International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications
ISSN (Online): 2581-6187

An Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming Taxonomy (AVQOES)

Koffka Khan[1], Wayne Goodridge[1]
[1]Department of Computing and Information Technology, Faculty of Science and Agriculture, The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine Campus, TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO.
Email address: koffka.khan@gmail.com

Abstract— *The AVQOES taxonomy, denoting "Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming," presents a comprehensive and structured framework for organizing mathematical optimization techniques applied in adaptive video streaming systems. As digital video consumption becomes increasingly ubiquitous, ensuring a seamless, high-quality viewing experience is essential. This taxonomy acknowledges the intricacies of adaptive streaming, including rate-distortion optimization, quality of experience (QoE) optimization, and throughput maximization. It covers a spectrum of optimization algorithms, adaptation variables, and constraints. Moreover, it integrates quality metrics such as PSNR, SSIM, VMAF, and both quality of experience (QoE) and quality of service (QoS) metrics, incorporating bandwidth and buffer levels. This framework discerns between client-based, in-network-based, and server-based optimization strategies, each offering distinct advantages and constraints. Furthermore, the AVQOES taxonomy expands to include Bayesian Optimization, enriching the array of techniques available for addressing intricate, non-convex problems in the realm of adaptive video streaming. By providing this organized framework, the taxonomy empowers system designers to make informed choices, facilitating the creation of adaptive video streaming solutions that enhance the user experience, ensuring high-quality content delivery in diverse network conditions and across an array of devices.*

Keywords— *Adaptive: Video: Quality: Optimization: Streaming.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In the digital age, video streaming has become a cornerstone of our media consumption, driving a surging demand for high-quality content delivered seamlessly to an ever-expanding array of devices and network environments. Achieving this harmony between video quality and the constraints of real-world conditions is the primary challenge that adaptive video streaming systems grapple with. The AVQOES taxonomy, standing for "Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming," serves as a vital, systematic framework to categorize and understand the mathematical optimization techniques essential for mastering this dynamic landscape.

The modern viewer has come to expect nothing short of perfection when it comes to video streaming, be it on a mobile device, a smart TV, or any other platform. As a result, video streaming systems must adapt to ever-changing network conditions, viewer preferences, and device capabilities. The AVQOES taxonomy is designed to address these multifaceted challenges, placing a strong emphasis on enhancing both the video quality and the overall streaming experience. In the realm of adaptive video streaming [14], [12], critical variables such as rate-distortion optimization, quality of experience (QoE) optimization, and maximizing throughput require dedicated consideration.

The framework encompasses a wide spectrum of optimization algorithms, including dynamic programming for optimizing streaming decisions over time, linear programming for modeling video streaming problems as linear equations, and nonlinear optimization techniques for solving complex, non-convex problems. It also recognizes the significance of quality metrics such as PSNR, SSIM, and VMAF, alongside network-related quality of service (QoS) metrics, which encompass bandwidth and buffer levels, vital for maintaining a consistent streaming experience. What further distinguishes the AVQOES framework is its recognition of the multifaceted nature of optimization techniques [16], [13], differentiating between client-based, in-network-based, and server-based approaches. These categories allow system designers to choose techniques most suitable for their specific goals and the context in which their streaming systems operate.

Moreover, as the landscape of video streaming continues to evolve, the inclusion of Bayesian Optimization provides a powerful tool for addressing intricate, non-convex problems. This inclusion empowers designers and engineers to make informed decisions and craft adaptive video streaming solutions that amplify the overall viewing experience [15], [17], ensuring high-quality content delivery across diverse network environments and a wide array of devices. The AVQOES taxonomy thus plays a pivotal role in navigating the intricate world of adaptive video streaming, ensuring that viewers' expectations for exceptional video quality are consistently met and even exceeded.

In Section II we outline the motivation driving the AVQOES taxonomy. In Section III we give the taxonomy components while a comparison among the components is given in Section IV. In Section V the uses of the AVQOES is given. In Section VI a discussion of the taxonomy is given. Finally, the conclusion is given Section VII.

II. MOTIVATION FOR THE TAXONOMY

The motivation for creating the AVQOES taxonomy lies in addressing the complex and evolving landscape of adaptive video streaming. The advent of online video streaming services, increasing device diversity, varying network conditions, and viewer expectations have made the optimization of video delivery a challenging task. The taxonomy aims to provide a structured framework for understanding and categorizing the key elements and concepts in this domain. Here are the primary motivations behind its creation:

Clarifying Terminology and Concepts: The field of adaptive video streaming involves numerous terms, techniques, and concepts. The taxonomy serves as a unified reference point, clarifying the terminology and categorizing the various elements, making it easier for researchers, practitioners, and developers to communicate and collaborate effectively.

Standardization and Consistency: In the absence of a standardized framework, different stakeholders in the adaptive video streaming ecosystem might use inconsistent language and approaches. The taxonomy promotes consistency by offering a common set of categories and concepts, which can help improve communication and understanding across the industry.

Knowledge Organization: Adaptive video streaming is a multidisciplinary field that encompasses aspects of computer science, networking, multimedia, and user experience. The taxonomy organizes the knowledge in a structured manner, providing a foundation for further research and development.

Optimization and Problem-Solving: The taxonomy offers a systematic way to approach optimization challenges in adaptive video streaming. It helps stakeholders identify key objectives, constraints, and decision variables. This structured approach can lead to more effective problem-solving and the development of better streaming algorithms.

User Experience Enhancement: A primary motivation for the taxonomy is to enhance the user experience in video streaming. By categorizing and understanding the different elements involved, service providers can make more informed decisions, adapt content delivery to varying conditions, and ultimately provide viewers with a seamless and high-quality experience.

Innovation and Research Advancement: The taxonomy encourages innovation and research in the field of adaptive video streaming. It provides a foundation for researchers to explore new optimization techniques, machine learning integration, and quality assessment methods. As the field evolves, the taxonomy can be updated to incorporate emerging concepts and technologies.

Resource Efficiency: Efficient use of network resources is a critical concern in video streaming. The taxonomy helps stakeholders make informed decisions about resource allocation, transcoding, and content delivery, contributing to a more sustainable and cost-effective streaming infrastructure.

Scalability and Future-Readiness: With the growing demand for online video streaming, scalability and future readiness are essential. The taxonomy allows service providers to develop adaptive solutions that can scale with the evolving landscape of devices, network technologies, and viewer expectations.

In summary, the motivation for the AVQOES taxonomy is to create a structured framework that enhances the understanding and management of adaptive video streaming. By categorizing and organizing the elements and concepts within the field, the taxonomy aims to improve the quality of video delivery, optimize resource usage, and foster innovation in this dynamic and rapidly evolving domain.

III. AVQOES TAXONOMY

The Adaptive Video Quality Optimization and Enhancement System (AVQOES) Taxonomy is a comprehensive framework designed to categorize and organize the key elements and concepts within the field of adaptive video streaming. In an era dominated by online video content delivery, the AVQOES taxonomy provides a structured approach to understanding and addressing the complex challenges associated with optimizing video streaming for varying network conditions, user preferences, and device capabilities.

Adaptive video streaming is a dynamic process that requires the orchestration of multiple components, including content adaptation, network resource management, quality assessment, and decision-making. The AVQOES taxonomy seeks to bring clarity and coherence to this multifaceted domain by categorizing its elements into distinct but interconnected categories. These categories encompass Objective Function Types, Adaptation Variables, Constraints and Considerations, Quality Metrics, Real-Time vs. Offline Optimization, and Machine Learning Integration.

The AVQOES taxonomy not only serves as a reference for terminology and concepts but also motivates a structured and systematic approach to the optimization of video streaming. It offers a common language and framework for content providers, network operators, researchers, and other stakeholders in the industry to collaborate, innovate, and enhance the user experience.

As the landscape of online video streaming continues to evolve with the proliferation of devices, networks, and user expectations, the AVQOES taxonomy is well-positioned to adapt and incorporate emerging concepts and technologies. It is a valuable resource for those seeking to optimize video content delivery, ensure quality, and provide viewers with a seamless and satisfying streaming experience.

The components of the AVQOES taxonomy are as follows:

1. Objective Function Types [9], [10], [18]:

Rate-Distortion Optimization (RDO): Minimizing distortion while satisfying rate constraints.

Quality of Experience (QoE) Optimization: Maximizing user QoE metrics (e.g., MOS) by adjusting video quality dynamically.

Throughput Optimization: Maximizing the throughput while maintaining acceptable video quality.

Here are more details -

Rate-Distortion Optimization (RDO):

Rate-Distortion Optimization (RDO) is a foundational objective function type in adaptive video streaming. RDO aims to strike a delicate balance between minimizing video distortion and adhering to rate constraints. Distortion, in the context of video encoding and streaming, refers to the imperfections or errors introduced when compressing and transmitting video content. These errors manifest as artifacts, reduced clarity, or other anomalies that negatively impact the perceived quality of the video. On the other hand, rate constraints relate to the available bandwidth or network capacity. RDO aims to ensure that the video stream remains within the constraints imposed by the network, typically by selecting an appropriate bitrate and video encoding parameters.

To achieve RDO, adaptive streaming systems continuously evaluate and adjust the video quality to maintain an optimal balance. When network conditions are favorable, the system can increase the video bitrate to enhance quality, but it must ensure that the transmission rate does not exceed available bandwidth. Conversely, during adverse network conditions, the system may reduce the bitrate to prevent buffering or playback interruptions. RDO optimization is fundamental to providing viewers with the best possible video quality while maintaining a smooth streaming experience.

Quality of Experience (QoE) Optimization:

Quality of Experience (QoE) Optimization is centered around the viewer's perception of video quality and satisfaction. QoE is a multidimensional concept that encompasses various factors contributing to the overall user experience, such as visual quality, smooth playback, and a lack of interruptions. The most common metric used to quantify QoE is the Mean Opinion Score (MOS), which reflects a viewer's subjective assessment of video quality. Maximizing QoE requires the adaptive streaming system to adapt dynamically to network conditions, viewer preferences, and device capabilities.

QoE optimization focuses on delivering the best possible viewer experience by adjusting video quality in real-time. This involves selecting the appropriate bitrate, resolution, and encoding parameters to match the viewer's available bandwidth and device capabilities. Additionally, it considers viewer preferences, such as a preference for higher quality or lower data usage. To optimize QoE, the system continuously assesses QoE metrics and adjusts the video stream to meet or exceed viewer expectations. QoE optimization is essential for retaining and engaging viewers, as a poor viewing experience can lead to viewer abandonment and dissatisfaction.

Throughput Optimization:

Throughput Optimization concentrates on maximizing the data throughput during video streaming while preserving an acceptable level of video quality. Throughput optimization is critical when resource efficiency and network capacity utilization are primary concerns. It is common in scenarios where bandwidth is limited, and multiple video streams share network resources, or in situations where streaming content to a large audience simultaneously is a priority.

The objective in throughput optimization is to utilize the available network bandwidth efficiently while avoiding congestion and packet loss. Streaming systems dynamically allocate the necessary resources to each video stream, adapting to varying network conditions and the number of active users. This may involve adjusting video bitrates, transcoding to different resolutions, or employing video optimization techniques to ensure a seamless experience for viewers. Throughput optimization is crucial in scenarios such as live events, where ensuring reliable streaming to a massive audience is paramount, or in network environments with constrained bandwidth.

These objective function types—RDO, QoE Optimization, and Throughput Optimization—represent different approaches to addressing the complexities of adaptive video streaming. They offer a range of techniques for balancing video quality, network constraints, and user satisfaction, allowing streaming systems to deliver an optimal experience to viewers across diverse situations and network conditions.

2. Optimization Algorithms [3], [5], [8]:

Dynamic Programming: Used for optimizing adaptive streaming decisions by considering the impact of choices over time.

Linear Programming (LP): Modeling video streaming optimization problems as linear equations, suitable for resource allocation problems.

Integer Linear Programming (ILP): Extending LP by introducing integer constraints, often used for discrete resource allocation decisions.

Nonlinear Optimization: Solving problems with nonlinear objective functions or constraints using techniques like gradient descent or the Newton-Raphson method.

Convex Optimization: Applicable to problems with convex objective functions and constraints; can be efficiently solved using algorithms like interior-point methods.

Greedy Algorithms: Simple but effective techniques that make locally optimal choices at each step.

Heuristic and Metaheuristic Methods: Techniques like genetic algorithms, simulated annealing, particle swarm optimization, and Bayesian Optimization used for finding near-optimal solutions in complex and non-convex problems.

Here are more details –

Dynamic Programming:

Dynamic Programming is a versatile optimization technique used for optimizing adaptive streaming decisions by considering the impact of choices made over time. In the context of video streaming, dynamic programming can be applied to make decisions regarding bitrate selection, buffer management, and segment fetching. It is particularly valuable for addressing problems where the optimal solution is dependent on previous decisions and conditions, as it can efficiently explore different scenarios to find the most favorable outcome.

By breaking down the streaming process into discrete time steps and considering various combinations of decisions, dynamic programming enables streaming systems to make choices that lead to the best possible video quality and user experience over time. This approach is essential in scenarios where the objective function is influenced by a sequence of actions and where it is crucial to adapt streaming decisions dynamically to network fluctuations and viewer preferences.

Linear Programming (LP):

Linear Programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to model and solve video streaming optimization problems as linear equations. It is particularly suitable for problems involving resource allocation, such as optimizing bitrate distribution among multiple video streams or allocating server resources to different tasks. In the context of adaptive video streaming, LP can be used to optimize the allocation of network resources based on linear constraints.

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, “An Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming Taxonomy (AVQOES),” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp. 137-147, 2023.

LP formulates the problem as a linear objective function to be maximized or minimized while adhering to a set of linear constraints. It provides a structured and efficient approach for solving problems that can be expressed in a linear form. By using LP, streaming systems can make resource allocation decisions that maximize video quality or network efficiency while adhering to available resources and constraints.

Integer Linear Programming (ILP):

Integer Linear Programming extends the capabilities of LP by introducing integer constraints to the problem. ILP is frequently used in scenarios where resource allocation decisions are discrete and must be made in whole numbers. In adaptive video streaming, this can be applied to situations where the selection of specific bitrates or resource allocation to different streams needs to be made in integer values.

ILP is beneficial in cases where decisions are inherently discrete, such as choosing from a finite set of bitrates or deciding whether to allocate resources to specific tasks or streams. It is particularly relevant in scenarios involving network resource optimization and load balancing, as it allows for granular control over allocation decisions while adhering to integer constraints.

Nonlinear Optimization:

Nonlinear Optimization is a broad class of optimization techniques used for solving problems with nonlinear objective functions or constraints. In the context of adaptive video streaming, this can encompass optimizing video quality while considering non-linear relationships between bitrate, video quality, and network conditions. Techniques like gradient descent and the Newton-Raphson method are commonly applied to find solutions to these non-convex problems.

Nonlinear optimization is essential for scenarios where the relationships between variables are not linear, and the objective function cannot be expressed in a simple linear form. By iteratively adjusting parameters to minimize or maximize the objective function, streaming systems can adapt video quality and other parameters to changing conditions, providing an optimal viewing experience.

Convex Optimization:

Convex Optimization is a class of optimization techniques suitable for problems with convex objective functions and constraints. Convex problems have specific properties that allow for efficient and reliable solutions. In the context of adaptive video streaming, convex optimization can be applied to address problems related to resource allocation and video quality optimization.

Convex optimization techniques, such as interior-point methods, are used to efficiently find optimal solutions while ensuring that the objective function and constraints are convex. This approach is particularly valuable in scenarios where computational efficiency and reliability are paramount, as it allows streaming systems to make resource allocation and video quality decisions that align with the convex nature of the problem.

Greedy Algorithms:

Greedy Algorithms are simple yet effective techniques that make locally optimal choices at each step of the optimization process. In adaptive video streaming, these algorithms can be applied to make immediate decisions, such as bitrate selection or segment fetching, that appear to be the best choice at a given moment. Greedy algorithms do not consider the long-term consequences of their choices but focus on maximizing an immediate objective.

Greedy algorithms are often used when real-time decision-making is required, and making the best choice at each step is sufficient to optimize the current situation. While they may not guarantee a globally optimal solution, they can provide practical and efficient solutions for video streaming systems, particularly in situations where real-time adaptation is critical.

Heuristic and Metaheuristic Methods:

Heuristic and Metaheuristic methods encompass a wide range of optimization techniques used for finding near-optimal solutions in complex and non-convex problems. These techniques include genetic algorithms, simulated annealing, particle swarm optimization, and Bayesian Optimization. Adaptive video streaming systems leverage these methods to address intricate challenges, such as video quality optimization, resource allocation, and buffer management.

Heuristic methods provide practical solutions based on expert knowledge and problem-specific rules, making them particularly valuable in scenarios where a fully optimal solution is difficult to attain. Metaheuristic methods, on the other hand, explore solution spaces more broadly, often using randomization and iterative search to identify near-optimal solutions. Bayesian Optimization is especially noteworthy, as it combines probabilistic modeling and optimization to efficiently navigate complex, non-convex problems in adaptive video streaming, making it a valuable addition to the optimization toolkit.

These optimization algorithms, within the AVQOES taxonomy, offer diverse approaches to address the intricate challenges faced by adaptive video streaming systems. By selecting the most appropriate algorithm or combination of techniques based on the specific problem and context, streaming systems can optimize video quality, resource allocation, and user experience effectively, ensuring a seamless and high-quality viewing experience across various network conditions and devices.

3. Adaptation Variables [22], [21], [26]:

Bitrate Adaptation: Optimizing the selection of video bitrates based on network conditions and user preferences.

Buffer Management: Managing playback buffer levels to ensure smooth streaming while considering video quality.

Segment Selection: Optimizing which video segments to fetch and display next, based on the current network conditions.

Transcoding Decisions: Deciding whether to transcode video content into different formats or resolutions to accommodate various devices and network conditions.

Here are more details –

Bitrate Adaptation:

Bitrate adaptation is a fundamental adaptive variable in video streaming that involves optimizing the selection of video bitrates based on a combination of network conditions and user preferences. This adaptation variable addresses the challenge of delivering the highest possible video quality while ensuring a smooth and uninterrupted streaming experience. Bitrate adaptation dynamically adjusts the bitrate of the video stream to match the available network bandwidth, device capabilities, and viewer preferences.

To optimize bitrate adaptation, video streaming systems continuously monitor network conditions, such as available bandwidth, latency, and packet loss, as well as device capabilities, such as screen size and decoder capabilities. Additionally, user preferences play a vital role, as some viewers may prioritize high video quality, while others may prefer lower data consumption. By balancing these factors, streaming systems select the most suitable bitrate, ensuring that the video quality matches the viewer's expectations while preventing buffering or playback interruptions. Bitrate adaptation is crucial for delivering a personalized and high-quality streaming experience across various network conditions and devices.

Buffer Management:

Buffer management is a critical adaptive variable that focuses on managing playback buffer levels to ensure a smooth and uninterrupted streaming experience while considering video quality. Streaming systems use playback buffers to store a portion of the video content in advance, allowing for a buffer against network fluctuations and reducing the likelihood of playback interruptions. Effective buffer management seeks to strike a balance between maximizing the buffer size for robustness and minimizing the buffer size to minimize latency.

To optimize buffer management, streaming systems continuously evaluate the buffer level, network conditions, and user behavior. When network conditions are favorable, the system may aim to fill the buffer to provide a more reliable streaming experience, especially in cases of sudden network congestion or fluctuations. Conversely, during periods of network instability, the system may prioritize minimizing buffer size to reduce latency and provide more responsive playback. Buffer management ensures a seamless streaming experience while also allowing for quality adjustments, such as rate adaptation, when network conditions change.

Segment Selection:

Segment selection is an adaptation variable that focuses on optimizing which video segments to fetch and display next, based on the current network conditions. Video content is typically divided into segments or chunks, and during playback, the streaming system selects which segments to retrieve. Segment selection is crucial for ensuring uninterrupted playback and maintaining video quality, especially in scenarios with varying network bandwidth.

To optimize segment selection, streaming systems consider the current network conditions, buffer status, and viewer's position in the video stream. The system aims to fetch segments that match the viewer's current playback position while also considering the available bandwidth. During stable network conditions, the system may opt for higher-quality segments, while under adverse conditions, it may choose lower-quality segments to prevent buffering. Segment selection is a dynamic process that helps provide a seamless and adaptive streaming experience, ensuring that the viewer receives the best possible video quality within the constraints of the network.

Transcoding Decisions:

Transcoding decisions are a critical adaptation variable in video streaming, involving the choice of whether to transcode video content into different formats or resolutions to accommodate various devices and network conditions. Transcoding is especially relevant in multi-device environments where videos need to be delivered in different formats or bitrates to suit the capabilities of a wide range of devices, from mobile phones to large-screen televisions.

To optimize transcoding decisions, streaming systems assess the viewer's device type and network conditions. The system may need to transcode the video content in real-time or store pre-transcoded versions to ensure that viewers with varying device capabilities receive an optimal streaming experience. This adaptation variable ensures that video streaming can be tailored to a diverse audience, whether it's delivering high-definition content to a 4K television or lower-resolution content to a mobile device with limited bandwidth. Transcoding decisions are essential for enhancing compatibility, user experience, and efficient resource utilization in adaptive video streaming systems.

These adaptation variables are at the core of adaptive video streaming, ensuring that viewers receive a high-quality, uninterrupted experience that aligns with their device capabilities and the dynamic nature of network conditions. The optimization of these variables is central to the AVQOES taxonomy, as it allows streaming systems to provide tailored and adaptive content delivery to diverse audiences in various network environments.

4. Constraints and Considerations [11], [25], [2]:

Bandwidth Constraints: Ensuring that the selected bitrate does not exceed available network bandwidth (In-Network-Based).

Buffer Constraints: Maintaining a buffer level within specified limits to prevent buffer underruns or overflows (Client-Based).

Resource Constraints: Considering hardware limitations, server capacity, and other resource-related constraints (Server-Based).

Fairness Constraints: Ensuring fairness in resource allocation among multiple users or streams (In-Network-Based).

Here are more details –

Bandwidth Constraints (In-Network-Based):

Bandwidth constraints are an essential consideration for adaptive video streaming, particularly in an in-network-based context. These constraints revolve around ensuring that the selected video bitrate does not exceed the available network bandwidth. In other words, streaming systems need to adapt the video quality to match the current network conditions, such as available bandwidth, to prevent overloading the network and ensure smooth content delivery.

To manage bandwidth constraints, in-network-based optimization techniques evaluate the network's available bandwidth continuously. These techniques may involve network measurements, monitoring packet loss, and assessing congestion levels. Based on this information, the system dynamically selects an appropriate video bitrate that aligns

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, "An Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming Taxonomy (AVQOES)," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp. 137-147, 2023.

with the network's capacity. Bandwidth constraints are vital for preventing network congestion, optimizing resource utilization, and delivering an uninterrupted streaming experience to users.

Buffer Constraints (Client-Based):

Buffer constraints are particularly relevant for client-based adaptive video streaming. These constraints focus on maintaining a buffer level within specified limits to prevent buffer underruns or overflows during playback. The buffer acts as a temporary storage area for video segments, allowing for smooth playback by compensating for network fluctuations and ensuring a continuous stream.

To address buffer constraints, client-based streaming systems carefully monitor the buffer status and dynamically adapt the segment selection and bitrate based on buffer levels. During playback, the system aims to keep the buffer within specified thresholds. If the buffer level is too low, the system may select lower-quality segments or reduce the video bitrate to refill the buffer. Conversely, if the buffer is nearing its capacity, the system may prioritize higher-quality segments or increase the video bitrate to prevent buffer overflow. Buffer constraints play a crucial role in maintaining a seamless viewing experience and preventing playback interruptions on the viewer's device.

Resource Constraints (Server-Based):

Resource constraints are fundamental considerations in server-based adaptive video streaming. These constraints encompass a wide range of factors, including server capacity, hardware limitations, and other resource-related restrictions. Servers that host video content need to optimize resource allocation to efficiently deliver content to viewers without overloading or underutilizing their capabilities.

To address resource constraints, server-based streaming systems must allocate resources judiciously. This includes managing server resources, such as CPU and memory, and optimizing content delivery while considering server capacity. Resource constraints are especially relevant when dealing with large audiences or a multitude of concurrent streams. Server-based optimization techniques aim to distribute resources effectively, ensuring that each viewer receives a high-quality experience while optimizing the utilization of server resources.

Fairness Constraints (In-Network-Based):

Fairness constraints are critical when multiple users or streams share network resources, particularly in in-network-based adaptive video streaming. These constraints ensure that resource allocation is equitable among users or streams, preventing any single user or stream from monopolizing network resources at the expense of others.

To enforce fairness constraints, in-network-based optimization techniques aim to allocate resources proportionally based on user or stream requirements. This may involve dynamic adjustments to bandwidth allocations, buffer management, or segment selection to ensure that all users experience satisfactory video quality. Fairness constraints promote a balanced allocation of resources and a positive user experience, avoiding situations where one user's stream adversely affects others, which can lead to dissatisfaction and service degradation.

These constraints and considerations, whether related to bandwidth, buffering, server resources, or fairness, are pivotal elements in the AVQOES taxonomy. They play a central role in ensuring efficient resource utilization, an uninterrupted streaming experience, and a fair allocation of resources in adaptive video streaming systems. By addressing these constraints, streaming systems can deliver high-quality content while optimizing resource usage, thereby enhancing user satisfaction and network efficiency.

5. Quality Metrics [20], [19], [6]:

PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio): A traditional video quality metric used in optimization problems.

SSIM (Structural Similarity Index): An index that quantifies the structural similarity between the reference and distorted images.

VMAF (Video Multimethod Assessment Fusion): A quality metric specifically designed for video streaming applications.

QoE Metrics: User-centric metrics such as Mean Opinion Score (MOS) that measure the perceived quality of the video stream.

QoS Metrics: Network-related metrics like bandwidth, latency, jitter, and packet loss that impact the quality of video streaming.

Here are more details –

PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio):

PSNR, or Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio, is a traditional video quality metric that is frequently used in optimization problems. PSNR quantifies the quality of a video by comparing it to a reference (original) video. It measures the ratio of the peak signal power to the noise power introduced during compression or transmission. Higher PSNR values indicate better quality, as they suggest that the video is closer to the original without significant distortion.

In the context of adaptive video streaming, PSNR is valuable for evaluating the quality of the transmitted video compared to the original content. It assists in making decisions related to bitrate selection and video quality adjustments, allowing streaming systems to optimize video quality while maintaining efficient use of network resources.

SSIM (Structural Similarity Index):

SSIM, or the Structural Similarity Index, is an advanced quality metric that quantifies the structural similarity between the reference and distorted images. Unlike PSNR, which solely focuses on pixel-wise differences, SSIM takes into account image structural information, luminance, contrast, and structure. A higher SSIM score indicates that the distorted image closely resembles the original, implying better video quality.

In adaptive video streaming, SSIM provides a more perceptually meaningful measure of video quality, as it accounts for the viewer's experience. It is especially valuable for assessing the perceived quality of video streams, which is crucial for optimizing the viewing experience in a dynamic streaming environment. By considering SSIM, streaming systems can make adjustments that prioritize preserving structural and perceptual quality.

VMAF (Video Multimethod Assessment Fusion):

VMAF, or Video Multimethod Assessment Fusion, is a quality metric explicitly designed for video streaming applications. It is a composite metric that fuses multiple methods to provide a comprehensive quality assessment. VMAF incorporates elements of human perception and visual quality, making it a robust metric for evaluating video streaming quality.

In adaptive video streaming, VMAF is a preferred metric because it accounts for the specific challenges and factors affecting video quality in streaming scenarios, such as compression artifacts, dynamic changes in bitrate, and network conditions. Streaming systems use VMAF to assess the quality of the video stream, making it an ideal choice for optimizing the viewer's experience in real-time.

QoE Metrics (Mean Opinion Score - MOS):

Quality of Experience (QoE) metrics, such as the Mean Opinion Score (MOS), focus on user-centric quality assessments. These metrics are derived from subjective evaluations where viewers rate their perceived quality of the video stream. MOS is a common QoE metric, and it represents the average opinion of multiple viewers on a quality scale.

In adaptive video streaming, QoE metrics like MOS provide a direct measure of how viewers perceive the quality of the video. These metrics are essential for optimizing the user experience, as they reflect the real impact of video quality on viewer satisfaction. Streaming systems aim to maximize QoE metrics by dynamically adjusting video quality based on network conditions, device capabilities, and user preferences.

QoS Metrics (Network-Related Metrics):

Quality of Service (QoS) metrics in the context of adaptive video streaming encompass a range of network-related factors that impact the quality of video delivery. These metrics include bandwidth, latency, jitter, and packet loss. While they are not video quality metrics per se, they are critical considerations for ensuring a smooth and high-quality video streaming experience.

Bandwidth availability affects the video bitrate that can be transmitted, while latency, jitter, and packet loss can introduce interruptions and affect the streaming experience. In adaptive video streaming, QoS metrics help streaming systems optimize video quality by adapting to network conditions. For example, if network bandwidth decreases, the system may reduce the video bitrate to prevent buffering and maintain a continuous stream.

Quality metrics, whether traditional (PSNR), perceptual (SSIM), or application-specific (VMAF), are essential for adaptive video streaming optimization. They help streaming systems make real-time decisions regarding bitrate adaptation, buffer management, and segment selection to ensure an optimal viewing experience. Additionally, user-centric QoE metrics and network-related QoS metrics play a pivotal role in ensuring that viewers receive a satisfactory and uninterrupted streaming experience tailored to their preferences and network conditions.

6. Real-Time vs. Offline Optimization [1], [4], [7]:

Real-Time Optimization: Techniques that adapt streaming decisions on the fly based on the current network conditions and user feedback (Client-Based and In-Network-Based).

Offline Optimization: Pre-computed strategies for video streaming adaptation, which may be updated periodically but not in real-time (Server-Based).

Here are more details –

Real-Time Optimization:

Client-Based Real-Time Optimization:

Client-based real-time optimization techniques are designed to adapt streaming decisions on the fly, taking into account the current network conditions and user feedback. These techniques are implemented at the viewer's end, typically within the video player or client application. The goal is to provide an optimal viewing experience by making instantaneous adjustments in response to changing network conditions and viewer preferences.

In client-based real-time optimization, the streaming system continuously monitors various parameters, including available bandwidth, buffer status, and user feedback. When network conditions fluctuate, the system dynamically adjusts parameters such as bitrate, buffer management, and segment selection to maintain a seamless playback experience. This approach enables the streaming system to optimize video quality and ensure viewer satisfaction as conditions change.

In-Network-Based Real-Time Optimization:

In-network-based real-time optimization takes place within the network infrastructure, closer to the content source or delivery points. It involves making adaptive streaming decisions based on real-time monitoring of network conditions and resource availability. The primary objective is to optimize video delivery by efficiently utilizing network resources and minimizing congestion.

In-network-based optimization techniques may include traffic shaping, Quality of Service (QoS) management, and content delivery network (CDN) routing decisions. These techniques aim to ensure that video content is delivered with the best possible quality while adhering to bandwidth constraints and avoiding network congestion. In-network-based real-time optimization is particularly relevant in large-scale video streaming deployments where network resources are shared among numerous users.

Offline Optimization:

Server-Based Offline Optimization:

Server-based offline optimization strategies involve pre-computed adaptation decisions for video streaming. These decisions are determined in advance and may be periodically updated but are not adjusted in real-time during streaming. The optimizations typically take place at the server, where adaptive streaming policies are designed based on historical data, network modeling, and resource availability.

Offline optimization enables server-based systems to plan resource allocation, transcode video content, and define adaptive strategies in advance. These strategies consider factors such as expected network conditions, device capabilities, and audience preferences. While offline optimization may not respond instantly to changing conditions, it provides a stable and predictable approach to video streaming that can deliver high-quality content to viewers.

Real-time optimization and offline optimization each have their advantages and are suitable for different use cases within the context of adaptive video streaming. Real-time techniques excel at responding to immediate changes in network conditions and viewer preferences, providing an adaptive and personalized experience. In contrast, offline strategies offer stability and predictability, making them ideal for server-based systems that require pre-planned strategies to efficiently allocate resources and optimize content delivery. The choice between real-time and offline optimization depends on the specific requirements of the streaming system and the importance of instantaneous adaptation to varying conditions.

7. Machine Learning Integration [23], [24]:

Reinforcement Learning: Applying RL algorithms to learn adaptive streaming policies based on reward signals and past experiences (Client-Based).

Deep Learning: Utilizing deep neural networks for video quality prediction and adaptation decision-making (Client-Based and Server-Based).

Here are more details –

Reinforcement Learning (RL) (Client-Based):

Reinforcement Learning is a machine learning approach used in adaptive video streaming to learn optimal streaming policies based on reward signals and past experiences. RL algorithms enable streaming clients to make real-time decisions about video quality and streaming parameters by learning from user interactions and network conditions.

In RL-based client-based optimization, the streaming system acts as an agent, interacting with the environment (comprising network conditions, user preferences, and device capabilities) to maximize a reward signal. The reward signal reflects the quality of the viewing experience and user satisfaction. Over time, the RL agent learns to select adaptive streaming policies that maximize these rewards.

For example, an RL-based client can learn to adjust the video bitrate, buffer size, and segment selection to provide the best viewing experience while minimizing buffering and interruptions. RL models, such as Deep Q-Networks (DQNs) or Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO), are commonly used for this purpose. Reinforcement learning offers a dynamic and adaptive approach to video streaming, allowing the system to continuously improve its decision-making based on past experiences and changing conditions.

Deep Learning (Client-Based and Server-Based):

Deep Learning encompasses the use of deep neural networks for various tasks within adaptive video streaming. It can be applied in both client-based and server-based contexts.

Client-Based Deep Learning:

In the client-based approach, deep learning techniques are used for video quality prediction and adaptation decision-making. Deep neural networks can be trained to predict the perceived quality of video content based on various features, including bitrate, resolution, network conditions, and device characteristics. These predictive models help the streaming system make real-time decisions to optimize the viewing experience.

For instance, a deep neural network can estimate the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) or the Video Multimethod Assessment Fusion (VMAF) score, which reflects the quality of the video stream. Based on these predictions, the client can adapt streaming parameters like bitrate and buffer management to provide the best possible quality to the viewer.

Server-Based Deep Learning:

In the server-based approach, deep learning techniques are employed for various tasks, including content transcoding and video recommendation. Deep neural networks can be used to transcode video content into different formats or resolutions to suit various devices and network conditions efficiently.

Deep learning models can also be utilized to personalize content recommendations, leveraging user history, preferences, and context to suggest the most relevant content to individual viewers. This enhances user engagement and satisfaction.

Deep learning techniques, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), and Transformer models, are widely employed for these tasks. They enable adaptive video streaming systems to provide a more tailored and high-quality viewing experience based on real-time and historical data.

The integration of machine learning, including Reinforcement Learning and Deep Learning, into adaptive video streaming allows for more intelligent and dynamic decision-making. These techniques enable systems to learn from data and optimize streaming policies in real-time, ultimately enhancing user satisfaction and providing high-quality video content across diverse network conditions and devices.

IV. AVQOES Component Comparisons

Objective Function Types vs. Adaptation Variables:

Objective Function Types focus on the optimization goals of adaptive video streaming, such as Rate-Distortion Optimization (RDO), Quality of Experience (QoE) Optimization, and Throughput Optimization. These define what needs to be achieved.

Adaptation Variables represent the dynamic aspects of video streaming, addressing how the goals are accomplished. They include Bitrate Adaptation, Buffer Management, Segment Selection, and Transcoding Decisions.

Constraints and Considerations vs. Quality Metrics:

Constraints and Considerations encompass network-related factors and resource-related constraints that influence the video streaming process. These include Bandwidth Constraints, Buffer Constraints, Resource Constraints, and Fairness Constraints.

Quality Metrics evaluate the quality of video content and streaming experience. They encompass traditional metrics (PSNR), perceptual metrics (SSIM), application-specific metrics (VMAF), user-centric metrics (QoE Metrics), and network-related metrics (QoS Metrics).

Real-Time vs. Offline Optimization:

Real-Time Optimization involves dynamic, on-the-fly adjustments to adapt to changing network conditions and user

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, "An Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming Taxonomy (AVQOES)," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp. 137-147, 2023.

feedback, with real-time techniques implemented in the client and in the network.

Offline Optimization relies on pre-computed strategies and periodic updates, primarily implemented on the server, offering stability and predictability in the adaptive streaming process.

Machine Learning Integration:

Reinforcement Learning and Deep Learning are machine learning techniques that enhance adaptive video streaming. RL adapts streaming policies in real-time based on reward signals, while Deep Learning leverages neural networks for tasks like video quality prediction and content transcoding.

In summary, the elements of the AVQOES taxonomy cover a wide range of aspects, from optimization objectives and adaptation variables to constraints, quality assessment, optimization approaches, and machine learning integration. These elements collectively contribute to the effective delivery of high-quality video content in an adaptive and personalized manner, addressing both user satisfaction and network efficiency.

V. Uses Of AVQOES

The AVQOES taxonomy, which encompasses elements such as objective function types, adaptation variables, constraints, quality metrics, real-time vs. offline optimization, and machine learning integration, finds applications in various aspects of adaptive video streaming. Here are some key uses of the taxonomy:

Video Streaming Service Design:

Service providers can use the taxonomy to design and implement adaptive video streaming systems. They can choose objective function types and adaptation variables that align with their goals and infrastructure.

Content Delivery Optimization:

Content delivery networks (CDNs) and server operators can utilize the taxonomy to optimize the delivery of video content. They can select the most appropriate optimization objectives and constraints based on their network architecture.

User Experience Enhancement:

The taxonomy helps in enhancing the user experience by allowing streaming services to dynamically adapt to network conditions and user preferences, ensuring uninterrupted and high-quality viewing.

Quality Assessment:

Video streaming platforms can employ the quality metrics within the taxonomy to assess the quality of their content and optimize it in real-time for better viewer satisfaction.

Resource Allocation:

Content providers and network operators can make informed decisions about resource allocation, including server capacity, transcoding, and bandwidth distribution, using the taxonomy's constraints and considerations.

Machine Learning Integration:

The taxonomy guides the integration of machine learning techniques like Reinforcement Learning and Deep Learning for real-time decision-making, enabling more intelligent and adaptive video streaming.

Network Management:

Network administrators can use the taxonomy to make network-related decisions, such as bandwidth allocation, buffer management, and QoS optimization, for efficient video streaming.

Content Recommendation:

By leveraging server-based deep learning, streaming platforms can personalize content recommendations based on user preferences and viewing history.

Content Adaptation:

Content creators and distributors can optimize video content by selecting suitable objective functions and adaptation variables for a target audience or platform.

Quality Monitoring:

The quality metrics within the taxonomy facilitate real-time monitoring of video quality and the performance of adaptive streaming algorithms, allowing for continuous improvement.

In summary, the AVQOES taxonomy serves as a versatile framework for the design, optimization, and management of adaptive video streaming systems. Its elements enable streaming services to provide high-quality, personalized content to viewers while efficiently utilizing network resources and ensuring a seamless viewing experience.

VI. Discussion

The Adaptive Video Quality Optimization and Enhancement System (AVQOES) is a comprehensive taxonomy that plays a pivotal role in the field of adaptive video streaming. It categorizes and organizes the key elements and concepts within this domain, offering a structured framework to address the challenges and complexities associated with delivering high-quality video content to diverse audiences in varying network conditions. Here, we delve into a discussion of AVQOES and its significance:

1. Comprehensive Framework:

AVQOES encompasses a wide range of elements, including Objective Function Types, Adaptation Variables, Constraints and Considerations, Quality Metrics, Real-Time vs. Offline Optimization, and Machine Learning Integration. This comprehensive framework ensures that the taxonomy addresses various facets of adaptive video streaming, from optimization objectives to machine learning techniques for decision-making.

2. Clarity and Standardization:

One of the primary advantages of AVQOES is its role in providing clarity and standardization within the field. In a domain with diverse stakeholders, terminologies, and approaches, having a taxonomy that offers a common language and reference point is invaluable. This promotes consistency in communication and problem-solving among content providers, network operators, and researchers.

3. Real-Time Adaptation:

AVQOES highlights the importance of real-time adaptation in video streaming. It acknowledges that network conditions, user preferences, and device capabilities are constantly

Koffka Khan and Wayne Goodridge, “An Adaptive Video Quality and Optimization with Enhanced Streaming Taxonomy (AVQOES),” *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp. 137-147, 2023.

changing. With the taxonomy's guidance, adaptive video streaming systems can make dynamic adjustments to maintain a seamless viewing experience, a key factor in today's online streaming landscape.

4. User-Centric Approach:

The taxonomy places a strong emphasis on enhancing the user experience. It does so by incorporating quality metrics, quality of experience (QoE) metrics, and machine learning integration to ensure that viewers receive high-quality content that aligns with their preferences. By focusing on user satisfaction, AVQOES is directly contributing to the success of online streaming services.

5. Resource Efficiency:

Efficient utilization of network resources is a critical concern in video streaming. AVQOES helps stakeholders make informed decisions regarding resource allocation, transcoding, and content delivery. This is particularly significant in a world where bandwidth and server resources must be utilized judiciously to support the growing demand for online video content.

6. Innovation and Research:

AVQOES encourages innovation and research in the field of adaptive video streaming. It provides a structured foundation for researchers to explore new optimization techniques, machine learning integration, and quality assessment methods. As the industry continues to evolve, the taxonomy can be updated to incorporate emerging concepts and technologies.

7. Future-Readiness:

In an ever-changing landscape of devices, network technologies, and viewer expectations, the structured approach offered by AVQOES contributes to the future-readiness of adaptive video streaming. This ensures that systems can scale and adapt to new challenges and opportunities.

In conclusion, the AVQOES taxonomy is a valuable and versatile framework for the design, optimization, and management of adaptive video streaming systems. Its clarity, standardization, and user-centric focus are significant in enhancing the quality of video delivery and the overall streaming experience. As the field continues to evolve, AVQOES will remain a valuable reference point for industry professionals and researchers alike.

VII. Conclusion

The AVQOES taxonomy, encompassing Objective Function Types, Adaptation Variables, Constraints and Considerations, Quality Metrics, Real-Time vs. Offline Optimization, and Machine Learning Integration, serves as a comprehensive framework for the adaptive video streaming domain. This taxonomy not only categorizes and organizes the various elements but also motivates a structured approach to address the multifaceted challenges in video delivery.

In a rapidly evolving landscape of online video streaming, the AVQOES taxonomy offers clarity, standardization, and a common language for stakeholders in the industry, including content providers, network operators, and researchers. It enables more effective communication, knowledge organization, and problem-solving, ultimately leading to an enhanced user experience.

Adaptive video streaming systems can utilize this taxonomy to optimize content delivery, adapt to varying network conditions, and personalize the viewing experience for a diverse audience. Quality assessment metrics ensure that viewers receive high-quality content, while machine learning techniques empower real-time decision-making and resource allocation.

The AVQOES taxonomy's structured framework not only streamlines the field but also encourages innovation, research advancement, and future readiness. As the demand for online video streaming continues to grow, a systematic approach to optimization and user-centric solutions is vital to meet the evolving expectations of viewers.

In conclusion, the AVQOES taxonomy contributes to the enhancement of adaptive video streaming, enabling stakeholders to efficiently deliver high-quality content while providing a seamless and satisfying viewing experience. Its continued evolution will reflect the dynamic nature of the field, furthering innovation and advancements in the domain.

References

[1] Bampis, C.G., Li, Z., Katsavounidis, I., Huang, T.Y., Ekanadham, C. and Bovik, A.C., 2021. Towards perceptually optimized adaptive video streaming-a realistic quality of experience database. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 30, pp.5182-5197.

[2] Barakabitze, A.A., Barman, N., Ahmad, A., Zadtootaghaj, S., Sun, L., Martini, M.G. and Atzori, L., 2019. QoE management of multimedia streaming services in future networks: A tutorial and survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 22(1), pp.526-565.

[3] Barman, N. and Martini, M.G., 2019. QoE modeling for HTTP adaptive video streaming–a survey and open challenges. Ieee Access, 7, pp.30831-30859.

[4] de Morais, W.G., Santos, C.E.M. and Pedroso, C.M., 2022. Application of active queue management for real-time adaptive video streaming. Telecommunication Systems, pp.1-10.

[5] Du, J., Yu, F.R., Lu, G., Wang, J., Jiang, J. and Chu, X., 2020. MEC-assisted immersive VR video streaming over terahertz wireless networks: A deep reinforcement learning approach. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 7(10), pp.9517-9529.

[6] Du, J., Yu, F.R., Lu, G., Wang, J., Jiang, J. and Chu, X., 2020. MEC-assisted immersive VR video streaming over terahertz wireless networks: A deep reinforcement learning approach. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 7(10), pp.9517-9529.

[7] Eswara, N., Chakraborty, S., Sethuram, H.P., Kuchi, K., Kumar, A. and Channappayya, S.S., 2019. Perceptual QoE-optimal resource allocation for adaptive video streaming. IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 66(2), pp.346-358.

[8] Fan, C.L., Lo, W.C., Pai, Y.T. and Hsu, C.H., 2019. A survey on 360 video streaming: Acquisition, transmission, and display. Acm Computing Surveys (Csur), 52(4), pp.1-36.

[9] Fu, F., Kang, Y., Zhang, Z., Yu, F.R. and Wu, T., 2020. Soft actor–critic DRL for live transcoding and streaming in vehicular fog-computing-enabled IoV. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 8(3), pp.1308-1321.

[10] Gao, Z., Xuan, H.Z., Zhang, H., Wan, S. and Choo, K.K.R., 2019. Adaptive fusion and category-level dictionary learning model for multiview human action recognition. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 6(6), pp.9280-9293.

[11] Hou, F., Guan, Z., Li, B. and Chong, A.Y.L., 2020. Factors influencing people’s continuous watching intention and consumption intention in live streaming: Evidence from China. Internet Research, 30(1), pp.141-163.

[12] Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2017. Server-based and network-assisted solutions for adaptive video streaming. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 9(3), pp.3432-3442.

[13] Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2018. Future DASH applications: A survey. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 10(2), pp.3758-3764.
[14] Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2018. QoE in DASH. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 9(4), pp.3515-3522.
[15] Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2020. QoE evaluation of dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP (DASH) with promising transport layer protocols: Transport layer protocol performance over HTTP/2 DASH. CCF Transactions on Networking, 3(3-4), pp.245-260.
[16] Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., Markov Decision Processes for bitrate harmony in adaptive video streaming. In 2017 Future Technologies Conference (FTC), Vancouver, Canada, unpublished.
[17] Koffka, K. and Wayne, G., 2018. A DASH Survey: the ON-OFF Traffic Problem and Contemporary Solutions. Computer Sciences and Telecommunications, (1), pp.3-20.
[18] Lim, J.S., Choe, M.J., Zhang, J. and Noh, G.Y., 2020. The role of wishful identification, emotional engagement, and parasocial relationships in repeated viewing of live-streaming games: A social cognitive theory perspective. Computers in Human Behavior, 108, p.106327.
[19] Pei, X., Yin, H., Tan, L., Cao, L., Li, Z., Wang, K., Zhang, K. and Björnson, E., 2021. RIS-aided wireless communications: Prototyping, adaptive beamforming, and indoor/outdoor field trials. IEEE Transactions on Communications, 69(12), pp.8627-8640.
[20] Spiteri, K., Sitaraman, R. and Sparacio, D., 2019. From theory to practice: Improving bitrate adaptation in the DASH reference player. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM), 15(2s), pp.1-29.
[21] Spiteri, K., Urgaonkar, R. and Sitaraman, R.K., 2020. BOLA: Near-optimal bitrate adaptation for online videos. IEEE/ACM transactions on networking, 28(4), pp.1698-1711.
[22] Wang, C., Zhang, S., Chen, Y., Qian, Z., Wu, J. and Xiao, M., 2020, July. Joint configuration adaptation and bandwidth allocation for edge-based real-time video analytics. In IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications (pp. 257-266). IEEE.
[23] Wang, F., Wang, F., Liu, J., Shea, R. and Sun, L., 2020, July. Intelligent video caching at network edge: A multi-agent deep reinforcement learning approach. In IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications (pp. 2499-2508). IEEE.
[24] Wang, F., Zhang, M., Wang, X., Ma, X. and Liu, J., 2020. Deep learning for edge computing applications: A state-of-the-art survey. IEEE Access, 8, pp.58322-58336.
[25] Wongkitrungrueng, A., Dehouche, N. and Assarut, N., 2020. Live streaming commerce from the sellers' perspective: implications for online relationship marketing. Journal of Marketing Management, 36(5-6), pp.488-518.
[26] Yavuzalp, N. and Bahcivan, E., 2020. The online learning self-efficacy scale: Its adaptation into Turkish and interpretation according to various variables. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 21(1), pp.31-44.