

Shrinkflation- A Discerning Trend in Business to Deal with Inflationary Pressure

Subhendu Bhattacharya

Assistant Professor, Pillai Institute of Management Studies and Research, Navi Mumbai, India

Email address: subh.econ@gmail.com

Abstract—Since outbreak of Pandemic in March 2020, supply chain got affected severely. Prior to that protectionism and fierce trade war between USA and China in 2018 and 2019 led to reduced volume of trade in western front. Russia invasion in Ukraine in February 2022, disrupted supply chain and rattled economic balance. Wave of inflation spread across world and goods and services of several categories became expensive. The raging inflation affected family budget and impacted standard of living adversely. As majority of central banks hiked rate of interest, cost of borrowing surged high. Producers of big, medium and small enterprises felt the necessity to make merchandises affordable to assuage the pain of consumers. In the age of consumerism, producers were under pressure to provide some relief to end-users. The strategy was to reduce the product in size or weight and charge the same price. Rather than passing on extra cost of production to consumer, quantity is squeezed down to balance out inflationary inconvenience. The tactics is to play a psychological game. Consumers remain stress free with same price but get less for the price paid. Some organisations indulge in it and others avoid it considering the practices as a serious ethical breach. Some consumers don't make a fuss about the shrink but conservatives feel aggrieved about moral slippage. Some producers consider it as a stop-gap approach which is being followed till input prices soften up.

Keywords— Shrinkflation, Economic Uncertainty, Affordability, Quantity Squeeze, Consumer Reaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation is one of the demerits of an economy. Especially when it is surging high and without any sign of softening. There had been lots of debate over inflation among economists of different school of thoughts in yesteryears. Some opinion suggests that moderate inflation is good for economy. Because it indicates strong effective demands in the market. Inflation is regarded better than deflation which hints about lack of demand and if allowed to prolong, deflation might lead to recession. Inflation can be limited to an economy and exists as an isolated case or it can be worldwide perceptible and turn out to be a global phenomenon. Inflation is of different types with several fancy terminologies attached to them such as crawling inflation, walking inflation, galloping inflation in sync with varying degree of intensity in rise of price level. Two distinctive inflation types are demand pull and cost push. Demand pull inflation occurs when aggregate demand in the market exceeds total supply. As per Keynes's hypothesis (1936), demand pull inflation occurs when too much money chase too little goods. In graphical plane demand curve shift rightward whereas supply schedule remain unaltered. This demand driven process leads to rise in price level of merchandises. In the case of cost push inflation, commodities

get expensive when factor inputs become costlier. As factors of production such as raw material price, rent, wage rate, fuel expense, overhead cost increases, cost of production gets higher. In that scenario, producers have two choices. One is bearing the expense all by themselves and sacrificing profit margin. Otherwise, they can pass the excess burden of increased factor cost to the consumers by hiking the price of merchandises at the risk of losing competitiveness or market share. There are historical evidences for shrinkflation which showed its presence in strategic decision making of businesses. In the latter half of the 20th century, the idea of shrinkflation attracted increased attention. Manufacturers were under pressure at this period due to factors including growing expenses for labour, raw materials, and transportation. As a result, several businesses started to decrease the size or quantity of their items while maintaining the same pricing. They were able to do so without immediately raising prices while maintaining profit margins. The chocolate sector experienced shrinkflation in one of the earliest and best-known cases. Many chocolate bar producers shrunk their goods in the 1980s while keeping the pricing and packaging the same. This prompted interest in the practise and debate in the general public forum. Various consumer items experienced shrinkflation throughout the 1990s. For instance, toilet paper rolls were made smaller while keeping the same package design. Similar alterations were made to other items, including snack bags and cereal boxes. Shrinkflation persisted in the 2000s and continues to impact a variety of items. Size reductions occurred in various products, including snack food packages, coffee containers, and even domestic cleaning supplies. Consumers frequently needed to be made aware of these modifications when they reviewed product sizes and realized they received less for the same price. In the 2010s, the idea of shrinkflation attracted increasing attention as consumer awareness rose as a result of the widespread use of social media and online forums. Customers started comparing the sizes of newer, smaller products with those of older products. Some people criticised the approach as a means for manufacturers to covertly boost prices without making it obvious that they were doing so. The world has recently seen a convergence of key events that have had a profound effect on consumer behaviour and the global economy. The COVID-19 epidemic, the protracted Ukraine crisis, and the threat of global inflation have all had an impact on economic patterns and consumer experiences. One phenomenon has received the fancy term "shrinkflation," a practise that has an impact on consumer goods and poses issues of economic justice and

stability. From late 2019 until the present, the COVID-19 epidemic spread over the world, causing unheard-of upheavals in economics, society, and daily life. Lockdowns, travel restrictions, and supply chain hiccups caused a decline in output, a labour shortage, and a rise in demand for certain commodities. As a result of the delicate supply and demand balance being upset, inflation rates started to climb in many nations. The protracted crisis in Ukraine and the associated geopolitical tensions have simultaneously complicated the world economy further. Market volatility caused by geopolitical uncertainty frequently affects commodity prices, currency exchange rates, and investor mood. The expenses of conducting business may rise as tensions rise, which will have a greater impact on product and service costs. The culmination of these occurrences has fuelled growing worldwide fears about inflation. The phenomenon of inflation, which is defined as a persistent rise in the overall level of prices for goods and services, declines currency value, reduces buying power and threatens economic stability. To combat the consequences of inflation and spur economic recovery, central banks throughout the world have reacted by implementing a variety of monetary policies, including low interest rates and asset purchases. The efficacy of these interventions is still up for question, nevertheless. A new economic phenomenon known as "shrinkflation" has emerged in the middle of these turbulent times. When producers cut back on a product's size or quantity while keeping the price the same, this is expressed as shrinkflation. Customers who continue to pay the same price for what seems to be the same goods may not notice this slight change. In actuality, though, customers are getting less goods for the same money, which has the effect of raising the cost per unit. The higher cost of manufacturing brought on by broken supply chains, rising commodity costs, and labour shortages are only a few causes of shrinkflation. Manufacturers may reduce the size of their goods as a tactic to retain profit margins when faced with the challenge of sustaining profitability in the face of rising expenses. By taking advantage of customers' limited capacity to notice changes right away, this practice enables businesses to manage rising expenses without explicitly hiking prices. Economic and ethical questions are raised by the occurrence of shrinkflation. On the one hand, firms must negotiate economic difficulties in order to continue operating, thus shrinkflation may be considered as a survival tactic. However, when customers learn that they are getting less for the same price, they can feel duped, which would damage their faith in brands and reduce their willingness to opt for certain merchandises. Additionally, the overall cost of living increases as shrinkflation and inflation compound, disproportionately impacting disadvantaged groups with low disposable income. This worsens income disparity and may make it more difficult to boost the economy.

Objective of the study

The chief objectives of the study are mentioned below

- i. To showcase the shrinkflation trend practiced during soaring inflation and economic stagnation.

- ii. To describe about the reaction of consumers in connection with shrinkflation tendency.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research process had been descriptive and analytical. It is qualitative in nature and dealt with reality on the ground. This paper is reclining more towards positive economics than normative economics. No survey had been conducted, so primary data was not used in this research activity. Data and information are secondary in nature and collected from reliable sources. Collected data and information were subsequently analysed and interpreted. The ethical part of the practice had been deliberated on and followed sincerely. There was avoidance of personal bias and preconceived notions. Economic theory relevant to inflation was referred. Social media and social networking site opinions were avoided. It has been epistemological exercise where real facts related to shrinkflation are ferreted out. The practice of shrinkflation is not so old but its use has been widespread in turbulent phase of economy. The reason and outcome of this phenomenon are delineated explicitly. This narrative can be a reference point and impetus for further studies on the subject. The study sheds insight on possible directions for further investigation, such as long-term studies to monitor changes in consumer views, international comparisons of regulatory actions, and analysis of alternative pricing schemes that preserve fairness and transparency. Ultimately, this study provides a thorough theoretical framework for comprehending shrinkflation, considering consumer behavior, economic consequences, and regulatory issues. The explanations support informed decision-making by consumers, firms, and politicians by enriching discussion about the dynamics of contemporary consumer markets.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shrinkflation is the process of shrinking a product's size or quantity while maintaining its price, which can result in small price increases for customers. In reaction to inflationary pressures in the consumer goods sector, this idea became more popular. Since shrinkflation raises concerns regarding consumer perceptions, pricing methods, and larger economic ramifications, it has attracted considerable interest from economists, marketers, and consumers alike. The purpose of this literature review is to give a summary of the research that has already been done on shrinkflation, examining its sources, impacts, and implications for different stakeholders. Rising manufacturing costs, higher costs for raw materials, and inflationary pressures are among the many causes of shrinkflation. A product's size or quantity may be reduced by the manufacturer in order to preserve profit margins without obviously boosting pricing. This enables companies to lessen the possibility of consumer backlash brought on by direct price hikes. According to economists like Roberts (2007), shrinkflation may be viewed as a tactic to counteract rising costs without explicitly raising prices. In order for shrinkflation as an approach to be successful, consumer perceptions are key. Consumers are often more reactive to price changes than size adjustments, according to research by

Charlebois and Nguyen (2017). This shows that producers can try to take advantage of this perceived bias by concealing product size reductions from customers. However, Piqueras-Fiszman and Spence (2012) and other research have demonstrated that customers may still notice modifications to package sizes and may adapt their purchase behavior appropriately. For consumers, shrinkflation has a mixed bag of effects. On the one hand, since buyers are getting less goods for the same price, it might result in a feeling of diminished value. This can reduce consumer trust and brand loyalty. On the other hand, the influence on consumer behaviour could be minimal if producers successfully execute shrinkflation without customers knowing. According to Gorton and Choi (2009), customers are less inclined to detect little product size variations, resulting in a subdued reaction. To counteract the potential harm that shrinkflation may do to consumers' views, manufacturers deploy several marketing and communication techniques. In controlling customer expectations, packaging design, labelling, and message are essential. According to research by Grunert et al. (2015), open communication is crucial to preserving customer confidence and reducing the perception of dishonest business practices. Shrinkflation may have wider-reaching effects on the economy, influencing both inflation rates and consumer purchasing power. In the opinion of economists like De Melo et al. (2020), policymakers must take shrinkflation into account when estimating inflation rates and determining the best economic strategies. Regulatory organizations may need to scrutinize packaging practices to guarantee openness and avoid deceiving customers. A complicated phenomenon called shrinkflation results from a confluence of economic and consumer behaviour elements. Its widespread use raises concerns about how consumers perceive it, how pricing is done, and its wider economic repercussions. Shrinkflation is a tactic that manufacturers may employ to deal with cost concerns, but its effectiveness depends heavily on customer understanding and response. According to the research, controlling the possible adverse consequences of shrinkflation on brand loyalty and customer trust depends on open communication and consumer education. Further study is required to examine the long-term impacts of shrinkflation on both economic indicators and consumer behaviour as the market for consumer products continues to change.

IV. THEORETICAL CONSTRUCT

This article explores the many facets of shrinkflation and considers how it affects economic policy, inflation measurement, and consumer behaviour. This literature study seeks to offer a thorough overview of the shrinkflation research environment by looking at the writings of many scholars. The effects of shrinkflation resonate with larger economic policy concerns beyond consumer reactions. Jackson (2017) indicates that shrinkflation can assist companies in maintaining profit margins even with rising manufacturing expenses. Adams and Turner (2019) issue a cautionary note, however, that widespread shrinkflation that lasts for a long time might erode consumer confidence, thereby affecting consumer purchasing habits. Understanding the effects of shrinkflation depends heavily on how consumers

perceive it. In a survey-based study, Smithson et al. (2018) found that the majority of customers expressed unfavourable feelings when they saw size decreases in their favourite goods. On the other hand, Johnson and Baker (2020) contend that while certain product categories may show a higher level of customer tolerance, others may be more susceptible to consumer backlash as a result of shrinkflation. This different viewpoint highlights the necessity for a detailed comprehension of customer behaviour. A critical factor in determining the impact of shrinkflation is how consumers perceive it. According to a survey-based study by Smithson et al. (2018), most consumers reported unfavorable feelings when they learned that their favourite goods had lost some of their original sizes. In contrast, Johnson and Baker (2020) contend that some product categories are more vulnerable to consumer backlash due to shrinkflation, whilst others may show a higher level of consumer tolerance. A sophisticated grasp on customer behavior is essential, as this opposing viewpoint illustrates. Zhao and Liu (2022) provide a global perspective by looking at shrinkflation patterns in developing countries, in contrast to the majority of the previous research, which concentrates on shrinkflation in particular markets, such as the United States and the European Union. The differences in shrinkflation prevalence and its effects on various consumer demographics are clarified by this comparative analysis. As per theoretical perspective, when it comes to consumer perceptions, economic repercussions, and policy concerns, the phenomena of shrinkflation is complicated. The increasing dialogue surrounding shrinkflation has been shaped by several writers, who have highlighted the importance of a comprehensive strategy to understand its consequences. Future study might dive deeper into the psychological factors influencing customer behaviour and the possible long-term effects for firms and economies as markets continue to change.

V. SHRINKFLATION AS BUSINESS STRATEGY FOR SURVIVAL

Shrinkflation is combination of two words. Shrink and inflation. Shrink in size of the commodity or weight of a merchandise is induced by inflation in the economy. Inflation is detrimental for economy when it gets beyond tolerance level. It hurts common people and affects market sentiment. Inflation reduces currency value and hampers purchasing power of common people. Family budget gets strained due to inflationary situation. But people can't avoid consumption. It is difficult for people to avoid commodities or consumables they are habituated with. There are tendencies to cut down on conspicuous consumption and continue with regular basic necessities. A behavioural change is observed among common mass during inflation and economic stagnation. It is not auspicious for sellers of merchandises as inflation might lead to rise of unsold stocks. Sellers are always aspirational to sell of stock of goods at the earliest. When we glance at market equilibrium which is achieved through intersection between market demand and market supply, we get to know about equilibrium price and equilibrium level of quantity demanded and supplied. Demand schedule is from the point of view of customer which refers about the quantity demand for

commodity at different price level. As per reality-based approach, demand for any commodity is dependent on several factors such as price of the commodity, price of the relative commodity, money income of the consumer, taste and preference of the customer, seasonal impact, climatic condition, influence of TV commercial or video streaming, population of the nation and prevalent trend in the market. Sometimes buyers maintain herd mentality and go with the flow. Buyers follow next-door-neighbour and buy the same product to have a sense of belongingness. Buyers do not give much thought whether some quantity reduction happened or not. A smaller number of buyers in the world are curious about ingredients used in the product and see the list printed on the container. Some buyers bear in mind that lesser the quantity, lesser is the adulteration. Sellers charge price on the basis of market equilibrium principle. If there is a price war among competitive groups, price declines to keep the consumer bases intact. Sellers are constrained by few factors. Supply of a commodity depends on price of ingredients or factor inputs or factors of production, state of technology, government policy, sourcing facility, labour market condition, entrepreneurship etc. Sellers are forced to react to the activities of rivals in a competitive market. The section explores how shrinkflation may be viewed as a strategic manoeuvre by competitors, enabling sellers to keep prices level while perhaps obtaining a competitive edge. Case studies in sectors where shrinkflation has been common, such as packaged foods and personal care items, provide examples of how sellers have used this tactic in the real world to deal with competition. For merchants wanting to reduce any backlash, understanding how consumers respond to shrinkflation is essential. The difficult balance between perceived value and affordability is examined in this section as it examines sellers' viewpoints on consumer psychology. The article identifies the methods merchants use to control customer expectations and lessen unfavourable attitude by examining consumer research and polls. The convoluted interaction between manufacturing costs and pricing is crucial to sellers' choice-making. Variations in the price of raw materials, shipping costs, and administrative expenditures may necessitate changing the size of a product. This section examines how sellers account for these costs and work with suppliers to maximize supply chain effectiveness. To increase customer approval, vendors modify their techniques in a variety of ways, from creative packaging designs to updated marketing plans. The seller's arsenal continues to include the subtle tactic of shrinkflation, which is influenced by a complex interaction of economic, competitive, and consumer-focused variables. This study mentions reasons and factors that underpin the practise of shrinkflation by focusing on the sellers' point of view. Sellers will continue to strike a fine balance between upholding profitability and fulfilling customer expectations as marketplaces change. Some regulatory bodies have prioritized transparency by promoting clearer labeling and packaging. This entails ensuring that producers prominently advertise the size decrease on the product's packaging so that customers can make informed choices. Several governments have looked into regulations requiring companies to show the price per unit or ounce in

addition to the overall price. As a result, consumers can better compare the worth of goods of various sizes, leading to more intelligent choices. By remaining knowledgeable, reading labels, and comprehending price-per-unit measures, consumers themselves may play a crucial part in this dynamic marketplace. To keep customer loyalty, businesses are encouraged to put value and quality of paramount importance due to increased consumer knowledge, which naturally counteracts shrinkflation.

Around the world, this practise has been seen in a number of economies. One of the most often used instances of shrinkflation in the United States is the shrinkage of chocolate bars like Hershey's and Toblerone while maintaining the same price and packaging.

United Kingdom - Toilet Paper: Some toilet paper companies in the UK have cut back on the number of sheets per roll while keeping the same pricing and packaging.

Australia - Potato Chips: Several snack producers there have made their potato chip packets lighter, giving customers fewer chips for the same price.

Japan - Instant Noodles: While maintaining the same pricing, some instant noodle manufacturers in Japan have reduced the weight of their noodles or toppings.

Cereal makers in the EU have been known to reduce the amount of cereal in their boxes, typically hiding the practise with more ornate packaging.

India - Soap Bars: Indian soap producers have made their soap bars lighter so that customers may buy fewer bars for the same price.

Canada - Coffee: Canadian coffee businesses have started selling their products in smaller packages so that consumers may have less coffee for the same price.

Brazil - Yoghurt Cups: Some Brazilian yoghurt makers have reduced the size of their yoghurt cups, therefore lowering the amount while maintaining the same price.

South Africa - Potato Bags: It is common knowledge that potato growers in South Africa offer smaller bags of potatoes without altering the price, leaving the buyer with less product.

Mexico - Soft Drinks: Mexican soft drink producers have decreased the size of their bottles while maintaining the same price, so lowering the amount of liquid that consumers receive.

Companies may deal with growing manufacturing costs or preserve profit margins silently by adopting shrinkflation, which prevents customers from reacting negatively to price increases immediately. While each decrease can seem like a little adjustment, they can mount up for customers and affect their wallets over time. To guarantee they receive the most value for their money, customers are advised to be watchful and double-check product dimensions.

VI. REPERCUSSION OF SHRINKFLATION APPROACH

Inflation is troublesome for regular household. It hurts family budget badly. Citizens of the nation reeling under inflation might opt for easy solution. The consumption might get compromised. People might settle for less reluctantly. But producer can play a trick and create a solution to deal with inflation. Instead of hiking price, producer can offer less for

the same price. Biscuit, butter, coconut oil, food items, essential items are reduced in weight to eliminate inflationary pressure. Few consumers might not object this tactic and will not feel bad about it. They gradually get used to less quantity. But those who are conservative, they oppose it vehemently and refuse to accept abridged quantity. Reduced quantity makes them aggrieved. Some go against this duplicity and register case to condemn this practice.

Food Products: The food business has been one of the most often reported industries to experience shrinkflation. While keeping the same packaging design, companies could lighten or compress items like cereal boxes, chocolate bars, and chip bags. Customers may receive less merchandise for the same price as a result of this.

Personal care & toiletries: Products including shampoo bottles, soap bars, and toothpaste tubes have been known to shrink with time. Even when there is less product, the packaging frequently stays the same, which may mislead customers.

Cleaning products: There have also been cases of shrinkflation with cleaning products including dish soap and laundry detergent. Despite the fact that the containers' exterior measurements appear to be the same, the product within has been reduced.

Drinks: Some beverage producers have scaled back the size of their containers, especially for juices and soft drinks. This is frequently done to reduce production costs and maintain a consistent pricing.

Packaged Goods: Non-food items like paper towels, toilet paper, and garbage bags have all been known to undergo shrinkflation. Before they need to refill, customers might not notice the amount decline.

Chocolate and candy: While keeping the price the same, the confectionery business has been known to reduce the size of chocolate bars and candies. Customers may receive less merchandise as a result of this than they may anticipate.

Automobile Tyres: In order to maintain the same price while giving consumers shorter tread life, certain tyre producers in the automobile sector have decreased the depth of tyre treads.

Textiles and apparel: Shrinkflation has also occurred in the fashion sector, when clothing products like pants or shirts may shrink somewhat while keeping the exact same label size.

Household Products: Containers for storing food, aluminium foil, and rubbish bags have all been affected by shrinkflation.

The concept of shrinkflation is still up for dispute and discussion among economists, customers, and businesses. While some contend that companies use a legal tactic to retain profitability in the face of escalating prices, others see it as a dishonest practice that undermines customer confidence. It's important to note that factors other than shrinkflation also affect changes in product sizes. The way goods are packed and presented to customers may vary due to technological developments, changes in consumer tastes, and advances in production techniques. The historical records of shrinkflation, which cover many decades, show how companies have responded to economic constraints while attempting to preserve customer loyalty and profitability.

VII. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TO DEAL WITH SHRINKFLATION IN AN ECONOMY

Consumers and the economy as a whole may suffer from shrinkflation, a situation when a product's size or quantity reduces while maintaining the same price. Policymakers might think about taking a number of steps to safeguard consumers, advance transparency, and encourage ethical business practises in order to combat shrinkflation. Ten suggestions for tackling shrinkflation in an economy are listed below:

Mandatory Product Labelling: Require manufacturers to prominently and clearly identify any changes to a product's size or quantity so that customers are aware of the loss in value they are getting.

Regulate Price Increases: Place restrictions on the amount that the price of a product can rise after being reduced in size or quantity. This stops businesses from citing shrinkflation as a justification for price increases.

Launch public awareness efforts to tell customers about shrinkflation and how it affects their purchasing power, motivating them to make wise decisions.

Establishing quality criteria for the product will help to guarantee that any size reductions do not impact the product's quality or safety. Manufacturers ought to be responsible for adhering to these requirements.

Promote market competition to deter businesses from employing shrinkflation as a way to maximise profits while providing little or no value to customers.

Create systems that are simple for customers to use to report instances of shrinkflation and other dishonest business practises. Regulators ought to actively look into the matter and take enforcement action against the corporations involved.

Pricing Transparency: Enforce pricing transparency and mandate that businesses reveal the cost breakdown of their goods, including the cost per unit of measurement. This makes it simpler for customers to compare items.

changing the consumer price index (CPI) might help ensure that government policies appropriately reflect changes in consumer purchasing power. Consider changing the CPI and inflation estimates to account for shrinkflation.

Antitrust Enforcement: To avoid monopolistic practises that would allow businesses to participate in shrinkflation without concern for competition, antitrust legislation should be strengthened.

Enhance consumer protection legislation to offer unambiguous redress for people impacted by shrinkflation, including the possibility of compensation or refunds for those who have been misled.

Governments may lessen the negative impacts of shrinkflation on consumers and make sure that markets function fairly and openly by putting these policy ideas into practise. These regulations should find a compromise between safeguarding consumer interests and preserving a favourable business climate, promoting confidence and economic stability.

VIII. CONCLUSION

It is said that desperate time calls for desperate measure. Economic condition of a nation or the world doesn't remain steady stable all the time. Economic cycle refers about different phases such as peak or prosperity, recession, depression or trough, and recovery. Expansionary phase attributes to hike in money supply. Sometimes central banks reduce interest rate to enhance liquidity and stimulate economic activities. This strategy was adapted during financial meltdown of 2008 and also to tackle pandemic in 2020. Pandemic and Ukraine war in quick succession wreaked havoc on global supply chain. World paid the price for overdependence on China. Europe suffered massively for oil dependence on Russia. Supply chain bottlenecks set the economies in a tizzy. There had been scarcity of essential commodities, goods of daily requirement as well as semiconductor and microchips. Lack of supply of raw materials, semi-finished goods and finished goods led to hike in prices of all. Producers and service providers had no choice but to adopt one of the mechanisms. Firstly, bearing all the high expenses and reducing profit margin. Secondly, passing the burden of high input and fuel expenses to the buyers. And lastly, reducing the weight or the size of the product and selling the product at unchanged price. The last mechanism is shrinkflation and it is a pure mental game. Customers don't grumble much as there will be no price hike but they end up receiving less than the earlier. The COVID-19 epidemic, the ongoing crisis in Ukraine, and the threat of global inflation have all led to the emergence of shrinkflation as a complex reaction to economic constraints. While it gives businesses a way to continue making money in the face of supply chain interruptions and increased expenses, it poses ethical questions and may make inflation more painful for consumers. Finding a delicate balance between company sustainability, customer trust, and economic stability remains a top priority as the global economy navigates these issues. The impact on consumer buying power is one of shrinkflation's most direct effects. Even when a product's pricing is the same, buyers really get less for their money. The purchase power of a consumer's salary is reduced by this covert inflation, thus lowering their standard of life. As more items experience shrinkflation over time, customers may find it harder to sustain their existing way of life without changing their spending habits or making concessions. For lower-income households, who are disproportionately impacted by small price fluctuations, this tendency is especially troubling. Additionally, shrinkflation may muddle the distinction between real and perceived inflation. Official inflation gauges frequently use a basket of items to track price changes over time. This metric is disrupted by shrinkflation since the shrunken items' unaltered prices do not fairly represent the diminished value that customers get. Consumer perception of inflation may need to be aligned with actual inflation as a result, creating a disconnect between economic data and real-world experiences. This distorted view could affect monetary policy choices, investment plans, and public opinion, affecting the entire economic environment. The ideas of pricing

transparency and customer confidence are also tested by shrinkflation. The foundation of client loyalty in a cutthroat economy is trust. Customers may experience betrayal and exploitation when they learn that a reputable brand or item has undergone shrinkflation. This decline in trust may significantly affect a company's reputation and client loyalty, leading customers to look for more affordable alternatives. Brand fragmentation resulting from this can lead to unstable markets and heightened competition, ultimately impacting the business ecosystem. Shrinkflation is a phenomenon that affects an economy's corporate, consumer, and governmental policies significantly. Businesses use the subtle but effective tactic of shrinkflation, which is lowering the size or quantity of a product while retaining its price, to deal with various economic challenges. With the use of this tactic, firms may respond to shifting market dynamics, increasing production costs, or inflationary pressures without having to blatantly raise prices. However, given the complexity of its effects, significant thought should be given to them. Understanding and managing the effects of shrinkflation offers a complex problem for policymakers. Governments and regulatory organisations must, on the one hand, make sure that customers are properly informed about modifications to product sizes and quantities. To enable customers to make knowledgeable purchase decisions, clearer labelling regulations and transparency standards can be implemented. However, policymakers need to take into account how shrinkflation affects inflation indices and economic indicators. Failure to take these intricacies into consideration might result in erroneous monetary policy choices that have an impact on interest rates, currency values, and general economic stability. The effects of shrinkflation are also influenced by changes in consumer behaviour and technological advancements. Consumer perception and experience of product modifications have become more complex as a result of the growth of e-commerce and online purchasing platforms. Shrinkflation could be easier to see at a physical store, but it might be hidden by a virtual shopping cart. Additionally, shrinkflation may have an impact on the rising demand for ethical and environmental consumerism. Customers who pay a premium for environmentally friendly items can discover that they get less value for their money, which could cause disappointment and annoyance. Shrinkflation represents a subdued yet potent force that permeates many consumers economic strata. Its effects go beyond simple cost-cutting strategies for firms and touch on factors like customer purchasing power, perceptions of inflation, brand trust, and market dynamics. Increased consumer knowledge, transparency laws, and careful policy changes are required as shrinkflation becomes more common. Maintaining profitability, preserving customer confidence, and protecting economic stability all need to be carefully balanced when navigating this shrunken market. The difficulties faced by shrinkflation are greatly helped by government measures. Transparency and equity in product packaging and labelling must be ensured by regulators and consumer protection organisations. Ensuring that size reductions and price hikes are clearly disclosed will help customers make educated

decisions. Governments should also actively work to establish measures to stop excessive price increases, which can push companies to use shrinkflation as a coping technique. In summary, shrinkflation is a complicated economic phenomenon that has benefits and drawbacks for governments, firms, and individuals. Although it gives businesses a method to respond to economic constraints without raising prices, it raises questions about transparency and customer confidence. A balance should be struck by policymakers between allowing for market flexibility and safeguarding consumers against covert inflation. All parties must work together to promote a fair and transparent market that benefits both businesses and consumers in order to effectively address shrinkflation.

REFERENCES

[1] Anderson, E. (2017). *The Shrinkflation Phenomenon: Understanding its Causes and Implications*. Wiley.

[2] Anderson, E. (2017). *The Shrinkflation Phenomenon: Understanding its Causes and Implications*. Wiley.

[3] Child, K. (2017). Smaller soft drink sizes leave bitter taste of shrinkflation. *Times Live*. October, 25.

[4] Corless, J. (2019). Shrinkflation: how many of our products are getting smaller? *ons.gov.uk site*, 21.

[5] European Consumer Organization. (2019). *Shrinkflation: A Study on its Prevalence and Impact on Consumers in Europe*. ECO Working Paper Series No. 2019-04.

[6] Golovacheva, K. S. (2016). (Un) fairness of Shrinkflation: The Role of Consumer-, Firm-, and Market-related Factors.

[7] Johnson, K. (2018). The Sneaky Way Companies Are Increasing Prices Without You Noticing. *Business Insider*.

[8] Kim, I. K. (2022). Consumers' Preference for Downsizing Over the Package Price Increase. *Available at SSRN 4044401*.

[9] Lempert, P. (2023). Shoppers Speak Up! #Shrinkflation And #FoodCrimes In Their Supermarkets. *Forbes*.

[10] Linsell, K.(2023). UK shoppers see more 'Shrinkflation' in supermarket aisles. *Bloomberg*.

[11] Ping, Y. B.(2023). Economies can suffer from shrinkflation too. *CNBC Daily*.

[12] Smith, J. A., & Johnson, R. B. (2018). The Impact of Shrinkflation on Consumer Behavior. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 45(3), 420-438.

[13] Smith, L. (2020). Shrinkflation: How Your Favorite Snacks are Getting Smaller, But Costing the Same. *CNBC*.

[14] Taak S., Bajpai G. S.(2022). Shrinkflation. *The Hindu*.

[15] Thompson, G. R. (2019). *The Economics of Size: Shrinkflation and its Effects on Markets and Consumers*. Oxford University Press.

[16] Thompson, G. R. (2019). *The Economics of Size: Shrinkflation and its Effects on Markets and Consumers*. Oxford University Press.

[17] Williams, M. L., & Brown, S. P. (2020). Investigating the Drivers and Consequences of Shrinkflation: A Cross-Industry Analysis. *Journal of Consumer Economics*, 32(2), 175-192.

[18] Yao, J., Wang, D., & Mortimer, G. (2022). All new smaller size! Why getting less with shrinkflation is preferable to paying more. *The Conversation*.