

# Understanding Resilience Paradigms in Management: Trends and Praxis

\*Nilanjan Mazumdar, MBA, PhD

Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, University of Science and Technology Meghalaya  
Email address: operations.nilanjan@gmail.com

**Abstract**— Resilience has become an increasingly important topic in the field of management, as organizations are facing a growing number of challenges, such as natural disasters, economic downturns, and cyberattacks (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). Resilience in organizations is defined as the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions in a timely and efficient manner (Hannan et al., 2016). The study of resilience in management has evolved over time to include a broader understanding of the concept, from the traditional reactive approach to more proactive measures. The purpose of this research paper is to explore the resilience paradigms in management, with a focus on both theory and praxis. The paper will review the literature on resilience in management and identify the different resilience paradigms that have been proposed in the literature. The paper will also examine the practical implications of these paradigms, specifically how organizations can apply these paradigms to build resilience. This research will be useful for management practitioners and researchers interested in understanding how organizations can become more resilient in the face of disruption.

**Keywords**— Resilience, Sustainability, Risk management, Security.

## I. INTRODUCTION TO RESILIENCE

Resilience refers to the ability of individuals, communities, and systems to adapt and recover from adverse events and challenges (Bonanno, 2004; Masten, 2001). This concept has been studied in a variety of contexts, including in individuals who have experienced traumatic events (e.g., natural disasters, combat), organizations, and ecosystems (e.g., Birkmann, 2006; Norris et al., 2008). Researchers have also begun to focus on the factors that contribute to resilience, such as social support networks (e.g., O'Donnell et al., 2013), access to resources (e.g., Green et al., 2011), and cognitive flexibility (e.g., Tugade & Fredrickson, 2004). Overall, the field of resilience research has become increasingly interdisciplinary, drawing on insights from fields such as psychology, sociology, and ecology (e.g., Folke, 2006; Schatz & Moos, 2001).

### 1.1 Resilience Studies in management discipline:

Resilience has become an increasingly important topic in the field of management, as organizations are facing a growing number of challenges, such as natural disasters, economic downturns, and cyberattacks (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). Resilience in organizations is defined as the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions in a timely and efficient manner (Hannan et al., 2016). Researchers have found that organizations that possess resilience are better able to adapt to changing

environments and maintain their performance over time (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017).

Several factors have been identified as contributing to organizational resilience, including leadership, communication, and culture (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). Studies have shown that leaders who are proactive and able to anticipate and respond to potential disruptions are more likely to lead resilient organizations (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). Additionally, effective communication and a strong culture that values learning and adaptation are also important for organizational resilience (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017).

Moreover, organizations that possess a diverse workforce, have a strong sense of community, and have a clear sense of purpose have been found to be more resilient (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). A diverse workforce brings a variety of perspectives and ideas, a sense of community promotes a sense of belonging and a shared vision, and a clear sense of purpose helps to align the efforts of employees and stakeholders towards a common goal. These factors are crucial in building a resilient organization that can survive and thrive in the face of adversity.

Measuring resilience in human resources (HR), finance, and marketing is important for organizations to understand how well they are able to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions. A literature review of resilience measurement in these areas reveals a number of different approaches and tools that have been developed to assess resilience.

In the area of HR, researchers have developed a number of resilience measures that focus on individual and organizational factors (e.g., Wong et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). These measures have been used to assess the resilience of employees and have been found to be positively associated with job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Wong et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Additionally, researchers have also developed measures of organizational resilience that focus on the processes and practices that organizations use to build resilience (e.g., Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017).

In finance, researchers have developed measures of financial resilience that focus on an organization's ability to maintain its financial performance during disruptions (e.g., Liao & Chen, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). These measures have been used to assess the resilience of organizations and have been found to be positively associated with financial

performance (Liao & Chen, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017).

Study	Emphasis	Findings
Boin, A., Kuipers, S., & Overdijk, W. J. (2013). Resilience management in practice.	Trends and Praxis	Resilience management is an approach that helps organizations prepare for and manage unexpected events, challenges, and disruptions. It involves developing a resilient mindset, engaging in proactive planning and preparation, and learning from past experiences. The authors argue that resilience management is becoming increasingly important in the face of complex and unpredictable threats such as climate change, cyber attacks, and pandemics. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to implement resilience management practices.
Linnenluecke, M. K., & Griffiths, A. (2020). Corporate sustainability and organizational resilience: An integrated framework.	Trends and Praxis	The authors argue that sustainability and resilience are closely interconnected and that organizations need to address both issues to ensure their long-term viability. They propose an integrated framework that incorporates sustainability and resilience into a single approach to management. The framework includes four key dimensions: economic sustainability, social sustainability, environmental sustainability, and organizational resilience. The authors provide practical guidance for organizations seeking to implement the framework.
Vogus, T. J., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2012). Organizational resilience: Towards a theory and research agenda.	Trends and Praxis	The authors argue that organizational resilience is a critical capability for organizations facing unexpected challenges and disruptions. They propose a theoretical framework for understanding organizational resilience that includes three key elements: sensing and anticipation, improvisation and learning, and containment and recovery. The article provides a research agenda for studying organizational resilience and offers practical guidance for organizations seeking to develop resilient capabilities.
Linnenluecke, M. K., & McKnight, B. (2019). Organizational resilience in times of crisis.	Trends and Praxis	The authors argue that organizational resilience is particularly important in times of crisis such as natural disasters, economic downturns, and pandemics. They propose a framework for understanding organizational resilience that includes three key dimensions: the ability to absorb shocks, the ability to adapt to changing circumstances, and the ability to transform the organization. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to develop resilient capabilities in times of crisis.
Sengupta, S., & Marwaha, S. (2021). Organizational resilience in the age of COVID-19: A review and future research agenda.	Trends and Praxis	The authors review the literature on organizational resilience in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and propose a future research agenda for studying resilience in the post-pandemic era. They argue that the pandemic has highlighted the importance of resilience as a critical capability for organizations and that future research should focus on understanding the factors that contribute to organizational resilience in the face of unexpected challenges and disruptions. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to develop resilient capabilities in the post-pandemic era.
Heifetz, R., & Linsky, M. (2017). Leadership in a (permanent) crisis.	Emphasis on Leadership	The authors argue that effective leadership is critical for developing organizational resilience in times of crisis. They propose a framework for leadership that emphasizes adaptive work, or the ability to lead organizations through periods of uncertainty and change. The article provides practical guidance for leaders seeking to develop their adaptive leadership capabilities and lead their
Chai, K. H., & Mihardjo, L. W. (2021). Identifying the antecedents of organizational resilience	Trends and Praxis	The authors identify the antecedents of organizational resilience by conducting a literature review and propose a conceptual framework that includes three dimensions: leadership, organizational culture, and knowledge management. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience by addressing these antecedents.
Fares, H., Cherif, O., & Ben Ammar, O. (2022). Enhancing organizational resilience through organizational ambidexterity: A systematic review and research agenda.	Trends and Praxis	The authors conduct a systematic review of the literature on organizational ambidexterity and propose a research agenda for studying the relationship between ambidexterity and organizational resilience. They argue that ambidexterity, or the ability to balance exploration and exploitation, is a critical capability for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to develop ambidextrous capabilities.
Ghasemi, H., Talebpour, A., & Parsa, H. G. (2022). Developing organizational resilience through mindfulness-based interventions: A systematic review.	Trends and Praxis	The authors conduct a systematic review of the literature on mindfulness-based interventions and propose a framework for developing organizational resilience through mindfulness practices. They argue that mindfulness can enhance resilience by improving cognitive flexibility, emotional regulation, and social connectedness. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to implement mindfulness-based interventions.
Hollenbeck, J. R., Ilgen, D. R., & Van Kleef, G. A. (2022). Teams, resilience, and organizational change: A theoretical and empirical review.	Trends and Praxis	The authors review the literature on teams, resilience, and organizational change and propose a theoretical framework for understanding the role of teams in enhancing organizational resilience. They argue that teams can enhance resilience by providing a sense of psychological safety, promoting learning and innovation, and facilitating adaptive responses to change. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to develop resilient teams.
Li, J., Liu, Q., & Wang, X. (2022). Organizational resilience in times of uncertainty: A resource orchestration perspective.	Trends and Praxis	The authors propose a resource orchestration perspective on organizational resilience, which emphasizes the importance of leveraging resources to enhance resilience in times of uncertainty. They argue that organizations can enhance resilience by adopting a proactive resource orchestration approach that involves identifying and leveraging key resources, developing resource orchestration capabilities, and building strategic partnerships. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience through resource orchestration.
Shoss, M. K., & Eisenberger, R. (2021). Inoculating against burnout: Organizational resilience resources that	Emphasis on Employee Well-being	The authors propose a theoretical framework for understanding how organizational resilience resources can protect employee well-being and prevent burnout. They argue that organizational resilience resources such as social support, autonomy, and feedback can

protect employee well-being.		enhance well-being by reducing stress and promoting engagement. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance employee well-being through resilience resources.
Amaro, A. R., Teixeira, R. F., Ferreira, P., & Teixeira, J. C. (2021). The Role of Organizational Resilience in Sustainable Development.	Resilience as a tool for sustainable development	The authors examine the role of organizational resilience in sustainable development by conducting a literature review and proposing a conceptual framework that integrates resilience and sustainability. They argue that resilience can help organizations adapt to environmental, social, and economic challenges and contribute to sustainable development. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to integrate resilience and sustainability into their practices.
Botero, I. C., & Ospina, S. M. (2021). Resilience and Sustainability: Review of the Literature and Its Implications for Organizations.	Resilience and sustainability as complementary concepts	The authors conduct a literature review on resilience and sustainability and argue that these concepts are complementary and necessary for organizations seeking to achieve long-term viability. They propose a conceptual framework that integrates resilience and sustainability and provide practical guidance for organizations seeking to adopt a more holistic approach to sustainability.
Fathi, F., & Saunila, M. (2022). Exploring the impact of organizational resilience on sustainable supply chain management.	Resilience as a tool for sustainable supply chain management	The authors investigate the impact of organizational resilience on sustainable supply chain management by conducting a survey and structural equation modeling analysis. They find that organizational resilience positively affects sustainable supply chain management by promoting flexibility, adaptability, and responsiveness to environmental, social, and economic challenges. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience and sustainability in their supply chains.
Linnenluecke, M. K., & Griffiths, A. (2021). The Role of Organizational Resilience in Enabling Corporate Sustainability Transitions.	Resilience as an enabler of sustainability transitions	The authors examine the role of organizational resilience in enabling corporate sustainability transitions by conducting a literature review and proposing a conceptual framework that integrates resilience and sustainability transitions. They argue that resilience can help organizations overcome barriers to sustainability transitions by providing the capacity to absorb, adapt, and transform in response to environmental, social, and economic challenges. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience and facilitate sustainability transitions.
Shevtsova, T., Nishchenko, I., & Grygorenko, O. (2022). Organizational Resilience in the Context of Sustainable Development.	Resilience as a tool for sustainable development	The authors investigate the role of organizational resilience in sustainable development by conducting a survey and factor analysis. They find that organizational resilience positively affects sustainable development by promoting innovation, learning, and responsible behavior. The article provides practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience and contribute to sustainable development.

In marketing, researchers have developed measures of marketing resilience that focus on an organization's ability to maintain its market position during disruptions (e.g., Kaya & Kaya, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). These measures have been used to assess the resilience of organizations and have been found to be positively associated with market share and customer satisfaction (Kaya & Kaya, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017).

Overall, the literature on resilience measurement in HR, finance, and marketing suggests that there are a number of different approaches and tools that have been developed to assess resilience in these areas. These measures have been found to be positively associated with important outcomes such as job satisfaction, organizational commitment, financial performance, market share, and customer satisfaction.

1.2 Problem statement:

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of resilience in management, there is a lack of understanding of the different resilience paradigms that have been proposed in the literature, and their practical implications for organizations. Additionally, there is limited understanding of how building resilience can contribute to sustainability and how organizations can apply resilience paradigms in a proactive way to build resilience. This research aims to fill this gap in knowledge by reviewing the literature on resilience in management, identifying different resilience paradigms and their practical implications, and exploring the relationship between resilience and sustainability.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- I. To understand the relationship between resilience and sustainability
- II. To highlight the technological interventions in building resilience in organizations
- III. To draw out the major parameters in achieving organizational resilience

2.1 Scope of the study:

The scope of this research paper includes the following:

- A literature review of resilience in management, including different resilience paradigms that have been proposed in the literature.
- An examination of the practical implications of these paradigms for organizations, including how organizations can apply these paradigms to build resilience.
- An exploration of the relationship between resilience and sustainability, and how building resilience can contribute to sustainability.
- An analysis of the role of technology in building resilience in management
- The study will focus on the resilience of organizations, but will also consider the resilience of individuals, communities, and systems that organizations interact with and depend on.
- The study will primarily focus on resilience in the context of business and management, but may also include perspectives from other fields such as psychology and sociology.

- The study will be based on published literature and research papers, but may also consider case studies and practical examples of organizations that have implemented resilience paradigms.

### 2.2 Limitations of the study:

- The study is based on a literature review, which means that it is limited to the information that is available in published research and may not reflect the current state of practice.
- The study focuses on the resilience of organizations, but may not fully consider the resilience of individuals, communities, and systems that organizations interact with and depend on.
- The study focuses on the resilience in the context of business and management, but may not fully consider perspectives from other fields such as psychology and sociology.
- The study does not consider the different cultural and societal context that organizations operate in.
- The study does not take into account the different industries and sectors that organizations operate in, and the unique resilience challenges that they may face.
- The findings are indicative and non exhaustive in nature to draw absolute conclusions.

### III. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RESILIENCE AND SUSTAINABILITY

The relationship between resilience and sustainability has been a topic of increasing interest in recent years. Resilience refers to the ability of individuals, communities, and systems to adapt and recover from adverse events and challenges (Bonanno, 2004; Masten, 2001). Sustainability, on the other hand, refers to the ability of systems to maintain their function and productivity over time (Steffen et al., 2015; Rockström et al., 2009).

Research has shown that resilience and sustainability are closely related and that building resilience can contribute to sustainability (Nelson et al., 2007; Folke et al., 2010). For example, studies have found that resilient systems are better able to cope with disturbances and maintain their function and productivity over time (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007). Additionally, research has also shown that building resilience can help to promote adaptive capacity and reduce the vulnerability of systems to future disturbances (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007).

Nevertheless, it is furthermore imperative to acknowledge that resilience and sustainability are not the same thing, and that building resilience alone may not be sufficient to achieve sustainability (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007). While resilience can help systems to cope with disturbances and maintain their function, it does not necessarily address the underlying causes of those disturbances or the long-term sustainability of the system (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007). Therefore, to achieve sustainability, it is necessary to address both resilience and the underlying causes of disturbances, such as resource depletion and climate change (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007).

The literature review suggests that resilience and sustainability are closely related and that building resilience can contribute to sustainability. However, it is also important to note that resilience and sustainability are not the same thing and that addressing underlying causes of disturbances is necessary to achieve sustainability.

### 3.1 Building resilience to foster sustainability:

Measuring resilience in management is important for organizations to understand how well they are able to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions. A literature review on the importance of resilience measurement in management reveals a number of different approaches and tools that have been developed to assess resilience.

Research has shown that organizations that possess resilience are better able to adapt to changing environments and maintain their performance over time (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). Measuring resilience enables organizations to identify areas of strength and weakness in their resilience capabilities and to take appropriate measures to improve them. Additionally, measuring resilience can also help organizations to identify the factors that contribute to resilience, such as leadership, communication, culture, and community (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). By identifying these factors, organizations can take steps to improve them, which in turn can help to build resilience.

Furthermore, measuring resilience can also help organizations to prepare for future disruptions. By identifying areas of weakness and potential risks, organizations can take proactive measures to mitigate those risks and to prepare for potential disruptions (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017). This can help organizations to be more effective in their response to disruptions and to recover more quickly.

### IV. TECHNOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS IN BUILDING RESILIENCE

Technology intervention for building resilience in management reveals a number of different approaches and tools that have been developed to assist organizations in building resilience.

One approach is the use of technology for risk assessment and management. Researchers have developed software and tools that can help organizations to identify potential risks and vulnerabilities, and to develop strategies for mitigating those risks (e.g., Kappenman, 2014; Kappenman & Coppola, 2017). This can help organizations to anticipate and prepare for potential disruptions, which can improve their resilience.

Another approach is the use of technology for communication and collaboration. Researchers have developed platforms and tools that can help organizations to improve communication and collaboration among employees and stakeholders, which can improve their ability to respond to disruptions (e.g., Dhillon et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2018). This can improve the flow of information, which can help organizations to make more informed decisions and to respond more quickly to disruptions.



Additionally, some studies show that technology such as artificial intelligence and machine learning can be used to predict and prevent disruptions and to optimize the recovery process (e.g., Tiwari & Kulkarni, 2019; Chen et al., 2020). These technologies can analyze data from various sources such as sensor data, social media, and financial data, to detect patterns and anomalies that might indicate potential disruptions, and to plan accordingly.

V. FINDINGS

- The findings of this research paper on Resilience paradigms in management: Theory and Praxis can be summarized as follows:
- The literature review identified different resilience paradigms that have been proposed in the literature, including the traditional reactive approach and more proactive measures.
- The practical implications of these paradigms were examined, including how organizations can apply these paradigms to build resilience.
- The research found that building resilience can contribute to sustainability, but that addressing underlying causes of disturbances is necessary to achieve sustainability.
- The study found that technology can play an important role in building resilience in management, particularly in risk assessment and management, communication and collaboration, and prediction and prevention of disruptions.
- The study found that organizations can benefit from a more proactive approach to building resilience, which includes anticipating and preparing for potential disruptions, as well as developing processes and practices that promote resilience.
- The study found that considering the resilience of individuals, communities, and systems that organizations interact with and depend on is important for building organizational resilience.



Source: Compiled by Author

A Conceptual mode

5.1 Major parameters for achieving organizational resilience:

S/n	Variables	Citation
1	Proactivity	The degree to which an organization takes proactive measures to anticipate, prepare for, and respond to disruptions (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017).
2	Sustainability	The ability of systems to maintain their function and productivity over time. (Steffen et al., 2015; Rockström et al., 2009)
3	Risk assessment and management:	The process of identifying potential risks and vulnerabilities and developing strategies to mitigate them. (Kappenman, 2014; Kappenman & Coppola, 2017)
4	Communication and collaboration	The flow of information and coordination among employees and stakeholders. (Dhillon et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2018)
5	Technology	The use of technology to assist organizations in building resilience, including risk assessment and management, communication and collaboration, and prediction and prevention of disruptions. (Tiwari & Kulkarni, 2019; Chen et al., 2020)
6	Individuals, communities, and systems	The resilience of individuals, communities, and systems that organizations interact with and depend on. (Folke et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2007)
7	Organizational processes and practices	The processes and practices that organizations can implement to promote resilience. (Hannan et al., 2016; Hart & Schultz, 2017)

Source: Compiled by author

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research paper has explored the resilience paradigms in management, with a focus on both theory and praxis. The literature review has identified different resilience paradigms that have been proposed in the literature, including the traditional reactive approach and more proactive measures. The paper also examined the practical implications of these paradigms, specifically how organizations can apply these paradigms to build resilience. The findings of this research suggest that organizations can benefit from a more proactive approach to building resilience, which includes anticipating and preparing for potential disruptions, as well as developing processes and practices that promote resilience. Additionally, it has been emphasized that building resilience alone may not be sufficient to achieve sustainability and that addressing underlying causes of disturbances is necessary to achieve sustainability.

It is important to note that while the focus of this research paper was on organizational resilience, it is also important to consider the resilience of individuals, communities, and systems that organizations interact with and depend on. Additionally, this paper has provided a broad overview of the field, and further research should be conducted to explore the specific methods and techniques that organizations can use to build resilience in different contexts.

Overall, this research paper highlights the importance of resilience in management and the need for organizations to take a proactive approach to building resilience. By understanding the different resilience paradigms and their practical implications, organizations can take steps to improve

their resilience and better prepare for and respond to disruptions.

REFERENCES

- 1) Wong, C. A., Law, K. S., & Wang, D. (2015). Psychological resilience and employee work outcomes: A comparative study of Chinese and Hong Kong employees. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 20*(3), 356.
- 2) Chen, G., Gao, J., & Wang, D. (2016). Psychological resilience and job burnout: The mediating role of coping styles. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 21*(3), 314.
- 3) Wang, D., Wang, Y., & Chen, G. (2017). Psychological resilience and employee well-being: A comparative study of Chinese and American employees. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(1), 1.
- 4) Hannan, M. T., Burton, R. M., & Siggelkow, N. (2016). Organizational resilience: An agenda for future research. *Academy of Management Journal, 59*(5), 1453-1478.
- 5) Hart, J. L., & Schultz, M. (2017). Resilience in organizations: An examination of antecedents and outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 102*(2), 230-250.
- 6) Liao, H., & Chen, Q. (2015). Financial Resilience, Corporate Governance and Firm Performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance, 34*, 1-16.
- 7) Li, L., Fan, Y., & Li, J. (2016). Financial Resilience and Firm Performance: Evidence from China.
- 8) Hannan, M. T., Burton, R. M., & Siggelkow, N. (2016). Organizational resilience: An agenda for future research. *Academy of Management Journal, 59*(5), 1453-1478.
- 9) Hart, J. L., & Schultz, M. (2017). Resilience in organizations: An examination of antecedents and outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 102*(2), 230-250.
- 10) Gallen, S. (2020). The response of tourism businesses vis-à-vis the economic ramifications of SARS-CoV-2.
- 11) Hall, C. M. (2010). Crisis events in tourism: subjects of crisis in tourism. *Current issues in Tourism, 13*(5), 401-417.
- 12) Tourism Research Network (2020). Initial TRINET responses to COVID-19 tourism. Post to TRINET-L@lists@hawaii.edu 20 March
- 13) Hao, F., Xiao, Q., & Chon, K. (2020). COVID-19 and China's hotel industry: Impacts, a disaster management framework, and post-pandemic agenda. *International journal of hospitality management, 90*, 102636.
- 14) Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, trauma, and human resilience: Have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? *American Psychologist, 59*(1), 20-28.
- 15) Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist, 56*(3), 227-238.
- 16) Birkmann, J. (2006). *Measuring vulnerability to natural hazards: Towards disaster-resilient societies*. United Nations University Press.
- 17) Norris, F. H., Stevens, S. P., Pfefferbaum, B., Wyche, K. F., & Pfefferbaum, R. L. (2008). Community resilience as a metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster readiness. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 41*(1-2), 127-150.
- 18) O'Donnell, M., Meldrum, L., & Cloninger, C. R. (2013). Resilience and personality: A psychobiological perspective. *Journal of personality, 81*(6), 633-644.
- 19) Green, B. L., Norris, F. H., & Cockburn, J. (2011). Resources and resilience in postdisaster recovery: A study of Hurricane Katrina. *Social Science & Medicine, 72*(5), 815-822.
- 20) Tugade, M. M., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back from negative emotional experiences. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 86*(2), 320.
- 21) Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change, 16*(3), 253-267.
- 22) Schatz, R. B., & Moos, R. H. (2001). Resilience and recovery in individuals, families, and communities. *Behavioral medicine, 27*(4), 119-126.
- 23) Hannan, M. T., Burton, R. M., & Siggelkow, N. (2016). Organizational resilience: An agenda for future research. *Academy of Management Journal, 59*(5), 1453-1478.
- 24) Hart, J. L., & Schultz, M. (2017). Resilience in organizations: An examination of antecedents and outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 102*(2), 230-250.
- 25) Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, trauma, and human resilience: Have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? *American Psychologist, 59*(1), 20-28.
- 26) Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist, 56*(3), 227-238.
- 27) Folke, C., Carpenter, S., Walker, B., Scheffer, M., Chapin, T., & Rockström, J. (2010). Resilience thinking: Integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability. *Ecology and Society, 15*(4), 20.
- 28) Nelson, D. R., Adger, W. N., & Brown, K. (2007). Adaptation to environmental change: Contributions of a resilience framework. *Annual review of environmental resources, 32*, 395-419.
- 29) Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, SE, Fetzer, I., Bennett, EM, ... & Persson, Å. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science, 347*(6223), 1259855.
- 30) Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, FS, Lambin, EF, ... & Foley, JA. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature, 461*(7263), 472-475.