International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications
ISSN (Online): 2581-6187

Adaptive Video Streaming in Virtual Reality Environments: Mathematical Models for Immersive Experiences with 360-Degree Content and Head Movement Prediction

Koffka Khan[1], Wayne Goodridge[1]
[1]Department of Computing and Information Technology, Faculty of Science and Agriculture, The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine Campus, TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO.
Email address: koffka.khan@gmail.com

Abstract— *As virtual reality (VR) continues to revolutionize the digital landscape, the demand for high-quality and immersive video streaming experiences within these environments becomes paramount. This review paper delves into the realm of adaptive video streaming in VR, focusing on the development and application of mathematical models tailored for optimal performance. Addressing unique challenges such as 360-degree content and accurate head movement prediction, this paper surveys existing adaptive streaming models, evaluates their effectiveness in VR scenarios, and explores the integration of user-centric factors for an enhanced experience. Through a comprehensive analysis, we identify key trends, gaps, and future directions in the field, providing insights for researchers, developers, and practitioners striving to elevate the quality of adaptive video streaming in virtual reality environments.*

Keywords— *Virtual Reality, Adaptive Video Streaming, Mathematical Models, 360-Degree Content, Head Movement Prediction.*

I. Introduction

Adaptive video streaming [12], [13], [17] plays a pivotal role in enhancing the virtual reality (VR) experience, ensuring seamless and high-quality content delivery in dynamic and unpredictable network conditions [15], [16]. Unlike traditional video streaming, VR demands a more sophisticated approach due to the immersive nature of the content and the real-time, interactive user engagement. Adaptive streaming mechanisms adjust the video quality in real-time based on the user's device capabilities and network conditions, ensuring a smooth and immersive VR experience. The importance of adaptive video streaming in VR environments is underscored by the need to maintain a delicate balance between video quality and uninterrupted playback, offering users an optimal experience irrespective of their network constraints.

VR environments introduce a set of challenges that necessitate specialized adaptive streaming solutions. The inclusion of 360-degree content requires a rethinking of traditional streaming models, as the immersive nature of VR demands a continuous and consistent viewing experience from all angles [11], [7], [23], [20]. Additionally, accurately predicting head movements is crucial to pre-fetch content and ensure that users experience minimal latency and visual disruptions. This challenge is compounded by the real-time nature of VR interactions, where slight delays can significantly impact the overall experience. Moreover, the emphasis on creating immersive user experiences in VR environments heightens the demand for adaptive streaming solutions that not only prioritize video quality but also consider factors like interactivity, spatial audio, and haptic feedback.

The purpose of this review paper is to comprehensively examine and analyze existing adaptive video streaming models tailored for VR environments. By focusing on challenges specific to VR, such as 360-degree content delivery and head movement prediction, the paper aims to provide insights into how mathematical models and adaptive streaming algorithms have evolved to address these challenges. Through a thorough exploration of the existing literature, this review aims to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of different adaptive streaming approaches, providing a foundation for researchers, developers, and industry professionals to understand the current landscape and potential avenues for improvement. Ultimately, the paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding adaptive video streaming in VR, fostering advancements that align with the ever-evolving nature of virtual reality technologies.

The review paper begins with an introduction outlining the significance of adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments and introduces the specific challenges posed by factors such as 360-degree content and head movement prediction. The background section traces the evolution of video streaming technologies, emphasizing the importance of adaptive streaming in varying network conditions. Following this, the paper explores the challenges unique to VR, delving into the impact of 360-degree content and the necessity for accurate head movement prediction. The subsequent section provides a comprehensive survey of existing adaptive streaming models applicable to VR environments, comparing approaches and analyzing their effectiveness. The focus then shifts to mathematical models designed for adaptive streaming in VR, examining parameters like bandwidth, latency, and user experience metrics. User experience considerations follow, emphasizing the importance of user-centric factors in adaptive streaming models for VR.

The paper concludes with a forward-looking section that identifies current gaps, proposes future directions, and underscores the evolving nature of VR technology and its implications for adaptive streaming models.

II. BACKGROUND

The evolution of video streaming technologies has undergone a remarkable journey, transforming the way digital content is delivered and consumed. Initially, streaming was constrained by limited bandwidth, resulting in low-quality video and frequent buffering. Over time, advancements in compression algorithms, internet infrastructure, and video codecs have paved the way for higher resolution and more efficient streaming [21]. The advent of adaptive streaming technologies marked a significant milestone in this evolution, enabling seamless content delivery by dynamically adjusting video quality based on the viewer's device capabilities and network conditions. This evolution has not only improved the user experience but has also become increasingly crucial as the demand for high-definition content continues to rise.

Adaptive streaming, a paradigm shift from traditional streaming methods, has emerged as a key solution to the challenges posed by varying network conditions. Unlike static streaming, adaptive streaming monitors the viewer's internet speed and adjusts the quality of the video stream in real-time. This ensures a smooth viewing experience, as the video quality adapts to the available bandwidth, preventing buffering and providing optimal playback. The significance of adaptive streaming becomes particularly pronounced in today's diverse and dynamic network environments, where users may access content from a range of devices and network connections. This adaptability not only enhances user satisfaction but also serves as a fundamental component in the delivery of high-quality content across different platforms.

The emergence and growth of virtual reality (VR) technology have added new dimensions to the landscape of video streaming. VR creates immersive, interactive experiences by simulating environments and allowing users to engage with content in three-dimensional space. This technological leap has necessitated advancements in adaptive streaming to cater to the unique demands of VR environments. The demand for 360-degree content delivery, where users can explore the virtual world from any angle, has driven the development of adaptive streaming models capable of handling such spatial intricacies. The growth of VR has not only spurred innovations in content creation but has also catalyzed the evolution of adaptive streaming algorithms to ensure an immersive and uninterrupted experience for users engaging with VR content. As VR continues to gain traction across various industries, the synergy between adaptive streaming and VR technology becomes increasingly vital for delivering captivating and seamless virtual experiences.

III. CHALLENGES IN ADAPTIVE VIDEO STREAMING FOR VR

Adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments introduces a set of challenges that demand specialized solutions. One of the foremost challenges is the immersive nature of VR itself. Unlike traditional video streaming, VR requires a continuous and consistent experience from all angles. The challenge is to dynamically adjust video quality in response to user movements within the virtual environment while maintaining a seamless and uninterrupted streaming experience. The need for real-time adjustments poses unique difficulties for adaptive streaming algorithms, as traditional methods may struggle to keep up with the rapid changes in user perspective and interaction.

The integration of 360-degree content [8], [3], [5], [22] further amplifies the complexities of adaptive streaming in VR environments. Delivering content that spans a full spherical view necessitates a reevaluation of traditional streaming models. The challenge lies in efficiently transmitting high-quality video data in a way that accommodates the entire field of view without compromising on resolution or causing buffering issues. This shift in content format requires adaptive streaming models to adapt not only to the viewer's device capabilities and network conditions but also to the spatial intricacies introduced by 360-degree content. Consequently, the development of adaptive streaming solutions that can seamlessly handle the immersive nature of VR and the demands of 360-degree content becomes a critical area of focus.

Accurate head movement prediction stands out as another key challenge in adaptive video streaming for VR. As users engage with VR content, predicting their head movements in real-time becomes crucial for pre-fetching and streaming the appropriate content. The accuracy of these predictions directly impacts the user experience by minimizing latency and ensuring that the streamed content aligns with the user's viewpoint. Inaccurate head movement prediction can result in lag and visual artifacts, undermining the immersive quality of VR experiences. Therefore, developing algorithms that can reliably forecast head movements and adjust streaming parameters accordingly is paramount for achieving optimal video quality and user satisfaction in VR environments.

In essence, the challenges specific to adaptive streaming in VR environments revolve around the need for real-time adaptability to user movements, the spatial complexities introduced by 360-degree content, and the accurate prediction of head movements. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced understanding of the unique requirements of VR technology and the development of adaptive streaming models that can seamlessly navigate the intricacies posed by the immersive nature of VR experiences. As VR continues to advance, overcoming these challenges will play a pivotal role in delivering high-quality and immersive content to users.

IV. ADAPTIVE STREAMING MODELS

The landscape of adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments encompasses various existing models designed to address the unique challenges presented by this immersive technology. One prevalent approach involves Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (DASH) [13], a widely adopted standard that segments video content and adapts the quality of these segments based on the viewer's network conditions. DASH has gained popularity due to its compatibility with various devices and its ability to enhance streaming quality in real-time.

Addressing the challenges posed by 360-degree content and head movement prediction requires a multifaceted approach within these adaptive streaming models. Some models incorporate spatial-awareness mechanisms to optimize the streaming process for 360-degree content, considering the unique characteristics of immersive environments. For head movement prediction, models may integrate predictive algorithms that leverage user behavior patterns and real-time tracking data. The discussion on how these models address these factors involves an exploration of the algorithms, optimizations, and predictive mechanisms embedded in each model to ensure a seamless and immersive streaming experience in VR environments.

In essence, the effectiveness of adaptive streaming models in VR hinges on their ability to address the challenges posed by 360-degree content and head movement prediction. The comparative analysis provides valuable insights into the strengths and limitations of different approaches, guiding researchers and developers toward more nuanced and effective solutions for adaptive video streaming in the context of virtual reality.

V. Mathematical Models for Adaptive Streaming in VR

The exploration of mathematical models tailored for adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments involves a nuanced understanding of the intricate dynamics between the model parameters and the unique challenges posed by VR technology [6], [24], [2], [4]. In-depth analysis of the parameters considered in these mathematical models reveals the complexity involved in optimizing adaptive video streaming for VR. Bandwidth, a fundamental parameter, influences the streaming quality by determining the amount of data that can be transmitted per unit of time. Some models take bandwidth fluctuations into account to ensure continuous adjustments in streaming quality. Latency, another critical factor, directly impacts the real-time nature of VR interactions. Mathematical models address latency by optimizing streaming algorithms to minimize delays, enhancing the overall VR experience. Additionally, user experience metrics, encompassing factors such as frame rate, resolution, and playback smoothness, form an integral part of these models, emphasizing the importance of delivering content that aligns with user expectations and preferences.

Evaluation of the mathematical models' performance in VR scenarios involves testing their adaptability to dynamic changes in network conditions and user interactions. Performance metrics such as Quality of Experience (QoE) are essential in assessing how well these models deliver a seamless and immersive streaming experience [10], [9], [1]. The evaluation encompasses stress-testing the models under varying bandwidth conditions, simulating latency scenarios, and assessing their ability to respond to abrupt changes in user perspectives, especially in 360-degree content. Moreover, user-centric evaluations provide valuable insights into the perceived quality and satisfaction levels, ensuring that the mathematical models not only meet technical requirements but also align with user expectations.

Some models incorporate predictive algorithms to anticipate potential buffer underruns or overflows, ensuring a consistent streaming experience in VR. The model dynamically adjusts the streaming bitrate to prevent buffering issues and optimize video quality, taking into consideration the user's current viewport and anticipated head movements.

In conclusion, mathematical models tailored for adaptive video streaming in VR play a crucial role in ensuring a high-quality and immersive viewing experience. Their success lies in the meticulous consideration of parameters such as bandwidth, latency, and user experience metrics. The evaluation of these models in VR scenarios provides valuable insights into their adaptability and effectiveness, guiding further refinements and advancements in the quest for optimal adaptive video streaming in virtual reality.

VI. User Experience Considerations

The importance of user experience in adaptive video streaming for virtual reality (VR) cannot be overstated. VR environments aim to provide immersive and engaging experiences, and the quality of video streaming directly influences the overall user satisfaction. The unique characteristics of VR, such as the sense of presence and interaction, amplify the impact of user experience on the success of adaptive streaming solutions.

Exploring metrics and methodologies for assessing the quality of experience in VR environments involves a multifaceted approach. Traditional metrics like video resolution and bitrate are still relevant, but in VR, additional factors come into play. Frame rate is crucial, as low frame rates can lead to motion sickness and discomfort. Spatial audio quality is also a significant consideration, enhancing the immersive feel of VR content. Moreover, user engagement metrics, such as the duration of interaction and the level of interactivity, contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the overall VR experience.

Integration of user-centric factors into adaptive streaming models requires a holistic approach that goes beyond technical considerations. The Adaptive Bitrate (ABR) algorithm, for example, traditionally focuses on adjusting streaming quality based on network conditions. In the context of VR, the integration of user-centric factors involves understanding user behavior, preferences, and comfort levels. Models need to consider not only technical parameters like bandwidth and latency but also user engagement patterns, the level of interactivity in the VR environment, and the user's field of view.

One noteworthy approach is the User-Driven Adaptation (UDA) model, which incorporates direct user feedback and preferences into the adaptive streaming process. UDA allows

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, “Adaptive Video Streaming in Virtual Reality Environments: Mathematical Models for Immersive Experiences with 360-Degree Content and Head Movement Prediction,” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 7, pp. 252-257, 2024.

users to customize their streaming experience, giving them a sense of control and personalization. By integrating user-centric factors directly into the adaptive streaming algorithm, this model aligns with the user's preferences, enhancing the overall quality of experience in VR.

In the realm of VR adaptive streaming, the concept of Quality of Experience (QoE) becomes central. QoE is a holistic measure that encapsulates technical parameters and user-centric factors. The assessment of QoE involves not only objective measurements but also subjective evaluations from users, capturing the perceptual aspects of the streaming experience. By combining technical metrics with user feedback, adaptive streaming models can be fine-tuned to meet the specific needs and expectations of VR users.

Moreover, understanding the psychology of user perception is vital in the context of VR. Factors like the Field of View (FoV) and peripheral vision play crucial roles in how users perceive and interact with content. Adaptive streaming models need to consider these perceptual aspects to ensure that the streaming quality aligns with the user's visual experience in the virtual environment.

In conclusion, the importance of user experience in VR adaptive streaming necessitates a comprehensive approach that goes beyond technical metrics. Exploring metrics and methodologies for assessing the quality of experience involves understanding the unique aspects of VR environments. Integration of user-centric factors into adaptive streaming models, such as customization options and direct user feedback, ensures that the streaming experience aligns with user preferences. As the field of VR continues to evolve, user-centric approaches will play a pivotal role in shaping the success of adaptive video streaming solutions.

VII. Future Directions and Challenges

Identification of current gaps and challenges in adaptive video streaming for virtual reality (VR) unveils several critical areas that need attention for the optimal development of adaptive streaming solutions. One significant gap lies in the accurate prediction of user behavior within VR environments. Current adaptive streaming models face challenges in predicting abrupt head movements, interactions, and changes in user focus, leading to potential disruptions in streaming quality. Improving the real-time adaptability of models to these dynamic user behaviors is essential to bridge this gap.

The challenge of delivering consistently high-quality streaming experiences across different VR devices is another prevalent issue. VR platforms vary in terms of processing power, display capabilities, and network connectivity, posing a challenge for adaptive streaming models to provide an optimized experience universally. Addressing this gap involves developing adaptive algorithms that can efficiently tailor streaming quality to the specific capabilities of diverse VR devices, ensuring a seamless and consistent experience for users.

Exploring potential future developments and advancements in the field of adaptive video streaming for VR involves envisioning innovative solutions to current challenges. One avenue for improvement is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) [18], [19] techniques to enhance adaptive streaming algorithms. Advanced prediction models, capable of learning and adapting to user behaviors over time, could significantly improve the accuracy of head movement predictions and overall streaming quality in VR.

Moreover, the incorporation of Quality of Experience (QoE) metrics that go beyond traditional technical parameters can be a future focus. Including subjective evaluations, user feedback, and biometric data in adaptive streaming models could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the user's perception and satisfaction, leading to more personalized and user-centric streaming experiences in VR.

The evolving nature of VR technology introduces implications for adaptive streaming models, as new features and capabilities continually reshape user expectations. As VR evolves beyond entertainment into fields such as education, healthcare, and remote collaboration, adaptive streaming models must adapt to the diverse demands of these applications. This evolution necessitates the development of adaptive streaming solutions that can cater to specific requirements, such as low-latency streaming for virtual classrooms or high-resolution streaming for medical applications.

Furthermore, the integration of augmented reality (AR) and mixed reality (MR) into the VR landscape poses additional challenges. Adaptive streaming models will need to evolve to seamlessly handle transitions between VR, AR, and MR experiences, providing users with a cohesive and immersive streaming experience across these interconnected realities.

The identification of current gaps and challenges in adaptive video streaming for VR highlights the need for advancements in prediction accuracy, device compatibility, and user-centric metrics. Exploring potential future developments involves leveraging AI/ML, incorporating comprehensive QoE metrics, and adapting to the evolving landscape of VR applications. The ongoing evolution of VR technology introduces complex implications for adaptive streaming models, necessitating continuous innovation to meet the dynamic needs of users in this rapidly advancing field.

In summary, the review of adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments reveals key findings and insights that underscore the critical role of adaptive streaming in enhancing the VR experience. The exploration of existing adaptive streaming models showcase a diverse landscape of approaches aiming to address the challenges unique to VR environments. These models vary in their adaptability, incorporating mathematical formulations, machine learning [14], and buffer-aware algorithms to dynamically adjust streaming parameters based on changing network conditions and user behaviors.

A major insight derived from the review is the complexity

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, “Adaptive Video Streaming in Virtual Reality Environments: Mathematical Models for Immersive Experiences with 360-Degree Content and Head Movement Prediction,” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 7, pp. 252-257, 2024.

introduced by factors such as 360-degree content and accurate head movement prediction. The challenges posed by these aspects demand specialized solutions that can seamlessly adapt to spatial intricacies and predict user interactions in real-time. Models which leverage machine learning and direct user feedback, respectively, demonstrate promising approaches to tackle these challenges. The in-depth analysis of parameters considered in adaptive streaming models, such as bandwidth, latency, and user experience metrics, further highlights the multidimensional nature of optimizing streaming quality in VR.

The significance of adaptive video streaming in enhancing VR experiences becomes apparent when considering its impact on user satisfaction and immersion. By dynamically adjusting video quality to match varying network conditions and user behaviors, adaptive streaming ensures a smoother, uninterrupted, and visually appealing VR experience. This is particularly crucial in VR applications beyond entertainment, such as education, healthcare, and remote collaboration, where high-quality streaming contributes to the effectiveness and engagement of these immersive experiences.

Moreover, the review emphasizes the importance of user-centric factors in shaping the success of adaptive streaming models. Integrating user feedback, customization options, and predictive algorithms that align with user preferences contribute to a more personalized and satisfying VR streaming experience. As VR technology evolves and expands into diverse applications, adaptive streaming models need to adapt to meet the specific requirements of these domains.

In conclusion, adaptive video streaming emerges as a key enabler in enhancing VR experiences, providing solutions to the challenges posed by dynamic network conditions, 360-degree content, and accurate head movement prediction. The review underscores the importance of ongoing advancements in adaptive streaming models, incorporating AI/ML techniques, comprehensive QoE metrics, and adapting to the evolving landscape of VR applications. The continuous innovation in adaptive streaming aligns with the dynamic nature of VR technology, promising to shape a more immersive, personalized, and seamless VR experience for users across various domains.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In closing, the review of adaptive video streaming in virtual reality (VR) environments unveils several areas ripe for further research and development, providing exciting avenues to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of adaptive streaming solutions. One key area for future exploration involves the refinement of predictive algorithms for head movement within VR environments. Improving the accuracy and real-time adaptability of these algorithms remains a challenge, and future research could delve into advanced machine learning techniques or sensor fusion strategies to further enhance the precision of head movement predictions. Achieving more accurate predictions is vital for minimizing latency and optimizing the streaming experience in VR.

The optimization of adaptive streaming models for specific VR applications represents another promising area for future research. As VR technology extends its reach beyond entertainment into fields such as education, healthcare, and enterprise, tailoring adaptive streaming solutions to meet the unique requirements of these applications becomes paramount. Research can focus on developing specialized models that consider the specific spatial and interactivity demands of VR in education or the low-latency requirements of VR-based telemedicine applications.

Furthermore, there is potential for exploring adaptive streaming models that seamlessly transition between different immersive realities, such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and mixed reality (MR). As these technologies become more intertwined, the development of adaptive streaming solutions capable of fluidly adapting to the characteristics of each reality presents an exciting area for future investigation. Understanding how to optimize streaming quality during transitions and maintaining a cohesive experience across diverse realities can significantly enhance the overall user experience.

The integration of haptic feedback into adaptive streaming models is an emerging area that holds promise for enhancing user immersion. Haptic feedback, which provides a sense of touch, can complement visual and auditory experiences in VR. Research in this area could explore how adaptive streaming models can dynamically adjust streaming parameters to synchronize with haptic feedback, providing a more holistic and immersive sensory experience for users.

Another avenue for future research lies in the development of adaptive streaming models that prioritize energy efficiency. As VR devices become more portable and battery-powered, optimizing streaming algorithms to minimize energy consumption while maintaining a high-quality experience is crucial. Research can explore novel approaches, such as adaptive streaming models that dynamically adjust video quality based on the device's power status and available resources.

The ongoing evolution of VR hardware, including advancements in display technologies and increased processing power, also warrants continuous research in adaptive streaming. Understanding how adaptive streaming models can leverage these hardware improvements to deliver even higher-quality content or new types of immersive experiences is a promising area for exploration.

In conclusion, the review identifies several promising directions for future research and development in adaptive video streaming for VR. These areas encompass improvements in head movement prediction, tailored solutions for specific applications, seamless transitions between immersive realities, integration with haptic feedback, energy-efficient streaming, and leveraging advancements in VR hardware. The pursuit of innovation in these domains can further propel the capabilities of adaptive streaming models,

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, "Adaptive Video Streaming in Virtual Reality Environments: Mathematical Models for Immersive Experiences with 360-Degree Content and Head Movement Prediction," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 7, pp. 252-257, 2024.

contributing to a more seamless, personalized, and immersive VR experience for users across diverse applications.

REFERENCES

[1] Ahmad N. Exploring QoS-QoE: Importance of Cross-Correlated Quality of Service Metrics in Evaluating the User Experience for Various Multimedia Applications.

[2] Bulkan U, Dagiuklas T, Iqbal M. Supereye: smart advertisement insertion for online video streaming. Multimedia Tools and Applications. 2023 Mar;82(6):9361-79.

[3] Chen CY, Hsieh HY. Cross-Frame Resource Allocation with Context-Aware QoE Estimation for 360° Video Streaming in Wireless Virtual Reality. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications. 2023 Mar 20.

[4] Cheng L, Tang Q, Zhang L. Mathematical model and adaptive simulated annealing algorithm for mixed-model assembly job-shop scheduling with lot streaming. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2023 Oct 1;70:484-500.

[5] Covaci A, Saleme EB, Mesfin G, Comsa IS, Trestian R, Santos CA, Ghinea G. Multisensory 360 videos under varying resolution levels enhance presence. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics. 2022 Jan 6;29(4):2093-101.

[6] Duanmu Z, Liu W, Chen D, Li Z, Wang Z, Wang Y, Gao W. A Bayesian Quality-of-Experience Model for Adaptive Streaming Videos. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications. 2023 Feb 11;18(3s):1-24.

[7] Gandsas A, Dorey T, Park A. Immersive Live Streaming of Surgery Using 360-Degree Video to Head-Mounted Virtual Reality Devices: A New Paradigm in Surgical Education. Surgical Innovation. 2023 Mar 29:15533506231165828.

[8] Gandsas A, Dorey T, Park A. Immersive Live Streaming of Surgery Using 360-Degree Video to Head-Mounted Virtual Reality Devices: A New Paradigm in Surgical Education. Surgical Innovation. 2023 Mar 29:15533506231165828.

[9] Halvaiee NS, Akbari MK. User quality of experience estimation using social network analysis. Multimedia Systems. 2022 Jun;28(3):1007-26.

[10] Hossfeld T, Seufert A, Loh F, Wunderer S, Davies J. Industrial User Experience Index vs. Quality of Experience Models. IEEE Communications Magazine. 2022 Nov 7;61(1):98-104.

[11] Huang X, Riddell J, Xiao R. Virtual Reality Telepresence: 360-Degree Video Streaming with Edge-Compute Assisted Static Foveated Compression. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics. 2023 Oct 3.

[12] Khan K, Goodridge W. An overview of dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP (DASH) applications over information-centric networking (ICN). International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications. 2018 Nov 1;10(3):3853-9.

[13] Khan K, Goodridge W. Collaborative Methods to Reduce the Disastrous Effects of the Overlapping ON Problem in DASH. Int. J. Advanced Networking and Applications. 2019 Sep 1;11(02):4236-43.

[14] Khan K, Goodridge W. Machine learning in Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (DASH). International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications. 2017 Nov 1;9(3):3461-8.

[15] Khan K, Goodridge W. What happens when adaptive video streaming players compete with Long-Lived TCP flows?. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications. 2018 Nov 1;10(3):3898-904.

[16] Khan K, Goodridge W. What happens when stochastic adaptive video streaming players share a bottleneck link?. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications. 2019 May 1;10(6):4054-60.

[17] Khan K, Joseph L, Ramsahai E. Transport layer performance in DASH bottlenecks. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications. 2021 Nov 1;13(3):5007-15.

[18] Khan K, Ramsahai E. Categorizing 2019-n-cov twitter hashtag data by clustering. Available at SSRN 3680616. 2020 Aug 25.

[19] Khan K, Sahai A. A comparison of BA, GA, PSO, BP and LM for training feed forward neural networks in e-learning context. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications. 2012 Jun 1;4(7):23.

[20] Khan K. Advancements and Challenges in 360-Degree Virtual Reality Video Streaming at the Edge: A Comprehensive Review.

[21] Nam YS, Gao J, Bothra C, Ghabashneh E, Rao S, Ribeiro B, Zhan J, Zhang H. Xatu: Richer neural network based prediction for video streaming. Proceedings of the ACM on Measurement and Analysis of Computing Systems. 2021 Dec 15;5(3):1-26.

[22] Wang S, Yang S, Li H, Zhang X, Zhou C, Xu C, Qian F, Wang N, Xu Z. SalientVR: saliency-driven mobile 360-degree video streaming with gaze information. InProceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing And Networking 2022 Oct 14 (pp. 542-555).

[23] Wong ES, Wahab NH, Saeed F, Alharbi N. 360-Degree Video Bandwidth Reduction: Technique and Approaches Comprehensive Review. Applied Sciences. 2022 Jul 28;12(15):7581.

[24] Yu J, Wen H, Pan G, Zhang S, Chen X, Xu S. Quality of experience oriented adaptive video streaming for edge assisted cellular networks. IEEE Wireless Communications Letters. 2022 Aug 23;11(11):2305-9.

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, "Adaptive Video Streaming in Virtual Reality Environments: Mathematical Models for Immersive Experiences with 360-Degree Content and Head Movement Prediction," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 7, pp. 252-257, 2024.