as teacher quality, student socio-economic status, parental involvement, and school infrastructure were not measured, yet they likely play a significant role in shaping both students' attitudes toward science and their academic performance. Future research should endeavor to account for these variables to build a more comprehensive model of the factors affecting scientific temper and achievement.

XV. Future Directions Based on the Study

The findings of this study open several avenues for future research aimed at deepening our understanding of the relationship between scientific temper and academic achievement. To build on these results, future investigations could consider the following directions:

Adopt Longitudinal Designs: Future studies should employ longitudinal research designs to track the development of scientific temper and physics achievement over an extended period. This approach would be instrumental in clarifying potential causal or developmental relationships between these two variables, moving beyond the snapshot provided by this cross-sectional study.

Incorporate Qualitative Methods: To gain a richer, more contextual understanding, future research should integrate qualitative methods such as classroom observations, in-depth interviews, or focus groups with both students and teachers. These approaches can illuminate the nuanced ways in which scientific temper actually influences day-to-day learning processes and outcomes.

Conduct Intervention Studies: Experimental or quasi-experimental studies are needed to test the efficacy of specific pedagogical interventions. For example, research could evaluate the impact of structured inquiry-based learning modules or explicit metacognitive training on bridging the gap between students' scientific disposition and their academic performance.

Expand Sample Diversity: To enhance the generalizability of the findings, future research should expand the sample to include students from diverse geographic regions, socio-economic backgrounds, and school types. This would provide a more comprehensive picture of how these factors interact with scientific temper.

Explore Mediating Factors: Finally, it would be valuable for future research to explore the mediating factors that translate scientific temper into academic success. Investigating variables such as student motivation, study habits, and access to learning resources could provide a more nuanced understanding of how to effectively support students in leveraging their scientific mindset for better learning outcomes.

XVI. CONCLUSION

The implications of these findings extend beyond the classroom, highlighting the need for broader educational initiatives. It is crucial to develop and implement orientation programs for both educators and parents to deepen their understanding of scientific temper. Such programs would equip them with the knowledge to foster this disposition in students, creating a supportive ecosystem that values and encourages rational inquiry. Concurrently, a pedagogical shift is necessary; physics instruction must move away from reliance on traditional, rote-based methods and embrace a diverse range of innovative teaching techniques. This approach is more likely to engage students' pre-existing scientific disposition and translate it into meaningful academic outcomes.

Ultimately, the findings of this study contribute valuable insights to the field of science education. They offer a resource for researchers and educators alike, informing curriculum design, instructional strategies, and professional development efforts aimed at nurturing not only high achievers but also critical, inquisitive thinkers prepared for the challenges of the modern world.

REFERENCE

[1]. Bhatnagar, R. D. (2021). Study of the scientific temper of senior secondary science students. International Journal of Advanced Research, 9(5), 452–458. https://www.journalijar.com
[2]. Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. Harvard Educational Review, 31(1), 21–32.
[3]. Chaudhary, S., Jain, V., & Yadav, R. (2022). Problem-based learning and its effect on scientific temper and academic achievement in physics. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 31(2), 234–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-021-09923-4
[4]. Constitution of India. (1950). Article 51A(h). Retrieved from https://legislative.gov.in
[5]. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906–911. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906
[6]. Government of India. (2014). National Science Day: Celebrating Scientific Temper. Press Information Bureau. https://pib.gov.in/
[7]. Kapri, U. C. (2017). A study of scientific temper and scientific creativity of secondary school students. International Journal of Advanced Research, 5, 1498–1503. https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/5209
[8]. Khan, S., & Ali, R. (2021). The role of scientific temper in enhancing physics learning among high school students. International Journal of Educational Research, 106, 101718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2021.101718
[9]. Mehta, P., & Sharma, L. (2021). Promoting scientific temper to overcome misconceptions in physics: A study among secondary students. Physics Education, 56(4), 045002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/abeeb3
[10]. Nadeem, N. A., & Wani, S. R. (2008). Scientific Temperament Scale. Faculty of Education, University of Kashmir.
[11]. Pedaste, M., Mäeots, M., Siiman, L. A., de Jong, T., van Riesen, S. A., Kamp, E. T., Manoli, C. C., Zacharia, Z. C., & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. Educational Research Review, 14, 47–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003
[12]. Piaget, J. (1950). The psychology of intelligence. Routledge.
[13]. Reddy, K., & Prakash, N. (2022). Impact of scientific temper on critical thinking and achievement in physics. Educational Psychology Review, 34(1), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09620-1
[14]. Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 19(4), 460–475. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1994.1033
[15]. Singh, A., & Kumar, M. (2023). Metacognitive strategies to foster scientific temper in physics classrooms. Journal of Educational Psychology, 115(1), 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000651
[16]. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.